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## THE CHESS CHAMPIONSHIP OF THE WORLD IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY INTRODUCTION

IN bringing before the chess-playing public this account of the matches contested for the highest crown of chess ability, the title of Champion of the World, I am actuated by the desire to give present-day players some idea of the colourful personalities who strove for it, their general concepts of play and the conditions under which they fought. I have limited the work to the matches played in the present century, not only because it seems a convenient time to begin, but because the earlier encounters between the world's greatest players were conducted under such irregular conditions that it is hard to say which can really be considered as genuine world championship matches. The general consensus of chess opinion proclaims Staunton, Anderssen, Morphy and Steinitz as the world champions of the nineteenth century, but of these Staunton played only one set match, Anderssen was acclaimed as champion after his tournament victory in 1851 while the great American, Morphy, who stood head and shoulders above all the players of his time, never actually called himself champion of the world. On Morphy's retirement Anderssen quietly slipped into the vacant place but his successes were gained in tournaments rather than in matches and, like Morphy, he never used the title. Steinitz, after his victory over Anderssen in 1867, was the first to acclaim himself world champion, and his right to the title was generally recognized by the chess world until his defeat by Lasker in 1894. From then onwards the title was held in unbroken and undisputed succession by Lasker, Capablanca, Alekhine, Euwe and
then Alekhine again until it was left vacant by the death of the last named in 1946.

By this time the International Chess Federation had come into being as the governing body of world chess, and it was decided to arrange a five-round tournament between the six most prominent players of the world, the winner to be proclaimed official world champion. At the same time it was decided that future contests should be by match against a challenger chosen as a result of a series of candidates' tournaments, open to the whole world. Championship matches in future will take place every three years. This is a great improvement upon the old system in which the only control exercised over the choice of contestants had been the unofficial consensus of opinion among players and organizers. While this generally worked out fairly well it was open to abuses, not the least of which was the fact that occasionally the logical contender had to be passed over owing to the inability of his supporters to raise the necessary funds. Nevertheless, all the challengers were great players and the matches described in this book have produced the finest possible examples of contemporary chess art. Many of the games are, of course, fairly well known, but others have been almost completely forgotten and no apology is necessary for presenting them together, in such a fashion as will enable the chess student to trace, through their medium, the processes by which the game has developed in the last fifty years.

Thus, in the earlier matches we have the supreme tactician Lasker, triumphing over the orthodox Tarrasch and the romantic Marshall and Janovsky, only to succumb in the end to the new and highly improved strategical accuracy of Capablanca.

The later matches show that this in itself is not sufficient when faced by a combination of sound strategy plus imaginative tactics while the last contests of all, the

World Championship tournament and the Botvinnik v. Bronstein match, tend to bring the imaginative qualities once more to the forefront and show that principles once deemed to be fundamental can be broken with impunity by the greatest masters of the game, however necessary they may be to lesser mortals.

On the theoretical side also there have been many changes and the openings chosen in these matches give a clear picture of the progressive development of chess thought in this field. In the earlier matches the Ruy Lopez and its prototype, the Four Knights' game, predominated, with the Queen's Gambit declined, in what is called the normal fashion, in second place. There were also a few scattered examples of the French and Sicilian Defences and that was all. The one example of the King's Gambit in the Lasker $v$. Janovsky match occurred when the contest was practically decided and the solitary Slav Defence played by Schlechter against Lasker's Queen's Gambit caused a buzz of astonishment throughout the chess world. One English commentator went so far as to place a note against Black's second move: "To this weak move may be attributed the loss of the game." He quite ignored the fact that, at one period, Black held a winning position but the remark is interesting as indicative of the narrow outlook on the openings during the first decade of the century.

In what may be termed the middle series of matches, from Capablanca v. Lasker to the second world war, the Queen's Gambit was by far the most popular method of opening the game. In Alekhine $v$. Capablanca the King's pawn was only played once and was rarely seen in the next four encounters.

These later matches, however, did show changes in the defence. The normal line was replaced in popularity by the Slav, the revolutionary of the Schlechter match, and occasional Indian Defences made their appearance, but it
was not until the emergence of the new Soviet school that the enormous versatility possible in opening the game was given free rein in championship encounters. The first six games of the Botvinnik-Bronstein match all had different openings. All this, of course, has had its inevitable reflection in general chess play, and we have reached a position where, so far from chess being played out as was alleged by the pessimists in the early twenties, we are far from discovering the possibilities inherent in the first few moves. So far from being exhausted, our knowledge of the chess openings is only just beginning.

A word about the notes. In annotating the games I have consulted all the available authorities in so far as this is possible under present-day conditions, and have naturally, whenever I could, quoted the players' own remarks. Occasional long variations have been attributed to the annotators who, to the best of my knowledge and belief, first discovered them, but the majority must be considered as a synthesis of general published remarks and my own researches. I believe that I have discovered one or two variations previously unknown. As this book is not intended to be a treatise on the openings, I have curtailed the analysis of that part of the game to indicating the changes which modern ideas have brought about in each particular case and have designed the notes as a whole so as to give a general picture of the struggle and explain the ideas governing the minds of the players.

As regards the biographies, I am in the happy position of having known personally all the masters concerned, with the exception of Schlechter, and hope in the brief space available to bring them before my readers, not only as chess players, but also as human beings. Each in his way is a unique personality and I have tried my best to give a picture of them as they appeared to me.

I account it a privilege to have known them.

## CHAPTER I

## DR. EMMANUEL LASKER

IN some ways Lasker must be considered the greatest of all the champions. He retained his title for twenty-seven years, during which period he proved himself both in matches and tournaments as the greatest chess fighter that the world has ever seen. In some ways he was unique among chess players. Unlike his rivals, Steinitz, who founded the modern school of scientific chess, and Tarrasch, who perfected it, he contributed little or nothing to the theory of the game.

He wrote one fine book, the Chess Manual, in which he expounded lucidly the theories of others but offers no fresh concepts of his own, beyond his insistence that chess is primarily neither a science nor an art, but a struggle in which the object is to defeat the man sitting opposite, no matter what theoretical rules are broken in the process. As Réti says: "Lasker was a philosopher who played chess." Quite early in his life he wrote a philosophical treatise Der Kampf (The Struggle), in which he tried to discover general laws for overcoming the difficulties of life. In this work he adduced chess as the highest example of a purely intellectual and straightforward struggle, in which his task was to beat the particular opponent by any means permitted by the laws of the game. Consequently his play was governed by considerations quite apart from those present to the minds of most masters, i.e. the necessity of finding the best lines of play.

It is well known that he deliberately made bad moves in order to prevent players of lesser ability reaching balanced positions by orthodox correct play. His object was to set his opponents continual problems, often running grave risks
himself in the process. In the great New York tournament of 1924, in which he won first prize, he was strategically lost at some period or other in at least half of his games, but only actually lost one. In the others his ingenuity in contriving tactical combinations and, if all else failed, his almost uncanny endgame play, saved him from disaster. In other words, the problems he set were too difficult for his opponents, although they comprised all the leading players of the world. He was greatly assisted by his careful study of the characteristics of each particular adversary, so that he knew the type of risk he could safely take against each one of them. Unlike Capablanca, who always played the board, Lasker definitely played the man. "This," he said himself, "is entirely in line with my theoretical conception of the fight. A game of chess is a fight in which all possible factors must be made use of and in which a knowledge of the opponent's good and bad qualities is of the greatest importance." He then proceeded to analyse the strength and weakness of all the tournament competitors in a manner which certainly makes his own play more intelligible. (This was during the New York Tournament, 1924, before mentioned.) His attitude to chess is well exemplified by a game which I played against him in the Nottingham International Tournament of 1936. After over half an hour's thought I placed a Knight on a square on which it could be taken by a pawn. Lasker replied instantaneously with a quiet defensive move and I soon found that all I had gained by my "brilliancy" was the loss of valuable thinking time.

After the game was over a spectator asked him what would have happened had he taken the Knight. "I do not know," he replied. "I was playing a strong master and if a strong master thinks for half an hour and then plays a piece where I can take it, I think that it will not be healthy for me to take it, and I let it alone." This simple attitude
was typical of Lasker. Other masters, always concerned to make the objectively best move, would have examined every variation of the sacrifice before rejecting it. All Lasker considered was that he had gained valuable time on the clock.

In his early days he was inclined to carry his theories of the fight into his relations with his fellow masters, and there was bitter hostility between him and Dr. Tarrasch who were not on speaking terms during the progress of their match, but when I met him he was an urbane old gentleman, quiet and courteous, with a kind word for everyone. He even took with a smile the onslaught of a coffee-house player whose egregious blunders he had mildly criticized after a skittle game. "What do you know about it you silly old man?" raved the irate duffer. "Very little," replied the ex-champion of the world. As an opponent he was the soul of courtesy, sitting at the board with scarcely a movement except to light one cigar from the butt of another, and he was quite without those irritating mannerisms with which so many players unconsciously disturb their adversary's train of thought. The secret of his success lay in his marvellous powers of analysis far in excess of that possessed by any of his rivals, and a positional sense amounting to genius. At the critical stage of a game his pieces always seemed to be on the right squares and he was a master of the art of unmasking hidden batteries, usually by the sacrifice of a pawn, in positions where his opponent had every right to think that, by all the canons of the game, the old master was completely lost. In the end he had to succumb to Capablanca, who had no weaknesses, and proved that even the greatest individual talent could not prevail against an equal talent plus a perfect technique, but it is certain that no champion has ever stood so high above his contemporaries as Dr. Emmanuel Lasker.

Lasker's best tournament performances were : Nuremberg

1896, London 1899, Paris 1900, Mährisch-Ostrau 1923, New York 1924, 1st. St. Petersburg 1909, equal 1st with Rubinstein. Moscow 1935, 2nd. All these were first-rate tournaments, with the world's best players among the opposition. In addition, he won many smaller events. In world championship matches he beat Steinitz by 20 games to 7, Marshall by 8-0, Tarrasch by 8-3, and Janovsky by 7-1, a record which speaks for itself. Lasker was born in 1868 and died in New York during the second world war.

The Lasker $v$. Marshall Match

F. J. Marshall

Lasker's first challenger, Frank J. Marshall, of New York, was one of the outstanding figures of the early twentieth century. In his later days he was described in the magazine Life as "a pre-occupied old gentleman who looks like a Shakespearian actor, smokes strong cigars, and always takes a pocket board to bed with him." In his charming and racy autobiography, Marshall admits the truth of this description but says in excuse that the board was only a little one. Certainly his life was bound up in chess. Born in New York in 1877, he began to play at the age of ten and from then onwards his career was one continual round of tournament after tournament, broken only by chess organizational work and the management of the famous Marshall Chess Club of New York, founded by himself and his devoted wife, "my Carrie."

More, perhaps, than any other master, Marshall really loved chess for its own sake rather than for the fame and prestige it brought him. Paradoxically his actual results might have been better had he loved the game less for in his everlasting search for the beautiful he was sometimes inclined to ignore the prosaic business of collecting points.

In contradiction to Lasker, who set out with the sole idea of beating his opponent, Marshall cared little for results but devoted his life to the creation of works of art on the chess board. In this he was brilliantly successful and his best games have left an indelible impression on the game. He was particularly dangerous in difficult positions and the term "Marshall swindle" has become synonymous with a profound and brilliant combination which snatches victory from the jaws of defeat. Apart from other claims to fame, Marshall must rank as the most travelled master. There is no quarter of the civilized globe into which he did not penetrate at one time or another, and the charm of his genial personality-no less than the brilliance of his playwon him golden opinions wherever he went. He was the perfect chess ambassador.

I first met him in the Masters' Tournament at the St. James's Club, London, in 1927, where I was instrumental in helping him to win third prize by defeating Dr. Vidmar in the last round. To show his gratitude Marshall and an American friend, who had come over with him, invited me to a champagne supper of which my recollections are somewhat hazy. Later I was with him at the International Team Tournaments in Prague and Warsaw, where he led the American team to success. Into these national battles he threw himself with a fervour quite foreign to the phlegmatic temperament which he displayed in his own individual tournaments, and, on the first occasion, when he was asked to make a speech at the concluding banquet, he was so overcome by emotion that he could only wave the Stars and Stripes and shout "Hip-Hip-Hurrah."

Marshall's influence on American chess was incalculable and it is largely due to his efforts that the United States became, in the 1930's, the strongest chess-playing country in the world. He was in the logical line of succession to Morphy and Pillsbury and played chess in the same grand style.

Marshall's career in international tournaments began in 1899 when he attended the great London Congress. Probably on account of his youth he was not admitted to the principal event, but he brilliantly won the minor tournament, which included several masters of great experience and high repute.

In 1900 he played in his first really big contest, at Paris, where he electrified the chess world by winning third prize behind Lasker and Pillsbury, both of whom he defeated in their individual games. From this point he was established as one of the world's leading players. His greatest triumph was Cambridge Springs, 1904, in which he won first prize ahead of Lasker, Schlechter, and Janovsky.

Other notable first prizes were Nuremberg 1906, in which he did not lose a game, Scheveningen 1905, and Düsseldorf 1908, and he also won innumerable lesser prizes all over the world. He won the U.S.A. Championship in 1906 by defeating Showalter and held it until 1936 when he voluntarily resigned, devoting the rest of his life to fostering and encouraging the development of the game among the younger generation.

In matches he was less successful than in tournaments, losing heavily to Tarrasch and Capablanca as well as to Lasker. This type of chess, in which caution must always be the predominant factor, did not suit him, although he did win two matches out of three played against Janovsky. Of the latter he remarked: "Janovsky is tremendously stubborn. He follows the wrong path with more determination than any man I ever met." Nevertheless, the meeting of two such incurable romantics produced some highly attractive specimens of the chess art.

Essentially human and filled with the zest for life, Marshall belonged to a race of chess masters now unfortunately becoming extinct, but he leaves an imperishable mark on the minds of all who knew him. A great player and a charming man. Who could want a better epitaph?

## The Match

The match between Dr. Emmanuel Lasker and Frank J. Marshall was played in New York in 1907 for a purse subscribed by the chess lovers of the United States. The conditions were that the first to win eight games should be the victor. Although the result was naturally very disappointing to the American champion and his supporters, it was by no means so one-sided as appears from the score, and in several of the games the champion had to fight very hard to avert defeat. With his usual acute psychological insight into his opponent's characteristics Lasker realized that Marshall's great strength lay in attack and he strained every nerve to maintain the initiative in his own hands or alternatively to simplify into an endgame. Here Lasker was undoubtedly superior and this factor contributed largely to the one-sidedness of the result. With the exception of the first, which is a superb example of the champion's technical skill, none of the games was outstanding.

Final score: Lasker 8; Marshall 0; Drawn 8.

## First Match Game

White: F. J. Marshall. Black: Dr. E. Lasker.

$$
1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4
$$

Unusual for Marshall, who nearly invariably opened with the Queen's Gambit. In later games in the match he reverted to his favourite.

| 1 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | Kt -QB3 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |  |

The open Ruy Lopez, played universally in the early stages
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of the opening but later considered rightly to be inferior to 4 Castles.

| $4 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5 Castles | B-K2 |

6 P -K5
Dr. Tarrasch recommends $6 \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$, Castles; $7 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$, but the text was almost routine at the time when the match was played.

| 6 | $\ldots$ | Kt-K 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $7 \mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P}$ | Castles |  |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |  |

With this attack, favoured by Dr. Zukertort, White could obtain the advantage of two Bishops, but it involves considerable loss of time.

| 8 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

But this cannot be right and merely leaves himself with the inferior development without compensating advantage. Correct was $9 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch, $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 3, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3$; $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$, with considerable attacking chances.

| $9 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $10 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch | $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{K} \mathrm{t}$ |
| $11 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R 5}$ |

The commencement of one of Lasker's fine sacrificial combinations, but since White can obtain equality with the best defence, it is possible that the simple $11 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ was objectively stronger. Psychology probably played a part in Lasker's choice here: Marshall liked to attack, not to be attacked.

| 12 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 14 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |

BLACK


WHITE
In this position White cannot save the Bishop. If $15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$; $16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ (threatening $17 \ldots$ B-B6); $17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch} ; 18 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}$; $19 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6 ; 20 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 5 \mathrm{ch} ; 21 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1;} 22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt7} \mathrm{ch} ; 23 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $24 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch} ; 25 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6$; forcing mate. A beautiful combination.

## 15 P -KKt3

But this is not the best. The simple answer $15 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B} ; \mathbf{1 6} \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ gives approximately an equal game.
$15 \ldots$
Q-B3
$16 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$

Again he cannot save the Bishop. If $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$; 17 K-R1, B-R6; 18 R-KKt1, P-KR4; 19 P-KKt4, $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P} ; \mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R}$ and White has no defence against the threat of $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} t 6$.

| $16 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $17 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ ch |
| $18 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Qch}$ |
| $19 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QKt1}$ |

From now on Lasker's endgame skill is shown in its highest form. Most players would have checked with the

Bishop either at R3 or R6, but Lasker realizes that the best diagonal for the Bishop depends on White's play and therefore retains the option. One great advantage of the text move is that it forces White to advance his QKtP, and so deprives him of the strong manœuvre $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ and $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 4 \\
21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 &
\end{array}
$$

This move, which allows Black a powerful passed pawn, seems forced. If $21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR} 4$; $22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 3$ would win comfortably, and if $21 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, Black can play $21 \ldots$ R-KR4; $22 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}$, followed if $23 \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ by $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8$.

| 21 | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $22 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |
| 23 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| 24 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1 \mathrm{ch}$ |  |

Dr. Tarrasch rightly condemns this move which drives the King to a better square and brings the Rook from an effective post to an ineffective one. Probably Marshall was anxious to gain time on the clock.

| $24 \ldots$ | K-K2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |

So as to bring the Rook to attack the new weakness, the QRP. Had White refrained from the check on the 24th move, he could have answered this move by $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q K} t 4$. Now $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$ would be met by $26 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3$; 27 $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$, or if $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5 ; 28 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 7$; $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt3}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 30 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7$, winning quickly in either case.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3 \\
27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1 &
\end{array}
$$

It makes little difference whether this or $27 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ is played, e.g. $27 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5}$; $28 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{ch}$; $29 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$, with positions analogous to the game.

## DR. EMMANUEL LASKER

| $27 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $28 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $29 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| $30 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |

Decisive. The Black King now enters the White entrenchments with fatal effect.

| $32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $33 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $34 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

Winning the KP by "zugzwang." He could also win by $34 \ldots$ K-B6; 35 K-K3, B-Q8, or 35 K-K1, P-QR4.
$35 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$
$36 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1$
P-QR3

37 K-K1
38 Kt-Q2 ch
$39 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt1}$
$40 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$
41 Kt -Kt1
$\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{P}$
B-K7
K-K6
P-B4
P-R4
K—B6
White could resign now, but the finish is amusing.

| $42 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $43 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| $44 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |
| $45 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| $46 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |

If White now moves his Knight he is mated after K-K6.

| $47 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 48 | $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| $49 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt6}$ |
| 50 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

A fine game by Lasker, who was just a little better than his opponent in all departments.
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## Third Match Game

White: F. J. Marshall. Black: Dr. E. Lasker.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |  |
| $4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |
| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |

Lasker's own system of defence to which he has given his name. It is one of the soundest methods of defending the Queen's Gambit provided Black does not mind a rather cramped position in the early stages. Usually this move is preceded by 5 ... Castles, but it does not make much difference.

| $6 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |  |

This is an ineffective move against Lasker's defence. Better is $\mathbf{7} \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{B} \mathbf{2}, \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} ; \mathbf{8} \mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$, or $\mathbf{7} \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$; $8 \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $9 \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ followed by $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q B} 4$.

| 7 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8 | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| $9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 10 | Castles |

Slightly better is $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$; 11 Castles and 12 Kt-K5. The Knight is not quite so well placed for defensive purposes at KB3 as he is in the game.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
10 \ldots & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1 \\
11 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1 \\
12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4 \\
13 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 1 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 \\
14 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3 &
\end{array}
$$

This and the following Queen moves indicate that Marshall was unable to find a plan. Whatever the risks, he should continue with $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB4}$, and if $14 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK}$ t3; 15
$\mathbf{B P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathrm{KP} \times \mathbf{P}$; $16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt4}$, followed by an attack with the heary pieces on the King's side, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$, etc.

| $14 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $15 \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{KP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| $17 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| $18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |

Preventing $19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ because of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; Black's strategy is clear. If he can build up an adequate defence on the King's wing he must obtain an advantage on the other side by exchanging pawns at the right moment, either saddling White with a weak backward QBP, or securing a two-to-one pawn majority for the ending.

| $19 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q} 3)-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} 3)-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |

Forcing the exchange of Bishops and so making the defence easier. White cannot reply 22 B-B2 because of $22 \ldots$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$, winning the KP.

| $22 . \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |

24. KR-B1

He must prevent $24 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $25 \mathrm{BP} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$.

| $24 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} 2)-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ |

Preparing the powerful Knight march Kt-R2, B3, and K5.

$$
26 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2
$$

A poor move which puts the King on the same diagonal as the Black Queen. It is, however, very difficult to find a continuation for White whose King's side initiative has disappeared while his Queen's side weakness remains.
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Comparatively best seems pure defence by 26 Q-B2, Kt-R2; 27 Q-Kt2.
27 Q-R5

$$
\mathbf{K t - R 2}
$$

28 Q-B5
Kt-B3
$29 \mathrm{KP} \times \mathbf{P}$

BLACK


WHITE
At last Marshall is able to lay a trap for his opponent. If Black plays $29 \ldots \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$; then $30 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$; $\mathbf{3 1} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch, K-R2; $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathbf{R} ; \mathbf{3 3} \mathbf{K} t \times \mathbf{P} \mathbf{c h}$, and wins, but Lasker is not to be caught by such snares.

$$
29 \ldots \quad \text { Kt-K5 }
$$

In his turn Lasker makes a sacrificial combination which White is almost compelled to accept as his position on the Queen's wing is no longer defensible. The ensuing play is very fine.

| $30 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K} \mathrm{t}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $31 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{B} 1) \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B1}$ |
| 32 Q-Kt7 |  |

If $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{Kt4}, \mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ wins at once, a sad consequence of his unfortunate 26 th move.
32
$\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch
$33 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 1$

If $33 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch} ; 34 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch}$; 35 $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ (if $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$, Kt-B7 ch; $36 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$, R-B4; $37 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5$ and wins); $35 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt6} \mathrm{ch}$; 36 K-RI, Q $\times$ P ch; $37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt5} \mathrm{ch} ; 38 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4$ and wins.
$33 \ldots \quad$ Q-K6 ch
34 K-R2
If $34 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$; $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$, as in previous note, as $36 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 3$ is met by $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 34 \\
& 35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Q-Kt6 ch

Kt-Q7
A beautiful waiting move, which must have been seen by Lasker when he sacrificed the exchange on move 29. Although White is able to bring his Queen back into play with a check he cannot save the game.
$36 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{QP} \mathrm{ch}$
K-RI
37 K-R1

If $37 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R} ; 38 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ and wins.

| 37 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{B} 6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{K t}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q} ; \mathbf{3 9} \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R P}$ ch, etc., would prolong but not save the game.

| 38 | Q $\times$ RP ch |
| :---: | :---: |
| $39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 1$ | Q-Kt6 ch |
| $40 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R1}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| $41 . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |
| $42 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| Resigns |  |

A beautiful finish. Had he played $42 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 5 ; 43$ $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$, White could still have shown plenty of fight, but as played he cannot avoid mate for if $43 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 8, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch}$, and mates next move.
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## Tenth Match Game

White: Dr. E. Lasker. Black: F. J. Marshall.
$1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$
$2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
3 Kt -QB3
4 B-Kt5

P -K3
P—Q4
$\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$
B—Kt5

The MacCutcheon variation, almost in its infancy in 1907. The modern continuation for White is $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$; $6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 7 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$, a very complicated game on which the analysts have not yet reached a conclusion. Lasker chooses a simpler line, leading to equality, a wise choice as Marshall was a personal acquaintance of the inventor of the opening and no doubt knew all its tricks.

$$
5 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}
$$

Best. After $5 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ the Black Bishop is out of place at QKt5, and will probably have to retreat, with loss of valuable time.

| $6 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $7 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ |
| $8 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $10 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |  |

Better than $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ to which Black can play $10 \ldots$ R-KKt1; $11 \mathbf{R}-K K t 1, \mathbf{P}-K 4$, with an excellent game.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 \ldots \\
& 11 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1
\end{aligned}
$$

B-Q2

In the eighth match game, Lasker played $11 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch} ; 12 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 13 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$, Castles QR. with an equal game. This seems rather better than the line chosen here as it delays the advance of Black's KP.

| $11 \ldots$ | Castles QR |
| :--- | :--- |
| $12 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | P-K4 |

With this excellent move Black obtains the initiative.

BLACK


WHITE

## 13 B-QKt5

After this Black is able to win a pawn by a complicated series of exchanges. 13 P -Q5 would be a mistake on account of $13 \ldots$ Kt-Kt5; 14 B-B4, B-Kt4; 15 $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$, winning the QP , but $13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 14$ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ is safe enough.

| $13 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $14 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K t}$ |
| $15 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| $16 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{B}$ ch | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| $17 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ |  |

Rather stronger may be $\mathbf{1 7} \mathbf{K R}-\mathrm{Q1}$ to which Black appears to have nothing better than $17 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R}$; $18 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$ (threatening mate in two); $18 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt4} \mathrm{ch} ; 19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$, Q-KB4; $19 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{R P}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{KBP}$ ch; $20 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ best, $\mathrm{P}-$ B3; $21 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt1} ; 22 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 23 \mathrm{R}-$ Q7 and Black could hardly hope to win.

| $17 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ ch |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $19 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}$ |
| $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |  |
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This advance causes him some trouble later on. If he wished to make a loophole for his King, 20 P -R3 was natural and good.

| $20 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
| $22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| $23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| $24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $25 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |
| $26 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch |
| $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

If $27 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5 ; 28 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$ and Black is compelled to repeat moves.
$28 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$
Q Q4 ch
29 P -Kt3

If 29 R -Kt3, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 5$ wins for Black.

| $29 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $30 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |  |

The White position looks dangerous, but this move enables him to get his Rook back into play just in time.
30 ...
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$

Black maintains his pawn ahead, but with all the pawns on the same side of the board his chances of success are minute. Here he has many attacking moves but White can always defend himself. If, for instance, $30 \ldots$ Q-K4, threatening $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8$; $31 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ or if $\mathbf{3 0} \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 8, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 4$.

31 Q-R8 ch
$32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2$
Threatening $32 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ ch with an easy draw.

| $32 \ldots$ | P-B4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 33 R-QB4 | R-B8 |
| 34 K—R2 |  |

So as to answer $34 \ldots$ Q-Q8 by 35 Q-K5, Q-Kt8 ch; 36 K-R3 with a forced draw.

| 34 | $\ldots$ | R-B2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35 | Q-QB8 | P-Kt3 |
| 36 | R-KKt4 | Q-Q2 |

The threat of $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Kt8}$ compels Black to offer the exchange of Queens, after which there are no winning chances left. A very good game, particularly by Marshall, who would probably have won against any lesser antagonist. The remaining moves were: $37 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q} ; 38 \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{KB} 4$, P-Kt4; $39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 4$; $40 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$, K-Kt2; 41 $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 6, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5 ; 42 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 43 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}$, R-Q3; $44 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 4$; $45 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$; 46 R-QB8, P—R4; $47 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ e.p. ch; $48 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathbf{P}$, Drawn.

## The Lasker v. Tarrasch Match

## Dr. S. Tarrasch

Lasker's second opponeṇt, Dr. S. Tarrasch, was in every way worthy of his steel and indeed his tournament record was superior to that of the champion himself. Born in 1862, he was six years older than his rival and by 1908, when the match under consideration was played, he had already won no less than seven big international tournaments, a record unequalled by any other master. These successes were gained at Breslau 1889, Manchester 1890, Dresden 1892, Leipzig 1894, Vienna 1898, Monte Carlo 1903, and Ostend 1907. Besides them he had won many smaller events as well as numbers of lesser prizes. A match between Tarrasch and Lasker might well have taken place at an earlier date, but a number of considerations, not the least of which was the personal hostility between them, made it difficult to bring them together. When at last the match was arranged, it was felt that here, if anywhere, was the
opponent to take the crown from Lasker, and the final score was a great disappointment to Tarrasch and his supporters.

Tarrasch, like Marshall, loved chess and was inclined to put the quality of his games above the result, although in his case scientific accuracy rather than brilliancy was the ideal at which to aim. Like all players of his generation he was naturally much influenced by Steinitz, but he had a more practical mind than that great theorist and he was able to improve and develop the Steinitzian ideas, eliminating the old champion's eccentricities, and establishing in his own play a happy synthesis between the profound concepts of Steinitz regarding the framework of the position and a rapid mobility of pieces, the importance of which had been neglected by the old champion. His play is a model of purity and logic and there is no better guide for the student to follow. Nevertheless, he had the defects of his qualities. He loved the tried and well-beaten paths, and whenever a game deviated from the courses with which he was familiar he was apt to lose his sense of balance and become flustered. Such opponents as Lasker and later Alekhine and Niemzovitch were, of course, quick to take advantage of this temperamental weakness. He was a brilliant writer on the game, his annotations being models of accuracy and depth while his two main books, Three Hundred Best Games and Chess, are real classics. The section of the latter work which deals with the middle game is the best that I have seen, and he also sheds a great deal of valuable light on the difficult, though vitally important, subject of Rook and pawn endgames.

Although he contributed a great deal to the theory of the openings, his views on this branch of the game have to be treated with caution. He carried consistency of opinion to the length of stubbornness and once he had taken a variation under his wing he could never be induced to relinquish
it, even when the iron test of tournament practice had proved its inferiority. In consequence, his treatment of certain openings is that of a special pleader rather than an impartial judge. In addition, he entirely failed to grasp the ideas behind the new opening systems introduced by Niemzovitch, Tartakover, and Réti, which he regarded as mere temporary excrescences and could never understand why he lost against them. In the earlier part of the century, however, he was the ideal chess mentor and, as he always published the result of his researches, he must take a great deal of credit for the general improvement in chess standards which has taken place during the last fifty years.

In character he had the reputation of being somewhat irascible and certainly he had strong likes and dislikes, noteworthy among the latter being Lasker, although it is greatly to his credit that he always expressed a proper appreciation of his rival's play. In later life he seemed to mellow and was in great demand as a tournament director, a position for which his strong personality and complete knowledge of the game made him entirely suitable. He possessed also a caustic sense of humour, as shown in his attitude to an incident which occurred at a tournament where he was acting as director. Informed by a horrified attendant that one of the spectators was asleep, Tarrasch hurried to the scene, but, after surveying the dull position and watching a few inept moves, he turned away with the remark: "Ah, an acute critic!"

Personally, I met him at only one tournament, London 1927. He had made himself unpopular in this country by his opposition to the entry into the Hamburg Tournament of the British Champion, whom he called caustically, "A certain Mr. Yates," a taunt to which Yates replied by brilliantly defeating him, and I felt rather nervous in his presence. However, he did me the honour of sitting on my hat for three hours while he analysed an adjourned game.
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I kept that hat till my hair came through the crown, and since then I have worn no other.

## The Match

The match between Lasker and Tarrasch was played at Düsseldorf and Munich, the conditions being the same as those in the Marshall match, viz. that the first to win eight games should be the victor.

In the early part of the struggle there seemed a possibility that the hopes of the challenger's supporters might be realized, but he seemed to lose heart when his carefully prepared system of defence to the Ruy Lopez was defeated in the fifth game and, quite unnecessarily, abandoned the variation. From then onwards Lasker was always winning, although Tarrasch put up a stout resistance to the very end. In fact, the games of this match were of a very high quality and several of them appear in most collections of classic games. I have deliberately refrained from giving one or two of the best known.

Final score: Lasker 8; Tarrasch 3; Drawn 5.

## Second Match Game

White: Dr. S. Tarrasch. Black: Dr. E. Lasker.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | Kt -QB3 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5$ | K - |
| 4 | Castles | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |

The Steinitz Defence. This must have come as a surprise to Tarrasch as Lasker had repeatedly smashed up this variation in his matches with Steinitz himself, and was reputed to hold a low opinion of it.
$5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
$6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$

B-Q2
$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$

$$
7 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1 \quad \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{P}
$$

Of course he does not fall into the "Tarrasch trap," $7 \ldots$ Castles; $8 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K t}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B} ; 9 \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 10 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$, $\mathbf{Q R} \times \mathbf{Q}$ (if $10 \ldots \mathrm{KR} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $11 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathbf{P}$; $12 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$, $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $13 \mathrm{Kt-Q3}, \mathrm{P-KB4;} 14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ and wins); $11 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $12 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P}-$ $\mathrm{KB} 4 ; 14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 15 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; 16 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ followed by $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 7$, winning the exchange.

| $8 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$ | Castles |
| :--- | :--- |
| $9 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |  |

More usual is $9 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K t}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$; $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5}$, but Tarrasch had a great affection for Bishops. Gaining a Bishop for a Knight he termed "Winning the minor exchange."

$$
9 \ldots \quad B \times K t
$$

This move was widely condemned and certainly $9 \ldots$ $\mathbf{P} \times$ Kt seems much better. After the exchange of Bishops the White Knight is able to settle on KB5.

| $10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $11 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |

En route for the important square. Black cannot reply $\mathbf{1 1} \ldots \mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P}$ because of $\mathbf{K t}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ with the double threat of $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K t}$ and $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P}$. White would eventually win a piece.

| 11 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |  |

Intending to play B-B1 followed by P-KKt3 and BKt2, but White's excellent next move gives him no time to complete the manœuvre.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3 & \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1 \\
14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5
\end{array}
$$

Had anyone else but Dr. Lasker made this move it would be put down as a blunder, but White has already secured a very strong game of the type which he played perfectly,
and it is quite possible that the champion deliberately made the move in order to bring about complications in which his opponent might go astray.

$$
15 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{K} t \times \mathbf{B P}
$$

Of course, if $15 \ldots \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B} ; 16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ and $17 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ wins easily.

BLACK


WHITE

## $16 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{Kt}$

And here at once is the mistake. With this move White wins a pawn but Black obtains counter chances. Much stronger was 16 Q-Q4, Kt-Kt5 (if $16 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4 ; 17$ Q-B3, Kt-Kt5; $18 \mathrm{Kt-B5}$ and Black has no good answer to the threat of $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ ); $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ (what else?); 18 P-KR3, Kt-K4; $19 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$ with a winning attack.

| $16 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |
| $18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $19 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{RP}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |

Threatening $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K P}$ followed by $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{K t}$ ch. The pressure Black is able to exert on the King's file gives him good counter play which Lasker exploits in splendid style.

| $20 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |  |

A routine move which does not help much. The position of the White Knight at B5 appears strong, but is not so actually as his support can be undermined by $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q 4}$. A good line therefore seems to be $21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ and if $21 \ldots$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P} ; 23 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R} ; 24 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 25 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ and White is safe enough. Against other 21st moves of Black, White can equally play the consolidating $22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$.
21 ...
QR-K1
22 Q-B3
$22 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ would not be good on account of $22 \ldots$ Q-K3; $23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ and Black will win the QP.

| $22 \ldots$ | $Q-B 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 23 |  |

After this retreat White's game rapidly disintegrates. Better chances appear to be offered by the apparently risky $23 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch; $25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$.

| $23 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| $25 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |

There is nothing satisfactory now. If $25 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{t 3}$; $26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$, etc.

| $25 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $26 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $28 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ |

Lasker conducts the counter-attack with superb vigour. White's last move indicated his intention of escaping with his King to the Queen's side, but if now $29 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$; $30 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ and wins.
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$
$30 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R1}$
$31 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 2$
P—Q5
Q-QR3
Threatening $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K t}$.
$32 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$
R-KKt4
33 R-R1
If $\mathbf{3 3} \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q R 4} 4,33 \ldots \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R} 3$ is even stronger than in the game as White has no escape for the King at Q1.

33
Q-R3
34 K-K1
Of course not $\mathbf{3 4} \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3, \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Kt6} ; \mathbf{3 5} \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{Kt7}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$; $\mathbf{3 6} \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}-K t 8$ mate.

| $34 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 8 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $36 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} t 4)-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| $37 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |  |

Hoping for the reply $\mathbf{3 7} \ldots \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} 6 ; 38 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{Q 5} ; \mathbf{3 9}$ $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch, but Lasker is not to be caught in such traps.

| 37 | R(K4)-K3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $38 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ | R(K1)-K2 |
| 39 Q-Q8 ch | K-Kt2 |
| $40 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |
| 41. $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | B-Kt4 |
| Resigns |  |

A fine finish to a typical Lasker counter-attack. After $42 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$; $43 \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathbf{R} 5$ wins everything.

Third Match Game
White: Dr. E. Lasker. Black: Dr. S. Tarrasch.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |


| 5 | Castles | B-K2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$ |
| $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |  |
| 8 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{QR} 4$ |

Nowadays these Queen's side manœuvres are usually preceded by Castling.

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 \\
10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2
\end{array}
$$

For now White can interfere with Black's plan by 11 $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$, to which there is no better answer than $11 \ldots$ P-Kt5. A game, Keres v. Reshevsky, Stockholm 1937, proceeded $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR4}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$; $12 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{KtP}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{KtP}$; 13 P-R3, Castles; 14 QKt-Q2, B-K3; 15 Kt-B1, $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{BI}$; $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3$ and White has more space for manouvring than his opponent.

| 11 QKt-Q2 | Kt-B3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ | Castles |
| $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |  |

A pawn sacrifice which was a favourite of Lasker's, who always maintained that it was sound. It has now gone out of fashion and seems unnecessary as White can secure an excellent game by $13 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$; $15 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$; 16 Q-K2, P-Kt3; $17 \mathrm{Kt-Kt5}$ (Dr. Euwe v. Smyslov, World Championship, 1948).

| 13 | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{B P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{K} t \times \mathbf{Q P}$ |

$14 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ is perhaps even better. The Knight may find a useful opportunity of playing to $\mathbf{K} 4$.

| 15 | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 17 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| 18 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |  |

The great Austrian master, Carl Schlechter, suggested
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what seems to be a preferable alternative in $18 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$, R-R2; 19 P-Kt3. After 19 ... P-Kt3 (if $19 \ldots$ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $20 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 5$ ); $20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2$, B-B3; $21 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, Black will find it impossible ultimately to preserve the pawn at Q4. Lasker prefers to rely on direct King's side attack.

| $18 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |

Finely played. If White replies $20 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{Q P}$, there follows $20 \ldots \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$; $21 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 22 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathrm{K} t-$ B6 ch; $23 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2, \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{Q}$; $24 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K} t \times \mathbf{B} ; 25 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ with a safe pawn ahead.

| 20 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 3$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

BLACK


A very fine move which transforms the game. White's attacking chances are cut down to a minimum and Black begins to exercise pressure against White's KBP. If White replies $26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ (threatening $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ ); 27
$\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B} ; 28 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 4, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 29 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ with an overwhelming position.

$$
26 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch} \quad \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1
$$

Not $26 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 27 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} \mathrm{db}$ ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} \mathbf{1} ; 28 \mathrm{~B}-\mathbf{R} 6$ and wins.

| $27 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathbf{R} 4$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $28 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| $29 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |
| $30 \mathrm{~K}-\mathbf{R 1}$ |  |

Lasker, as always, chooses the line which affords the best tactical chances. Purely defensive play is of no avail either. If $30 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$; $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$. If $30 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 3$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ wins and if $\mathbf{3 0} \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 31 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ and wins.
$30 \ldots \quad$ R-B7
31 R-K3
He must prevent $31 \ldots \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$.

| $31 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{KR} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $32 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 22$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ |

Of course not $32 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 33 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6$ mate.
33 R-KKt1 R-QB8
34 Q-K7
The last remote chance. If $34 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt3}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ wins at once.

| $34 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \mathbf{c h}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 5} \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q} 8=\mathbf{Q}$ ch |
| $\mathbf{3 6} \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ |

A delightfully cool move which makes possible the long combination that follows.

$$
39 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{R} 4) \times \mathbf{R} \mathbf{c h}
$$
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Black now forces the win of the Queen or mate.
$40 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$
$\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP} \mathrm{ch}$
$41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$
Q-B7 ch
42 K-K3

Other King moves lead to mate.
42
Q-Q6 ch
$43 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$

If $43 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \mathrm{ch} ; 44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt} \mathrm{ch} ; 45$
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$; $46 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ (if $46 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, Q-Kt6 ch wins the Queen); $46 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3 \mathrm{ch}$ and mates next move.
43
P -Kt4 ch
$44 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$
Kt-B2 ch
Resigns

A splendidly-played game by Tarrasch.
Fifth Match Game
White: Dr. E. Lasker. Black: Dr. S. Tarrasch.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 5 | Castles | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} 4$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{QKt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ | Castles |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 14 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{QKt} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $15 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |  |
| $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |  |  |

In the third game (supra), Lasker played $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}$. Although the text is more immediately attacking and proved successful in this instance, it does not appear adequate against the best defence.

$$
16 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathbf{R} 3
$$

The correct move was $16 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q4}$. Of this Tarrasch writes: "After $17 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$; $18 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{3}$; 19 Kt-K2, Kt-B3; $20 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt3}$; 21 Q- Q2, White will ultimately capture the pawn at Q4, leaving Black with an isolated pawn at Q3." I. König, however, points out that after $21 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, Black can play $21 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$; $22 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathbf{B} \times$ QP; 23 QR-Q1, QR-Q1, with an excellent game.
$17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KR} 4$

Q—Kt3
18 Q-Q3
Threatening $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K}$.

$$
18 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK} t 4
$$

This creates a fatal weakness in the defences of Black's King. Better was $18 \ldots$ R-K1, but White can obtain the superior game either by the simple 19 QR-Q1, or by 19 P -K5, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $20 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 21 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ followed by Kt-Kt3 with great pressure against Black's King's wing.

| $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | B-K3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20 QR-Q1 | KR-B1 |
| 21 B-Kt1 | Kt-Q2 |
| $22 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | Kt-B1 |
| 23 Q-KB3 |  |

Preparing to attack the weak King's side. Regaining the pawn leads only to equality.


A splendid move which breaks the whole Black position wide open.
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BLACK


WHITE

$$
25 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4
$$

Comparatively best. After the game Tarrasch suggested the variation $25 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}$; $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ dis ch; $27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $28 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$; but White can then play $29 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ (if $29 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$; 30 $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 1 ; 31 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ winning easily); $30 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P} \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{B}$; $\mathbf{3 1} \mathbf{K t - K t 4}$ and Black must give up his Queen to avoid mate.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P} \text { e.p. ch } & \mathrm{B} \times \mathbf{P} \\
27 \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} \\
28 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} .5 &
\end{array}
$$

Depriving Black of his last effective piece. The remainder is a massacre.

| $28 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ dis ch |
| :--- | :--- |
| $29 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}$ |
| $30 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $31 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| $32 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} \mathbf{1}$ |

If $32 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R} ; 34 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$, etc. The object of the text is to prevent the Queen playing to KR6 after $33 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$.

| $33 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $34 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |

$35 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$
$\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B}$

If $35 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$; $36 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 6$.
36 Q-K3 R-B2
37 Kt-B5
Threatening Q-R3 ch, etc.
37
38
Q—Kt5

Q-QB3
Resigns
He cannot defend both the threatened mates. A fine example of Lasker's skill in attack.

## Last Game of Match

White: Dr. S. Tarrasch. Black: Dr. E. Lasker.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| $\mathbf{3} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |
| $4 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |  |
| 5 | Castles | Castles |
| 6 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

More usual is $7 \ldots \mathbf{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $8 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$ and then either $7 \ldots$ Q-K2; $7 \ldots$ Kt-K2; or $7 \ldots$ B- Q2, followed by Kt-K2. The text creates great tactical complexities and was no doubt chosen by Lasker for that reason.

$$
8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4
$$

The most aggressive continuation. A good alternative is the quieter $8 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$, followed by $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ and then $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
8 \ldots & \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} \\
9 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{K R 3}
\end{array}
$$

A very complicated position. White could win a pawn by $10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{KKt}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$; $11 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $12 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, but Black secures good counter play after $12 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QKt1}$; 13 Q-Q3, best B-R4; 14 Kt-Q1, R-Kt5. Another
alternative is $10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{QKt}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $11 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ (if $11 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{KtP}$, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{KtP}$ ) ; $11 \ldots \mathrm{KtP} \times \mathrm{B}$; $12 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 13 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{K} t$ and Black regains the pawn with a good game.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4 & \mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{K} 4 \\
11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB} 4
\end{array}
$$

The point of Lasker's plan of defence. Black loses a piece but secures a strong counter-attack.

$$
12 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}
$$

Winning a piece but allowing Black his strong counterattack. Much to be preferred is Teichmann's suggestion, 12 K -R1. If, then, $12 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{K} 4)$-Kt5; $13 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, threatening $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$, and if $12 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}$; $13 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}$. In either case White has by far the better game. However, it is easy to be wise after the event, and it is not surprising that Tarrasch was unable to resist the immediate win of material.

| 12 | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KKt} 5$ |
| 15 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |

The only move.

| $15 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $16 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |

A fine move which brings both Black's Rooks into immediate action.

17 Q-Q3
Apparently best. If $17 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ Lasker gives the variation $17 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$; $18 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R1}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 4 ; 19 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}$; $20 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $21 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$, R-B5; $22 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K t}$, etc.

| $17 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{RI}$ |

19 K—R1
$20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$
$21 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KP}$
$22 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$
$23 \mathrm{Kt} \times$ BP
$24 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$
$25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
$\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$
QR-K1
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$
QR-K1

If $25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} \mathrm{ch} ; 26 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8 ; 27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}$; $28 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ (if $\mathbf{2 8} \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ wins the Knight); $28 \ldots$ P-KKt4, and the Black pawns on the King's side advance with the aid of the King while White's pieces remain disconnected. Nevertheless, this perhaps represents White's best chance.

| $25 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $26 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |

And White resigned the game and the match. White's 26 th move was an unfortunate blunder, but it is doubtful if he can save the game. Black threatens $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ and R5, forcing the entry of the Queen's Rook at K7. Comparatively best for White seems to be the sacrifice of a second pawn by $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ followed by $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$, but his position remains very difficult.

Position after $16 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$
BLACK


WHITE

## The Lasker $v$. Janovsky Match

## D. Janovsky

Although Janovsky, a Pole naturalized as a French citizen, had a good tournament record, his qualifications as a world championship contender were considerably less than those of such players as Maroczy and Rubinstein, and the match with Lasker was entirely due to the financial backing provided by his patron, the wealthy Monsieur Nardus. In his early career Janovsky was extremely lucky in obtaining the support of this gentleman who assisted him in his tournament expenses and arranged several matches, including a short one with Lasker, which resulted in a tie 2-2. Lasker, however, was a very different opponent when the World Championship was at stake.

The one fly in Janovsky's ointment was that Nardus insisted on playing numbers of friendly games with him, and their relationship terminated when, at the conclusion of one such session the master broke out with: "Of all the bad players I have ever met you are the very worst." Nardus, who appears to have had a very good idea of his own abilities, did not take this criticism kindly, and Janovsky was henceforth left to his own devices. It was a sad blow to him. In the days of patronage the living of a professional chess master depended on his ability to make himself popular, and this Janovsky could never do. His supreme confidence in his own abilities-he is reported to have said: "There are only three chess masters, Lasker, Capablanca, and the third I am too modest to mention"produced an arrogance of manner which jarred upon most of those with whom he came in contact, and he fell into very low water. A trip to America, where he did not meet with any great success although he was one of the few to win a game from Capablanca, brought him little reward, and he unfortunately developed the germs of consumption
from which he eventually died. I met him in Paris in 1926, shortly after his return to Europe. He took a great fancy to my wife who shared with him a passion for strong tea, and they sat together for hours in a corner of the Café Rotonde in the Palais Royale, Janovsky boiling water on a small spirit lamp while he discoursed on his past glories and his plans for the future. He never realized that he was a dying man.

Janovsky's principal tournament successes were: 2nd to Lasker, London 1899; 1st, Hanover 1902; 3rd, Monte Carlo 1902, where he took his prize of $£ 150$ to the tables, turned it into $£ 3,000$ in one day and then had to borrow his fare home; 2nd with Lasker to Marshall at Cambridge Springs in 1904; 1st, Barmen 1905; and 2nd at Ostend in the same year. He also played three matches with Marshall, winning one and losing two, all by a narrow margin.

After his break with Nardus he accomplished comparatively little so far as results were concerned, although he played in a large number of tournaments and could always be relied upon to produce at least one brilliant game. In these later tournaments he developed the unfortunate habit of refusing to resign, due, no doubt, to his supreme optimism which made it impossible for him to realize that he could be beaten, a quality useful up to a point but which, in his case, degenerated into sheer obstinacy. In style he was combinative to the point of recklessness, attacking, as has been said, from the very first move, and his best games are extremely beautiful although he played too many bad ones for a master of the very top rank. One of his weaknesses was his refusal to recognize when a position was, by its nature, drawn, and he must have lost more games by trying to win such positions than any other grand master.

Lasker said that Janovsky loved playing chess so much that he could not bear a game to finish and preferred to
lose rather than bring it to an untimely end. In point of fact, his confidence in his own abilities made him sure, in spite of many lessons to the contrary, that he could always outplay his opponents somewhere and somehow.

In spite of his faults, which only injured himself, Janovsky was a great personality and the quality of his best games will always give him a place in the hearts of those who love and admire a true chess artist.

## The Match

The match between Lasker and Janovsky was played in Paris in 1910. Although Janovsky did win one fine game, the match was really the easiest the champion played. He was, of course, fully aware of his opponent's temperamental weaknesses and their effect on his play, and took full advantage of his knowledge. Again and again Janovsky found himself forced into positions where his combinative genius had no possible scope and his attempts to transform such positions into types more to his liking usually resulted in material loss and speedy disaster. With more conservative play he could probably have made a better score, but had he adopted such tactics he would not have been Janovsky. The result came as a surprise to no one except the challenger.

Final score: Lasker 7; Janovsky 1; Drawn 2.

## Second Match Game

White: D. Janovsky. Black: Dr. E. Lasker.

1 P-K4
$2 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$
3 Kt-B3
4 B-Kt5
5 Castles

P—K4
Kt-QB3
Kt-B3
B-Kt5
Castles

| $6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| $8 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |  |

So far a normal Four Knights' game, which has now gone out of fashion, as Black has several lines which secure equality. Besides the move chosen by Lasker, $8 \ldots$ BQ2, followed by Kt-K2 or $8 \ldots$ Q-K2 are quite satisfactory.

| 8 | $\ldots$ | $K t-K 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ |  |  |

After $9 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K t}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$, White does not seem able to take advantage of the weakness on Black's King's wing because of the absence of his Queen's Bishop, whereas Black may be able to make good use of his control of the black square at his KB5 in conjunction with the open KKt file. Marshall v. Capablanca played $9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$, Kt-K1; 11 B-Kt3, B-K3, but secured no advantage. Nevertheless, the line is preferable to that chosen here.

| 9 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{QK} \mathrm{t}$ |

He cannot play 11 Q-Q2 on account of $11 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{KP}$.

| $11 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 12 Kt -B3 |  |

The Knight must retreat in view of the threat $12 \ldots$ $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{P}$.

| $12 \ldots$ | B-Kt5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 13 P-KR3 | B-R4 |
| 14 R-Kt1 | P-QKt3 |

15 Q-Q2
The only logical continuation. He cannot remain in the pin indefinitely and the open KKt file gives him some compensation for the doubled pawn.

## KINGS OF CHESS

| 15 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} t$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{K} t-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
| 17 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 18 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ | $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| 19 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |  |

Preventing $19 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 4$.
19 ...
K-R1
20 R -QKt5

Janovsky's last four moves have been strongly played and with this he brings his QR to the King's wing without loss of time. Unfortunately for him the attack is not strong enough.

20 ... Q-R3
$20 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ would lead to complications tending in favour of White after $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$, and if $21 \ldots \mathrm{QP} \times \mathrm{P}$; $22 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{BP}$ with greatly improved attacking chances.

21 QR-Kt5
P-KB3
22 QR-Kt4
P-Kt3
23 B-Q3
R -K2

BLACK

white
To this move the loss of the game may be directly attributed as it allows Black to bring his Knight, whose function at
present is purely defensive, into a strong attacking position. It is not easy, however, to suggest a constructive plan for White.

$$
24 \ldots \quad K t-K t 2
$$

Lasker takes immediate advantage of the opportunity to bring his Knight to an attacking post. If White now plays $25 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 26 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 27 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{B} 4)-\mathrm{Kt4}$, $K t \times$ QP and White's Queen's wing is hopelessly weakened. Comparatively best seems 25 P -Q5, although this yields Black a valuable square at K 4 .

| 25 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ |
| 27 | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} t 4)-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |  |

If $\mathbf{2 7} \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P} ; \mathbf{2 8} \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ (otherwise Black plays $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 4$ and $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ ) ; $28 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt4}$; $29 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8$, and if $30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$; $31 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} \times \mathrm{RP} \mathrm{ch}$; $32 \mathbf{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B} ; \mathbf{3 3} \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Ktl} ; \mathbf{3 4} \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{R} 4$ and wins.
27 ...
R-B3

28 B-Q3
If $28 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $29 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 1$, the isolated KP cannot ultimately be defended and Black also obtains possession of the Queen's file.

28 ...
P—KKt4
Threatening $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch and $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}$ 3 mate.

$$
29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KR} 1 \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5
$$

Decisive. There is no possible answer to the threat of Kt-Kt4.

| 30 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |
| 32 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| Resigns |  |  |

Lasker's defensive play was impeccable and his counter-
attack after White's weak 24th move remorseless in its energy.

Third Match Game

White: Dr. E. Lasker. Black: D. Janovsky.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |
| $4 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |  |  |

This line was a favourite of Lasker's who adopted it on many important occasions, including the decisive game against Capablanca in the Petrograd Tournament of 1914. The motif which stands out clearly right through the present game, is that White's four pawns to three on the King's side give an endgame superiority over Black's doubled Queen's side pawns.

| 4 | Q | $\mathrm{QP} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| $6 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ |  |

Janovsky's handling of the opening shows that he does not clearly understand its implications. Black's compensation for the inferiority of his pawn position lies in the possession of the two Bishops and these should be preserved as long as possible. Instead, Black deliberately abandons this advantage in order to split White's Queen's side pawns (vide his 10th and 11th moves), a matter of minor importance as the role of these pawns in Lasker's strategic plan is a purely defensive one. The right line is $6 \ldots \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$; $7 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, with the continuation $8 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3, \mathrm{Kt}$ K2; 9 Castles, Castles; $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$, as in the game Lasker $v$. Capablanca, previously mentioned.

| $7 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $8 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | Castles |
| $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | B-Kt5 |


| $10 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $11 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{K} t$ |
| $12 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| $14 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| $15 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 3$ |

Rather better would be $15 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}$ followed by Kt-B5, but even the excellent Knight post thus achieved would not compensate for White's King's side advantage.
$16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$
Kt-Q2
$16 \ldots$ Kt—Kt5; $17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3, \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{B}$; $18 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ is no better as White will have no difficulty in forcing a passed pawn in the centre.

| $17 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q1}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q} 4)-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 11$ |

BLACK
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19 K—B3
The double exchange of Rooks followed by P-K5 looks tempting but is insufficient, i.e. $19 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \mathbf{c h}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$; 20 $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch, $\mathrm{K} \times \mathbf{R}$; $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 22 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{P}-$ QKt4; $23 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3 ; 24 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, and Black has some counter chances on the Queen's wing.

## KINGS OF CHESS

After the text move the threat of $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ followed by K-K4 becomes dangerous, and Black decides that his best chance is to keep the Rooks on the board.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
19 \ldots & \text { QR-K1 } \\
20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5 &
\end{array}
$$

If now $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$, and $21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ is met by $21 \ldots$ $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$; $22 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{1}$.

| 20 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 22 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| 23 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 24 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{R} 4$ |

$24 \ldots$ Kt—K4 ch; $25 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{B} ; 26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; 27 R -KKtl equally leads to a win for White. For instance, $27 \quad \ldots \quad \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; $28 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4, \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} 1)-\mathrm{K} 2$ (otherwise White advances RP to the 6 th and then plays $K-B 4$ and $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 7$ ) ; $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 8, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$; $30 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ (if 30 ... K-K2; $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $32 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q} 3)-\mathrm{Q} 7$ and wins); $31 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$; $32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$ winning easily either by $\mathbf{3 3} \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{KK} t 3$ or if Black plays $32 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KK} \mathrm{t} 1$; 33 Р—K5.

| 25 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |  |
| $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KK} t 1$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |  |
| 28 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 29 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6$ |  |

Decisive. Black must either lose a pawn or allow his opponent two passed pawns. Obviously $29 \ldots \mathrm{~K} t \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, is answered by $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B P}$.

| $29 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $30 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |

If $\mathbf{3 0 \ldots P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $\mathbf{3 1} \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R} 5$ and the two passed pawns must win.

DR. EMMANUEL LASKER

| $31 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $32 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{K} t \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} 1)-\mathrm{KB} 1$ |
| $33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 7$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 4} \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KK} t 1$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5} \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| $36 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| 37 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |

In most of the previous games in this book we have seen Lasker's genius in complicated positions. In this game we have a different Lasker, a monument of precision in exploiting a minute technical advantage. Janovsky, after his poor opening, deserves every credit for his stout and accurate defence.

## Sixth Match Game

White: D. Janovsky. Black: Dr. E. Lasker.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |

Transposing the game into the Steinitz Defence to the Ruy Lopez.

| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | Castles | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ | Castles |
| 9 | $\mathrm{KK}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |

An unusual move which makes a welcome change from the conventional $9 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K t}$. The idea is probably $\mathbf{K t}-\mathbf{B} 4$ and QKt-Q5.

$$
9 \ldots \quad \text { Kt-K4 }
$$

Not a good move (a) because the Knight is susceptible to attack by White's KBP, and (b) because the square

## KINGS OF CHESS

KB5 becomes weak after the exchange of Bishops. Best appears to be $9 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$, after which White must exchange his KB for a Knight since $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$ loses the KP.

| $10 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| 13 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| 14 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 14$ |
| 15 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |

Janovsky has played the whole opening with great skill and has now brought all his pieces into their ideal attacking positions. 15 P -K5 looks strong but would have been premature on account of $15 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $16 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$; $17 \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch; $18 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K t}$ with approximate equality.

$$
15 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3
$$

But now $15 \ldots$ B-Kt2 would be a bad error because of 16 P-K5.

| $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $17 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |

BLACK
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This gives Janovsky the opportunity for a brilliant combination, but Black's position is cramped and difficult. Some
contemporary analysts have suggested 17 ... R-K2 followed by Q-KB1, but after $18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$; 19 $\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{R} 3$ is difficult to meet. The best chance seems to lie in $17 \ldots$ Kt-B4, and if then $18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$, KKt-Q2.

$$
18 \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Q} 4)-\mathrm{B} 5
$$

A beautiful move. Black must accept the sacrifice for if $18 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $19 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{K t}$; $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ is decisive.
18
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K t}$
$19 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$
Q-K3

Again, if $19 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$ there is no answer to $20 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
20 \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{B} & \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{K} t \\
21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5 & \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1
\end{array}
$$

He must return the piece. If $21 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 22 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ or $\mathrm{Kt5}$; $23 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{K} t$ with a winning position.

$$
22 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K} t \quad \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R} \text { ch }
$$

The exchange of Queen for two Rooks is Black's best chance. If $22 \ldots$ Q-B4 White has a number of good continuations, the simplest of which is probably $23 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$, $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q B P} ; 24 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ with a pawn ahead and much the superior position.

| $23 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ ch |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| $25 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |

Here 25 Q-Kt4 looks very strong but Black can just save himself by $25 \ldots \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch} ; 26 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}$; $27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$. The game will now be decided on pawns.

| $25 \ldots$ | KR-K7 ch |
| :--- | :--- |
| $26 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{BP}$ |

$26 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ threatening $27 \ldots \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 6$ mate is met by $27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{BP} ; 28 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 4$ followed by $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 7$.

| $27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- |
| $28 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |$\quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR4} 4$

Threatening 29 Q-Kt5 as well as $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$.

| $28 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $29 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |

Preventing 30 Q-Kt5 because of $\mathbf{3 0} \ldots$ Kt-K8 ch; 31 $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} t 3, \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{P} \mathrm{ch}$.
$30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$
31 B-Q2
P-B4
32 K-Kt3
$\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 8$
$32 \ldots$ R-K7 fails against 33 Q-Q8 ch, R-K1; 34 QQ6 ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$; $35 \mathrm{Q} \times$ QP. The text also loses quickly but there is nothing good left.
$33 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt} \quad$ Resigns
For if $33 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} ; 34 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{B} 8)-\mathrm{K} 8 ; 35 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$, Black's game is absolutely hopeless. A fine win for Janovsky who, for once, was allowed to get a position that offered scope for his combinative talent.

## Tenth and Final Game

White: D. Janovsky. Black: Dr. E. Lasker.

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |  |

This move is now only seen when followed by the close variation $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{P}$-KKt3. If he intends to play the open game with $\mathbf{P}$ - Q4, it is decidedly premature as it deprives him of the possibility of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ in certain eventualities.


Kt-QB3
P-KKt3
Lasker plays the opening sequence cunningly in order to save the move P -Q3 which is indispensable in the normal variation.

| 4 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ |
| $6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |

With $7 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{KtP} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ (Lasker has also tried $7 \ldots$ $\mathbf{Q P} \times \mathbf{K} \mathrm{t})$; $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$, White drives the Knight back to his stable, but he comes out again with a good game after $8 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt1}$; $9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ ( or $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$; $10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2) ; 9 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{P}$.

## 7 ...

8 Q-Q2
Here White should play $8 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 9$ Castles, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$; $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB4}$, returning to present-day normality, but this variation was not known when the match was played. 8 Castles would also be better than the text although Black can then obtain a good game by $8 \ldots$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 9 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QK} \mathrm{t} 5$.

$$
8 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4
$$

Lasker has achieved his object and has now gained an important tempo on the regular line in which the QP reaches this square in two steps.

$$
9 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}
$$

$9 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$; $11 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$; $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ is much in favour of Black.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
9 \ldots & K t \times P \\
10 & \\
\text { Kt } \times \text { KKt }
\end{array}
$$

This move would be very good if White were Castled, but here it seems inferior to $10 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{Q K} \mathrm{t}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$; $11 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, although even then Black's centre gives him a slight pull.

$$
10 \ldots \quad \quad \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{K} t
$$

Although only ten moves have been played White is already faced with a crisis owing to the threat against both his Knight's pawns. His best course seems to be to give
up a pawn by $11 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ (if $11 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; 12
$\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q} ; 13$ Castles $\mathbf{Q R}$ ); 12 Castles $\mathbf{K R}, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$; 13 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$; 14 QR-Kt1 with pressure against Black's Queen's wing.

BLACK

$11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$
Q-B5
12 P -QKt3
And this is an error after which he must lose material. The only line was $12 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 8, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1}$; 12 B-K2 (not $12 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{RP}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ ); $12 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QR5}$; 13 Castles, $\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{B 4}$; although even then Black has an advantage in mobility, and an attack along the QKt file.
12 ...
Q-R3
13 B-K2
Q-R6
$14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$

If 14 Castles, $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $15 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ wins a piece.
14 ...

$$
\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1
$$

$15 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$
Whatever he plays at least a pawn is now lost, and the line chosen gives more chances of complications than 15 $\mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $16 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{K t}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{c h} ; \mathbf{1 7 . R} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$; $18 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$.

$$
15 \ldots
$$

P-K4

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5 & \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q} \\
17 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}
\end{array}
$$

A remarkable position for the King's Rook at this early stage of the game.

| $18 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
| $20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7$ |

21 Castles
Janovsky was always a gallant loser. The alternative $21 \mathrm{P}-$ QKt4, Kt-Kt6; 22 B $\times$ Kt, B $\times$ B; 23 R-QKt1, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ is quite hopeless.

| $21 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $22 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| 23 | $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K}$ 3 |
| 24 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ |

Simplest. The ending which would arise from $25 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K t} ; 26 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B} ; 27 \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$, is easy to win.
$25 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$
R-Kt7
$26 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$
$27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{P}$
$\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$
28 K-Kt2
$\mathrm{Kt} \times$ B
$29 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$
R-Q2

Resigns
Janovsky was in indifferent form in this game, and its principal interest lies in Lasker's astute handling of the opening and his skill in avoiding complications once his advantage was secure.

The Lasker v. Schlechter Match
Carl Schlechter
As I have mentioned in the Introduction, Schlechter was the only World Championship contender of the present century whom I did not meet personally. He died com-
paratively young, just after the first world war, a victim of the famine conditions then prevailing in the Austrian Empire.

From all accounts he was a delightful man, modest and self-effacing, and was highly popular among his fellow masters. From his games we can see that he was the complete chess player, profound in his concept of strategical necessities, accurate in analysis, and possessed of a deep though perhaps rather limited theoretical knowledge. His best games have that deceptive simplicity which conceals supreme mastery. An almost total lack of ambition, typically Viennese, marred his record. After his partial success against Lasker he made no attempt to secure a return match, and in his later tournaments was always willing to draw provided his opponent was content to play a placid game. But woe betide the player who ventured to disturb his apparent lassitude. As many a young master found, attempts to stir up the sleeping lion usually resulted in dire disaster. All this does not mean that Schlechter was a dull player-far from it. His best games are among the most beautiful on record, while even in his later and more passive days his play abounds in pretty points. The genius was always there, though disinclined to show its full force unless provoked. On the theoretical side he did not contribute much, but his notes to games, published in the Wiener Schachzeitung and elsewhere, are models of lucidity.

The weakest part of his play lay in the openings which were inclined to be conventional and rather lacking in variety. He had, however, a deep knowledge of the Ruy Lopez in which he is responsible for several variations which have survived the test of analysis and practice until to-day. One of these was used with success in the match under review. His principal victories, apart from his Lasker match, were: Munich 1900, equal 1st and 2nd; Ostend 1906, 1st; Ostend 1907, 2nd; Vienna 1908, equal 1st to

3rd; Prague 1908, equal 1st to 2nd; Hamburg 1910, 1st. He also regularly won native Austrian tournaments and in 1912 created a record by playing through three big international tournaments, one of them double round, without losing a game.

In matches he beat Janovsky by 6-1 and played a draw with Tarrasch. Undoubtedly this record, fine though it is, could have been improved upon had he possessed more push and go, but it is very questionable whether he would have been happier and I think Schlechter was a happy man. In between his chess struggles he lived in the country, preferring communion with nature to the stresses and strains of city life. His means were sufficient to satisfy his simple tastes and he was esteemed by all who knew him. It is difficult to know what man could want more. I think it was as well that he died when he did. The hurly-burly of modern existence would have been anathema to this simple soul, a true product of the culture of old Vienna, gone, alas, never to return.

## The Match

The match between Dr. Lasker and Schlechter was unique in that it was the only World Championship contest to be inaugurated by the players themselves. It came about as the result of a letter published in the chess Press in October, 1909, in the following terms: "We are prepared in December, January, February, and March next to fight to a decisive issue a contest for the World's Championship and ask the chess world to testify their interest in the undertaking by the provision of a prize. The match will be a public one. Care will be taken that all friends of chess, wherever they may dwell, will be able to entertain themselves with the games of the match by playing them over. Whoever feels that an enterprise which arouses public interest and promises to give himself delight, deserves from
him more than merely moral support, should send a contribution to the prize fund, etc., etc." This was signed by Lasker and Schlechter. It is extremely interesting as it was the first time that the general chess playing public, as distinct from an individual or small group, had been asked to make themselves responsible for a World Championship match, and is highly significant in view of what was to come. The chess players of Austria, Germany, England, and Russia responded favourably to the appeal, but only the two first-named actually did anything practical. The City of London Chess Club, which undertook the organization in this country, announced that it was unable to proceed through shortage of money, the common complaint of British chess players, and the Russians cried off at the last moment for unspecified reasons. Consequently the match was limited to ten games, to be played in Berlin and Vienna. This is far too small a number for a World Championship, but they made up in quality what they lacked in quantity. For the first time since he won the Championship Lasker was meeting an opponent with no psychological weaknesses, and fully his equal in technical skill. In fact, but for Schlechter's chivalry in declining to win the championship by the odd point and consequently refusing to draw the last game, the champion would have lost his title.

These ten games are some of the very finest ever played in a World Championship match, and it is a great pity that it was not renewed.

Final score: Lasker 1; Schlechter 1; Drawn 8.

## Second Match Game

White: Dr. E. Lasker. Black: C. Schlechter.
$1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$
$2 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$

P-K4
Kt-QB3

| 3 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 5 | Castles | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} 4$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ |  |

First introduced by Tehigorin. It maintained its popularity over a number of years, but rightly went out of fashion when it was discovered that, after the reply made in this game $8 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{QP}$, it is White who is struggling for equality.

| $8 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{QP}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $9 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| $10 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ |  |

In the eighth game of the match Lasker played the better move $10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ with the continuation $10 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB} 4 ; 11$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$, Castles; $12 \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt3}$; $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-$ $\mathbf{K t 2} ; 14 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$; $15 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R}$, with approximate equality.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
10 \ldots & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3 \\
11 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3 &
\end{array}
$$

Gunsberg suggests that White should first exchange pawns and Rooks, i.e. $11 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $12 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$; $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$, and now if $13 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$; $14 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5$ and Black cannot reply $14 \ldots$ Q-Kt1 as in the game.

11 ...
12 Q-K5
$13 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{ch}$
$14 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$
15 Kt-R3

P-QB4
Q-Kt1
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$

Better is $15 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$; $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2$, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ (Black also retains his material advantage by $16 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $17 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$, Castles, but after $18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$, the win is very difficult
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on account of the Bishops of opposite colour); 17 B-B4, R-Kt2, and White has little compensation for his sacrificed pawn.

$$
16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB} 4 \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2
$$

Schlechter points out that $16 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ is preferable. Then $17 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$; $18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$; $19 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q1}$ is met by $19 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt5} ; 20 \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Ktl}$ (if $21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ ) ; $21 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt6}$; $22 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ with a decisive advantage.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 \\
& 18 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}
\end{aligned}
$$

BLACK


With this brilliant stroke Lasker restores the balance. If Black plays $18 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$ (not $19 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$, K-Q2, and Black should win); $19 \ldots$ B-Q2; $20 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{R}$, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$; $21 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ with the double threat of $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ and $R-R 7$. Black has no way of avoiding the loss of a piece. If $21 \ldots$ K-B1; $22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7$, Kt-Kt1; $23 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ and wins, and other moves are just as hopeless.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
18 \ldots & \text { Castles } \\
19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4 & \text { P-B5 }
\end{array}
$$

A very strong move after which White has to exercise the
greatest care. His pieces on the Queen's side are awkwardly placed and his QKtP is backward. However, there is always a saving clause which White invariably finds.
$20 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2$
B-B4 ch
$21 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$
B-Q2
22 B-Q6

The only move to avoid material loss. If $22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$, R-R2, etc.

| $22 \ldots$ | B $\times \mathbf{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $23 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{B}$ | R-Kt3 |

If $23 \ldots$ R-R2; $24 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{R1}$; $25 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ and draws. The text keeps up the pressure on the QKtP.

| $24 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $25 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |

$26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7$
An important move which drives the Knight to the innocuous square KB3.

| $26 \ldots$ | Kt-B3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $28 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ |
| $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| $30 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |

This leads to an immediate draw, but Black has no means of improving his position. Lasker's defence from the 18th move onwards was superbly accurate.
$32 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 4$
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ e.p.
$33 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K} \mathbf{t}$
$34 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$
R-QB1
$35 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$

Drawn
An extremely interesting and difficult game.
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## Fifth Match Game

White: C. Schlechter. Black: Dr. E. Lasker.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 4 | Castles | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |  |

A novelty which seems no improvement on the normal 7 R-K1. The usual effect of B-KKt5 in this opening is a series of exchanges leading to a heavy drawish game.
7 ...
Castles

Here it is safer to exchange pawns.
$8 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
For Dr. Bernstein pointed out that White could secure a slight advantage by $8 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{Q K t}, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B} ; 9 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P}$ (after $9 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $10 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$, Black cannot capture the KP without loss of material); $10 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$; 11 $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 12 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P} ; 13 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{Q} ; 14 \mathrm{Kt}-$ Q 4 , and the isolated QP is a distinct weakness.

$$
8 \ldots \quad \text { QKt } \times P
$$

With this Black secures equality.

| $9 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathbf{K K} \mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{K t c h}$ |
| $11 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| $12 \mathrm{Kt-Q5}$ | Q-Q1 |
| 13 QR-Q1 | R-K1 |
| $14 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | Kt-Kt3 |
| 15 Q-B3 | $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{K} \mathbf{t}$ |
| $16 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |  |

$16 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, Q-Q2, will eventually lead to an exchange of
both Rooks on the King's file with an almost certain draw. Schlechter considers that the position is worth more.

| $16 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 17 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 18 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $19 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} 1)-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 20 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ |

It is customary in this type of position to provide a way of escape for the King, but this creates a slight weakness of which Lasker takes advantage later on. The best plan seems $20 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R P} \times \mathbf{R}$; $21 \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{B} 3$, defending the $\mathbf{K P}$, and allowing the Rook to co-operate with the Queen in an attack on Black's Queen's side pawns. The correct result of the game is a draw.

| $20 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{RP} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| $23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |  |

The object of these Queen manœuvres is the displacement of Black's Queen's side pawns. It is, however, ineffective as long as the Rook is tied to the defence of the KP.

| $23 \ldots$ | P-R3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | R-Q1 |

So that the Rook may defend the QKtP from the flank and so free the Queen for higher activities.

| $25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |  |

He cannot prevent Black's next move by $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ because of $26 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5$, an unfortunate consequence of his 20 th move P-KR3.

$$
26 \ldots \quad \text { Q-K4 }
$$

A dominating position for the Queen.
27 Q-Kt4
K-K1

The beginning of a profound plan to bring his King to the Queen's side where he not only defends that wing but may become an aggressive piece in the event of an exchange of Queens. The idea shows the influence of Steinitz on Lasker's play.

| $28 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $29 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $30 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| $31 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$ |
| $32 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{RP} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| $33 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K}+3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |
| $34 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| $35 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $36 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $37 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |  |

If he exchanges Queens, the advance of the QBP supported by the King would give Black a winning ending.

$$
37 \ldots \quad \text { R-KR1 }
$$

Compelling White to block the King's side while he remains with the initiative on the other wing. Lasker's conduct of this part of the game is beyond praise.

$$
38 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4 \quad \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5
$$

BLACK


WHITE
$39 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$
Without making any real mistake Schlechter has drifted into a distinctly inferior position. The Black Queen has found a second dominating post and, as already pointed out, White cannot afford to offer an exchange, while, if he contents himself with waiting moves, Black, after proper preparation, can force a break-through on the Queen's wing by P-QB4. White, therefore, decides on a pawn sacrifice which gives him counter play against the Black King, and affords many chances of perpetual check or even more. The remainder of the game is intensely difficult and interesting.

| 39 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KtP}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ |

If $40 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ the White Queen penetrates into the heart of Black's position after 41 Q-Q5, K-Kt3; 42 Q-B7.

| 41 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $42 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |  |

To bring the Rook to the defence of the King via K4. The alternative, $42 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4 ; 43 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$; $44 \mathrm{Q}-$ B7 ch, R-Q2; 45 Q-Kt8, Q-R2; $46 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ followed by $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R} 2$ is bad as Black is completely tied up.

| $43 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $44 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |

If $44 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 4 ; 45 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 3 ; 46 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ and White at least draws.

| $45 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $46 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ |  |

Best. If $46 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{t} 4$ forces the exchange of Rooks.

$$
46 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4
$$

In view of the result of the game this move has been condemned by some annotators, unjustifiably so in my
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opinion. It forces the gain of a second pawn and Lasker had every reason to suppose that he could survive the attack. The alternative is $46 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt4} ; 47 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 4$, K-Kt3; 48 Q-R1, and if then $48 \ldots$ R-Kt6; 49 RR8, and Black seems to have nothing better than perpetual check.

| $47 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
| :--- |
| $48 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |$\quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$

The only way to continue the attack. If $48 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Q}-$ B7 ch wins the Rook.

| $48 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KP}$ ch |
| :--- | :--- |
| $49 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |
| $50 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |  |

Much stronger than $50 \mathrm{R}-\mathbf{R}^{7} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$, and he cannot take the KKtP because of $51 \ldots$ Q-K4 ch.
$50 \ldots$
51 K-Kt1
$52 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$

Q-K4 ch
Q-K8 ch
P - Q 4

Having provided against $\mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch}$, after $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, R-Kt2, Lasker must have considered that his King was safe enough.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
53 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 5 \\
54 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2 &
\end{array}
$$

So as to play Q-R6 without being subjected to check at Q3.
$54 \ldots$
Q-B4
The position has suddenly become very difficult for Black, and this does not improve matters. Schlechter points out that $54 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ is met by $55 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, R-Kt2; 56 Q-K8, threatening Q-K8. Best was probably $54 \ldots$ R-Kt2; $55 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KKt} 8$ (if $55 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ ); $55 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt3}$; $56 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR} 8, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ with a draw.
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Position after 54 K—Kt2


55 Q-R6
R-Kt1
The best of a bad lot. If $55 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $56 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6$ wins. If $55 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$; $56 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 57 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ wins the Queen.
$56 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch} \quad \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$
There was still a little hope in $56 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{R} ; 57$ $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ ch, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 2 ; 58 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt1} ; 59 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{QP}$, $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{Q B} 2$. After the text move Black is lost.
$57 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$
58 Q-R3
Q-Kt3
K-B1

If $58 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$; $59 \mathrm{Q}-\mathbf{R 7}$ and wins.
59 Q-B8 ch and mates in two moves.
A truly remarkable game to arise from such a dull beginning. In a sense Lasker was unlucky to lose, but Schlechter deserves tremendous credit for the power with which he conducted the second half of the struggle.

## Seventh Match Game

White: C. Schlechter. Black: Dr. E. Lasker.
$1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$
$2 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$
Kt-QB3

| 3 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK} \mathrm{t} 3$ |

It is generally recognized that $5 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ is necessary here. Compare Lasker's handling of the Sicilian in the tenth game against Janovsky.

$$
6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB} 4
$$

Also very good is $6 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathrm{QP} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ (if $6 \ldots \mathrm{KtP} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt1}$; $8 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ with a tremendous attack); $7 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$ ch, etc. The text, however, may be even stronger.

| $6 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $7 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |
| $9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6$ |  |

A stronger line is the Magnus-Smith variation: $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$, and if $9 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$; $10 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} t$; $11 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$; $12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 13 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 14$ Castles.

| $9 \ldots$ | P-KB4 |
| :---: | :--- |
| 10 Castles | B-KKt2 |

Black cannot play P-Q4, either here or on the next move, because of $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P}$.
11 B-B4
Q-Kt3
$12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$

This allows Black to force the exchange of Queens which greatly eases his position. Better was perhaps $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$, Kt-K4; $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QK} \mathrm{t} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QR} 3$; $14 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$, and if then $14 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$; $15 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$; $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ followed by B-Q4.

| $12 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |
| $14 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| $15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | Castles KR |
| $16 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

$16 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 4$ would have prevented the combination which follows. After $17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} ; 19$ KR-K1, R-Q1 White has not got much. Not, however, $19 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$; $21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $22 \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$; $23 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$ and White will win.

$$
17 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1 \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}
$$

Again 17 ... QR-Q1 would have been safer. Lasker probably underestimated the strength of the combination which follows the text move.

BLACK


WHITE
$18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{QP}$
A fine bold sacrifice which gives him at least three pawns for his piece, and a strong attack. It would almost certainly have succeeded against a lesser antagonist.

| $18 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $19 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |  |

Excellent if Black replies $20 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{R}$; $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 7$ dis. ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $22 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$, and wins.

| $20 \ldots$ | $K R-K 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 21 |  |

It is unfortunate that White is compelled to this defensive move, but there is no alternative. If, instead, 21 P KR3, B-R7 ch; $22 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{R}$; $23 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch; 24 K-Kt1, Kt-K5 and Black can hold up the passed pawns. $21 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ is impossible because of $21 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt4}$; $22 R-Q 6, B \times P$ ch with similar variations.

$$
21 \ldots \quad \text { B-B3 }
$$

Now $21 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{R}$ would be bad because of $22 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 7$ dis. ch , $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $23 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $24 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $25 \mathrm{~B}-$ R4, etc.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
22 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2 \\
23 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5
\end{array}
$$

Not $23 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$, because of $24 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} ; 25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 7$ dis. ch, etc.

$$
24 \text { Kt-B3 } \quad \mathbf{B} \times \text { Kt }
$$

The right exchange which greatly reduces the power of White's Queen's side pawns and preserves the Queen's Bishop on his present powerful diagonal.
$25 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$
Kt-K4
26 R-Q1
Kt-B6 ch

Lasker, who was always on the look-out for the chance of snatching a win out of the most unpromising positions, cannot resist the gain of a pawn.
$26 \ldots$ K-RI would avoid the complications which follow.

| $27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{P}$ ch |
| :--- | :--- |
| $28 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $29 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| $30 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q} 1)-\mathrm{Q} 7$ |  |

A terribly hard move to meet. Obviously the Rook cannot be taken and White is threatening a mating attack. The series of difficult moves which follow afford the only chance of saving the game.

| $30 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |
| $32 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $33 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |

The saving clause. Obviously, White cannot take the Kt on account of $34 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$.

| 34 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |
| 36 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |

White was threatening $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 4$ followed by $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 7$.
37 B-Q5
QR-Kt1

38 P-B6
It is a moot point whether $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ would be better. There is certainly no clear win.
38 ...
Kt-B1
39 R-QKt7

The alternative is $39 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 7$, Kt-Kt3; $40 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q} 6)-\mathrm{Q} 7$ (not $40 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$; $41 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ ); $40 \ldots$ $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $41 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$, or $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ with a difficult ending which is probably drawn.

| 39 | $\ldots$ | QR-B1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 7$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| 41 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7$ |  |

Or $41 \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{Q 6})-\mathrm{Q} 7$ as in previous note.

| $41 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{KP}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $42 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} t$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $43 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |  |

Or $43 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch} ; 44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 45 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{KtP}$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 46 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{R} ; 47 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ appears to draw.

| $43 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
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| $45 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{KtP}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $46 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |
| $47 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 8 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $48 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ |

White draws by perpetual check $49 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}$.
A fine game, splendidly played by both antagonists and abounding in interesting situations.

One gets the impression that after $30 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q1})-\mathrm{Q} 7$ White should have won but the most careful investigation fails to reveal the method. Lasker's defence was superb in its ingenuity.

## Tenth and Final Match Game

In considering this game it is advisable to realize the circumstances under which it was played. Both players were determined not to draw, Schlechter because he did not wish to win the world title solely because of his rather lucky victory in the fifth game-a chivalrous attitude which must excite our admiration-and Lasker because he had at all costs to avoid losing the match. Consequently the game, almost from the start, takes on a wild character quite uncharacteristic of the two players' general style, and a number of mistakes are made. It is, however, intensely interesting.

White: Dr. E. Lasker. Black: C. Schlechter.
1 P-Q4
P-Q4
$2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$
P-QB3
It is curious that nearly all the contemporary annotators condemned this move. It is, of course, one of the best methods of declining the Queen's Gambit.

| 3 Kt -KB3 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt} 3$ |
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Schlechter's own system of defence, which is still known by his name.

| $5 \mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{B} 3$ | B-Kt2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 B—Q3 | Castles |
| 7 Q—B2 | Kt—R3 |

An eccentric move which does not turn out well. A sound method of play is $\mathbf{7} \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 8 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q K t}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 9$ Castles, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ with a view to $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3 & \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} \\
9 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4
\end{array}
$$

Now Black becomes "wild." The move is quite antipositional on account of the weak backward QBP which can never be forced to the fourth rank.
$10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$
P—Kt5
$11 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QR} 4$

Better than $11 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} t, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} t ; 12 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 13 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}$ which furthers Black's development.

| $11 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $12 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| $13 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QK} t 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |  |

White, in his turn, abandons the path of positional play. With 14 Castles, QR-B1; $15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, followed by KRQB1 he has a clear advantage.

| 14 | $\ldots$ | $K t-R 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

In view of the circumstances, this must be regarded as a good move. White obtains many attacking chances on the open Knight's file.

| $15 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} t$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $16 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| $17 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |
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With the double threat of $19 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ and $19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$.

$$
18 \ldots \quad B-B 1
$$

An interesting, and indeed, the only defence. White can now win a pawn by $19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 20 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$; but Black's two Bishops, coupled with pressure on the Bishop's file, give him good counter-chances.

$$
19 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 1
$$

It would seem best to precede this by $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, preventing Black's manœuvre in the game.

| $19 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |  |
| 21 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ |
| 22 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |  |

Threatening $23 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ followed by $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{QB} 4$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
22 \ldots & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KR} 4 \\
23 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}
\end{array}
$$

BLACK


WHITE
This apparently strong move does not turn out well as Black obtains a powerful attack along the open KB file. The best line seems $23 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P} ; 24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ (if $24 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 25 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ); $25 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$; $26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 27 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QKt1} ; 28 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$,
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch; $29 \mathbf{K}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{Q} ; \mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ with the better endgame.

| $23 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| $25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 3 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $26 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{KB1}$ |
| $27 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |  |

Not $27 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{K}$ t, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P} ; 28 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ and wins. Marco condemns the text move but there seems no alternative if he wishes to keep winning chances. If $27 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4,27 \ldots$ Q-Kt6 ch seems to draw. After $28 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt5} \mathrm{ch}$; $29 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 30 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ is too dangerous on account of $30 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$, and if $28 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 5 \mathrm{ch}$; $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ is strong. Whether Schlechter, in view of his own determination to win, would have played this line is doubtful, but Lasker could not take the risk.

| $27 \ldots$ | K-R1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |

Now there is no draw. $28 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt6} \mathrm{ch} ; 29 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 5 \mathrm{ch} ; 30 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 31 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$. The text move is very strong and it increases the pressure on the KB file.

| $29 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $30 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |

If $30 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ wins.

| $30 \ldots$ | Q-R5 ch |
| :--- | :--- |
| 31 K-K2 | Q-R7 ch |
| 32 R-B2 | Q-R4 ch |
| 33 R-B3 |  |

The only way of escaping the incessant checks, but it is very risky to put the Rook into a pin. Black's initiative now becomes formidable.

34 $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$
The alternative $\mathbf{3 4} \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{K R 1}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R} ; \mathbf{3 5} \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R 3} \mathbf{c h}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$; $36 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ ch is not very promising from the point of view of a win, but the text comes near to losing.
$34 \ldots \quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt4}$
Schlechter says that $34 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q4}$, threatening to take the BP with Knight or Rook, according to White's play, would probably have won. It is certainly difficult to find an answer for White. 35 R - B5 is simply met by $35 \ldots$ $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt5}$. A possibility is $35 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Bl}$, and if $35 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch}$; $36 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K t}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B} ; \mathbf{3 7} \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{K R 1}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R} ; \mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R 3} \mathbf{c h}$, $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R} 5 ; \mathbf{3 9} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$; but the prospect is not a happy one. The move actually chosen, however, also looks very strong.

$$
35 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4
$$

Not $35 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5$, because of $35 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch} ; 36 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R} \mathrm{ch}$, nor $35 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch} ; 36 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} t, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$; $37 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B} ; 38 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, K-R1, and wins the Rook on KB3.

BLACK


$$
35 \ldots \quad \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}
$$

This sacrifice, if correctly followed up, should lead to a
draw. $35 \ldots$ R-Q1 still holds out slight chances of a win. If $36 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ threatening $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3,36 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch} ; 37$ K-Q1, Q-Kt5, and after $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{QP}$, the White King is still exposed. He gains nothing by $39 \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R} 3 \mathrm{ch}$.

| $36 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $37 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $38 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ |

$39 \ldots$ Q-R5 ch would draw as White cannot escape to Q1 because of $40 \ldots$ Q-Kt5.

After Schlechter misses this chance, his game is theoretically lost, although the limited number of pawns makes the win for White a matter of great technical difficulty.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
40 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch} \\
41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2 & \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}
\end{array}
$$

If $41 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$; $42 \mathrm{QR} \times \mathrm{B} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $43 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, K-R3; $44 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt4}$; $45 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 3$; 46 R-R7 mate.

| $42 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch |
| :---: | :---: |
| $43 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | Q-B7 ch |
| $44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q1}$ | Kt-Q3 |
| $45 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| 46 R -Q5 | K-Kt1 |
| $47 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | Q-Kt8 ch |
| $48 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | Q-B7 ch |
| 49 K -Kt3 | B-Kt2 |
| $50 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 6$ | Q-Kt7 ch |
| $51 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | K-B2 |
| $52 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| 53 Q-QKt3 | K-K1 |

There is no way of saving the QRP.

54 Q-Kt8 ch
$55 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$

K-B2
Q-Kt5 ch

| $56 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $57 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 2 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $58 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $59 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $60 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KKt} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| $61 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $62 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |
| $63 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QR} 5$ |
| $64 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |

This facilitates White's task by allowing the exchange of Queens. After 64 ... K-Q1, Lasker would, no doubt, have won by gradually forcing the advance of the RP, but the task would be a laborious one.

| $65 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $66 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| $67 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $68 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $69 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| $70 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |
| $71 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | Resigns |

The game could, of course, have been prolonged, but White's victory is certain. A curious game, containing more errors than are customary in World Championship contests, but extremely exciting in many of its phases. Like Lasker in the fifth game, Schlechter was a little unlucky to lose.

## CHAPTER II

## J. R. CAPABLANCA

CAPABLANCA himself tells the story of his introduction to the game of chess in his book My Chess Career. "I was not yet five years old," he says, "when by accident I came into my father's private office and found him playing chess with another gentleman. I had never seen a game of chess before; the pieces interested me and I went the next day to see them play again. The third day, as I looked on, my father, a very poor beginner, moved a Knight from a white square to another white square. His opponent did not notice it. My father won, and I proceeded to call him a cheat and to laugh. After a little wrangle, during which I was nearly put out of the room, I showed my father what he had done. He asked me how and what I knew about chess? I answered that I could beat him. We tried conclusions and I won. That was my beginning." Similar stories have been told about Morphy and others of the greatest players and have always been taken with a grain of salt. It seems incredible that anyone, by merely watching others play, could even grasp the object of the game, let alone such embroideries as Castling, pawn promotion and the en passant capture, the last two of which might not take place in a dozen games. One cannot help feeling that Capablanca must have forgotten one or two lessons he had received before he began to watch his father. However this may be, there is no doubt that at five years of age he was taken to the Havana Chess Club where the members attempted, without any success, to give him the odds of the Queen. Among those present at the time was the French master Taubenhaus, who used to boast in the Café de la Régence that he was the
only living master to have given Capablanca the odds of a Queen.

Capablanca was fortunate in his parents. He was encouraged to play chess, but was not overpressed, with the result that his game was allowed to develop naturally, and consequently he was not long in showing that he was indeed a genius of the highest order. At the age of eleven he proved himself superior to all the first-class players in the Havana Club with the exception of the Cuban Champion, J. Corzo, and one year later he defeated the latter in a set match with a score of four games to two with six draws. This was truly a remarkable performance for Corzo was a very strong player, fully up to international master standard. The games, too, speak for themselves, Capablanca exhibiting the cool position judgment of a veteran master, coupled with one or two touches of real brilliancy. He played little public chess afterwards until he completed his course at Colombia University, where he graduated with high honours in mathematics. On the conclusion of his University career Capablanca decided to give up most of his time to chess. He may be said to have graduated in the game at the Manhattan Chess Club of New York, then, as now, one of the strongest chess resorts in the world. Here he soon found himself head and shoulders above his rivals who included the best American amateurs, and it was evident that the highest honours were in store for him.

So great a reputation had he acquired that little difficulty was found in arranging a match with the American Champion, F. J. Marshall, veteran of a score of international tournaments, and rightly regarded as one of the strongest players in the world. Few thought that the youthful Capablanca could do more than put up a gallant fight against such a formidable opponent, but in the result he showed himself overwhelmingly superior, winning with a score of eight games to one with fourteen draws.

Like his predecessor, Morphy, with whom he had many points of resemblance, Capablanca now set out to conquer Europe. His victory over Marshall gave him the entrée to the San Sebastian Tournament of 1911, one of the strongest international contests ever held, in which the competitors were limited to those who had won at least two third prizes in previous first-class international events. The solitary exception was Capablanca, who was admitted on the strength of his match victory over Marshall. Even so, some of the experienced competitors resented the inclusion of the youthful Cuban, and Niemzovitch in particular, took exception to a remark he made during the course of a lightning game against Bernstein, saying that "Young players should keep quiet while acknowledged masters were playing." Capablanca promptly challenged him to a series of quick games for a stake which he won very easily and Niemzovitch was ever afterwards one of his most fervent admirers.

The result of the Tournament silenced all criticism, for Capablanca won the first prize, losing only one game to Rubinstein, who tied with Dr. Vidmar for second place. In this tournament Capablanca played superbly daring chess and his game against Dr. Bernstein contains one of the most brilliant and profound sacrificial combinations ever composed on the chess board. Negotiations were now begun for a World Championship match with Lasker, but the champion was unwilling to play, preferring to wait for the International Tournament at St. Petersburg, as it was then called, in which both he and Capablanca were due to take part. In view of age it would have been well for Lasker if he had played the match before 1914, but naturally he could not anticipate the world tragedy in the offing.

The St. Petersburg Tournament was Capablanca's first partial set-back. After leading from the start, he was beaten in the last round by Lasker and had to take second
place to the old champion. Still he again played with superb brilliancy, notably in his games against Bernstein and Alekhine, and it was evident that a match between him and Lasker could not long be delayed. It was delayed by the world war. Capablanca spent the war years between his native Cuba and the United States, playing in a number of tournaments and completely changing his chess style. No longer do we see the brilliant combinations with which he electrified the chess world during his early career, but, in its place, the most perfect technique ever achieved by any player. In its essence the secret of Capablanca's technique seems to be that it was accompanied by an uncanny judgment of position which enabled him to anticipate his opponent's strategy before it had time to develop so that his pieces were always in the right place to thwart the hostile plans at the moment of their inception. As this judgment was coupled with an accuracy in endgame play only equalled by Lasker, it is no wonder that he soon achieved the name of the unbeatable. In fact, Capablanca's losses from the time of his match with Marshall to his defeat by Alekhine could be counted on the fingers of two hands.

Probably the most important of his successes during the war period was the tournament organized by the Manhattan Chess Club in 1918, in which he played a memorable game against Marshall. The latter, in the seclusion of his study, had evolved an ingenious sacrificial defence against the Ruy Lopez opening, leading to positions of tremendous complexity, all of which Marshall, of course, had at his finger tips. The American champion kept this variation up his sleeve for two years waiting for the chance to play it against Capablanca. The chance duly came. For ten or twelve moves the Cuban found the right move every time and emerged from the complications with a winning ending. After all these successes the Championship match with

Lasker, which would have aroused enormous excitement previous to the war, came almost as an anti-climax. In 1921 Capablanca came to England to take part in the Victory Tournament organized by the Hastings Chess Club, where I met him for the first time. The opposition, gathered from untried British players leavened by a few continentals from friendly or neutral nations, proved hardly worthy of his steel. He won ten games and drew only one. At this period of his career he gave the impression of being rather arrogant and aroused some criticism at Hastings by often making a move without sitting down at the board as if he were giving a simultaneous exhibition. This, however, wore off in later years. With this tournament ended the period of his unchallenged superiority. He found that the new openings introduced by what is called the hypermodern school created difficulties for his technique, and a defeat by Réti in the New York Tournament compelled him to take second place to Lasker. In 1925, too, he could only finish third to Bogoljubov and Lasker in Moscow, where he lost his individual games to two unknown Soviet players. I think myself that the slight falling off was due to a change in his attitude to the game. He began to despise his medium. "Chess," he said, "was played out. Any master could draw when he liked against any other master and it was necessary to create a new game." This he attempted to do by increasing the size of the board and the introduction of two horrible monstrosities, but the "new game," fortunately, never found favour with the chess public. 2

In 19aty Capablanca seemed to recover his ascendancy with his victory in the four-round Tournament at New York where he did not lose a game to any of his five opponents, Alekhine, Niemzovitch, Vidmar, Spielmann, and Marshall. His defeat in the World Championship match with Alekhine in the same year created universal astonishment,
but perhaps in view of his declared attitude to chess it was scarcely so surprising as it seemed. It is an excellent thing to convince the world that you are unbeatable. It is a very dangerous one to believe it yourself.

During the following years Capablanca made strenuous efforts to recover his lost title, but was constantly thwarted by Alekhine's pertinacity in finding pretexts to avoid challenges. It is a great loss to the chess world that the return match was never played. It would certainly have provided an epic struggle. In these later years Capablanca seemed to regain all his powers, his victories at Moscow and Nottingham (equal with Botvinnik) in 1936 ranking among his greatest performances. His death during the war, when his powers seemed unimpaired, was a chess tragedy. Among his fellow masters he was extremely popular. When he got over the natural ebullience which springs from youthful success he seemed quite without conceit, he was courteous to all, free from mannerisms and always ready with helpful advice and criticism.

Although he came from a wealthy family and himself held a high ranking position in the Cuban diplomatic service, he was proud to consider himself a professional chess player and was always concerned to maintain the prestige of the profession, sometimes at considerable cost to himself. He was a veritable King among chess masters.

## The Capablanca v. Lasker Match

The match was played at Havana in 1921. It was planned that the first to win six games should be declared the winner but, after the fourteenth game with the score four to nothing with ten draws against him, Lasker decided to abandon the contest.

There is no doubt that the dice were heavily loaded against the old champion. He was playing in his opponent's
home town where climatic conditions necessitated unusual hours of play, he had played little or no chess during the war, and his health was none of the best. In addition, too, he was handicapped to a certain degree by anno Domini. Still, nothing can detract from the superb nature of the new champion's achievement. Lasker's play showed no signs of weakness but all his tactical efforts failed to shake his opponent's rock-like technique. In nearly all the games Lasker attacked in the first half, Capablanca in the second, with the inevitable result. It is unique among Championship matches in that the winner never seemed to have a lost position. That was Capablanca's own considered view and subsequent analysis has done nothing to shake it. Some of the games appear rather dull as Capablanca wisely sought simplification wherever possible, but his two wins published here are superb examples of the art of taking advantage of trifling weaknesses, and few players could have saved the two draws.

Final score: Capablanca 4; Lasker 0; Drawn 10.

## Second Match Game

White: Dr. E. Lasker. Black: J. R. Capablanca.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| $4 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{QK}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |  |

$5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$
The older form of the opening generally played before Steinitz and Pillsbury introduced the stronger B-Kt5. It has now almost disappeared from master practice as it is considered that Black should have little difficulty in obtaining equality.

$$
5 \ldots \quad \text { B-K2 }
$$

| 6 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | Castles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | Castles | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |

A form of the Queen's Gambit Accepted has now arisen in which, however, White is one "tempo" behind as his Bishop has taken two moves instead of one to reach QB4. As against this, in the Gambit Accepted Black's Queen's Knight is often better placed at QB3 than at Q2.

| $10 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |  |

In this type of position it is always a moot point whether the Bishop should play to Q3 or QKt3. Usually the former is preferred as it facilitates the advance of White's QP and exercises pressure on Black's KP. In this case Lasker probably did not care for the variation 11 B-Kt3, B-Kt2; $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$; $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$; $14 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ with quite a good game for Black.

| 11 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| 14 | $\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |  |

By allowing the exchange of his King's Bishop, White is able to bring his Rook to the King's side without loss of time but, in this opening, it is very difficult to force home an attack without the aid of the Bishop, and most players would have preferred $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2$.

| 14 | $\mathbf{K}$ t $\times$ B |
| :---: | :---: |
| $15 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | Q-B2 |
| $16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | Kt-Q4 |
| $17 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt3}$ | $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{K} \mathbf{t}$ |
| $18 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | Q-Q2 |
| 19 R -Kt3 | KR-Q1 |

$$
20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6 \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3
$$

Black is forced to weaken his King's side. If $20 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $21 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B} ; 22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ and wins.

$$
21 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3
$$

This move is played with the idea of forcing the exchange of one of Black's Bishops, which Lasker actually accomplishes. A more attacking alternative was $21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 5$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$; $22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$, threatening $23 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3$ and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 77$.

21 ...
Q-Q4
Capablanca shows his excellent position judgment in making no effort to preserve his pair of Bishops. If he had played $21 \ldots \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $22 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt6}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$; $23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 5$, B-Q4; 24 R-Q1 with good attacking possibilities as Black's pieces are very cramped.

| 22 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $23 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |  |
| $24 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |  |
| 25 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |
| 26 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| 27 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6$ |

Position after 28 . . Q-Kt7
BLACK


WHITE

## 28 P-Kt3

It is necessary to provide an escape for the King in view of Black's threat of $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8$.
28 ...
Q-Kt7
29 R -K1

Sir George Thomas has pointed out a pretty variation in 28 Q-B3, and if $28 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$; $29 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$; 30 Q-B6 and wins. Black, however, has an easy answer in $28 \ldots$ B-K2, leaving two White pawns subject to attack.

| $29 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $30 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |

The same annotator has shown that it is unsafe for Black to capture the QRP either here or on the next move. If $30 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{RP}$; $31 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; $33 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B} ; 34 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 35 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ and wins.

$$
31 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{~K} 3)-\mathrm{K} 2 \quad \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}
$$

And now $31 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{RP}$ is met by $32 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$; 33 $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB} 4 ; 34 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ (if $34 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-$ Q1; $35 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ ); $35 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6$ forcing mate, or in this $33 \ldots$ $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 6 ; 34 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 35 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 36 \mathrm{~B}-$ Kt5 and wins.

| $32 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt8} \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $33 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $34 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| $35 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ |
| $36 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |  |

All Lasker's ingenious attacks have failed against his opponent's accurate defence and he now winds the game up to a draw.

| 36 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 37 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
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| $38 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |
| $40 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |

Draw agreed.

## Tenth Matce Game

White: Dr. E. Lasker. Black: J. R. Capablanca.

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| $4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | Castles |
| 6 K t-B3 | $\mathrm{QK}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |

7 Q-B2
Nowadays it is generally recognized that this move leads only to equality. Preferable is $7 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ after which Black cannot safely advance $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$, or the Exchange variation $7 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$.
$7 \ldots$
8 R-Q1
$9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$
Threatening $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch.

| 9 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{KP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| 13 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 14 | Castles | $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |

15 Kt -K5
Here 15 Q-K2 unpinning the Queen's Knight is suggested by Golombek, but it seems that Black gets a good game by 15 ... Q-R4.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
15 \ldots & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 4 \\
16 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1 &
\end{array}
$$

Threatening $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ followed, if $17 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, by $18 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$.

$$
16 \ldots \quad \text { QKt—Q4 }
$$

16 ... KKt-Q4 would have been safer in view of the continuation shown in the following note.

BLACK


Here the Hungarian master, Breyer, gave the following remarkable continuation, which appears to give White the better game: $17 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{KKt}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ (if $17 \ldots \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{B}$; 18 $\mathbf{K t}-\mathrm{Kt6}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ (if $18 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ recovers the piece with a winning position); $19 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R}$; $20 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}$ $\mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2$; $21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 8$ dis. ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1$; $22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ mate) ; $18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$; $19 \mathrm{Kt}-$ Kt4, B-Kt4 best; $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $21 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 4$; $22 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3 ; 23 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4, \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB} 5$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt3} ; 25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$; $26 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $27 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ and the powerful passed QP gives White the advantage.

$$
17 \mathrm{~KB} \times \mathrm{Kt} \quad \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}
$$

> J. R. CAPABLANCA

| $18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $19 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{t} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $20 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |

As a result of these exchanges White's chances of King's side attack are reduced to a minimum and his isolated QP proves weaker than Black's QBP. From now onwards White is fighting for a draw.

| 21 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| 23 | K t-K2 |  |

Against $23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathbf{R 4}$, Black continues $23 \ldots$ Q-B2; 24 $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5(\operatorname{not} 24 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ ) ; $24 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 25 \mathrm{R}-$ B4, R-Q3; $26 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ with a strong attack on the QP.
$23 \ldots$
24
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$

R-Q4
$24 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$
This exchange removes Black's only weakness. $24 \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$ seems rather more promising. If then $24 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $25 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} 8)-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$.

| 24 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| 26 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ |
| 27 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ |  |

It would seem better to force an exchange of Knights by $27 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 3, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $28 \mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, and if $28 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$; $29 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7$; $30 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ but Black still retains the advantage owing to his domination of the QB file.

$$
27 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5
$$

Very well played, ensuring that the Knight shall remain undisturbed as long as he wishes.
28 Q-Q3
R-B3
29 K-B1
P-Kt3
30 Q-Kt1
Q-Kt5
31 K-Kt1

White cannot form a plan of campaign and can only mark time.

$$
31 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4
$$

Again a fine piece of strategy. Black will now force another weak isolated pawn on the Queen's wing. From now to the end Capablanca's play is a model.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
32 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt2} & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5 \\
33 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 &
\end{array}
$$

Hoping to obtain relief by an exchange of Queens. The alternative $33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ does not seem any better.

| 33 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 35 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| 36 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |  |

If $36 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5$ wins a pawn.

$$
36 \ldots \quad \text { R-R3 }
$$

Now Black cannot be prevented from bringing his Rook to the rear of the White pawns.

| $37 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK} t 4$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ e.p. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $38 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7$ |
| $39 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 7$ |
| $40 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |

The Knight has fulfilled his purpose on KB4 and now comes to join the attack on the QKtP.
41 Kt -K3
R-B8 ch
$42 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$
Kt-B3
43 Kt -Q1

With this Lasker lays a subtle trap into which his opponent declines to fall.

## J. R. CAPABLANCA BLACK



WHITE
For if now $43 \ldots$ Kt-Kt5; $44 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 8$; 45 $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 2, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 46 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$, Kt-Q6ch; $47 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, Kt $\times \mathbf{R}$; $48 \mathbf{K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, White wins the Black Knight and remains with the superior game owing to his outside passed pawn. Black, however, can win the KtP by other means. 43 ...

R—Kt8 44 K—K2
Possibly a slip, but it does not make much difference for if 44 K-K1, Kt-R4 wins the QKtP. In this case, however, Black would have to exchange Rooks.

| $44 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 45 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

Capablanca prefers to keep the Rooks on the board, which probably makes his task easier. It would seem, however, that the Knight ending would also be won as Black could not ultimately be prevented from playing $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$.

| $46 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $47 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $48 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$ |
| $49 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| $50 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ |

51 K-B1
If $51 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt7}$, leaves White helpless against the threat of $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{B 4}$ and $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{B 5}$.

| 51 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 8 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 52 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $53 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |  |
| $54 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR} 7$ |  |
| 55 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 22$ |
| 56 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| 57 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

Black is now ready for the decisive advance of the KP which will ensure a passed pawn in the centre.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
58 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3 & \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2 \\
59 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3 & \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2 \\
60 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3 & \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3 \\
61 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch} \\
62 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KK} 7 \\
63 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR} 7 \\
64 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4
\end{array}
$$

The object of Black's last moves was to bring the White Rook to K3 instead of Q3 so that he can answer Kt-K2 by Kt-Q7 ch.

| 65 | $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $66 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |
| 67 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |
| 68 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
|  | Resigns |  |

Further resistance would be frivolous. A fine example of Capablanca's perfect technique which gave Lasker no opportunity of producing any of his characteristic complications.

For my notes to this game I am much indebted to the excellent analysis by Gerald Abrahams in his book The Chess Mind.

## Eleventh Match Game

White: J. R. Capablanca. Black: Dr. E. Lasker.
$1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
$2 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$
$3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$
$4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$
$5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$
$6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$
7 R-B1

P—Q4
P—K3
Kt-KB3
QKt-Q2
B-K2
Castles
R-K1

A poor move which not only loses time but creates a serious weakness at KB2 in certain variations. It is now well known that $7 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 9 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$; $10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$, and if $11 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$, $\mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ gives Black approximate equality.

| $8 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| $11 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ |

Lasker evidently intends to adopt an idea of Steinitz and bring his Queen's Bishop, the development of which is the great problem of this opening, to K1.

After $12 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$; $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$, it is not easy for Black to force the liberating move $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ without disadvantage as $13 \ldots \mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ can be met by $15 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5$, Q-Kt5 ch; $16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ ch; $17 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{Q}$, Kt-Q4; $18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$, etc.

| 12 Castles | Kt-B1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $13 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$ |

$14 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ would give a slight relief to his cramped position.
$15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$
An excellent post for the Bishop.

| $15 \ldots$ | R-B1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 4$ |  |

Preventing the liberating $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ and ensuring an advantage on the Queen's wing.

| 16 | $\ldots$ | B-K1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | Q-Kt3 | KR-B2 |
| 18 | P-QR4 4 | Kt-Kt3 |
| 19 | P-R5 | Kt-Q2 |

In order to bring the Knight via K4 to Q6, but the course of the game suggests that White may have overestimated the strength of this manœuvre. $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ preventing Black's Kt-B5 would have maintained the bind on Black's position and retains the possibility of an alternative attack by $\mathrm{K} t-\mathrm{K} 5$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$.

| $20 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 11$ |
| $22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |

At first sight this seems to lose a move (cf. his 24th move), but $22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 3$ could be met by $22 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$, with counter chances.

| $22 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| $24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

A very good move which undermines the position of the Knight at Q6, and greatly relieves Black's position. The threat is $25 \mathbf{B P} \times \mathbf{P}$ followed by $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathbf{K P}$.

$$
25 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}
$$

It must have been with great reluctance that White parted with his beautifully-placed Knight. The only advantage left is a minute weakness in Black's pawn skeleton.
J. R. CAPABLANCA

Position after 24 Q-R3
BLACK


WHITE

| $26 \mathrm{KP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{BP}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $27 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K}$ t5 | R(Kt1)-B1 |
| $28 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| $29 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $30 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |  |

Threatening B-Kt5 followed by Q-Q6. Black's reply enables him to defend his weak KP by Kt-B1.

| $31 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $32 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $33 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| $34 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $35 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QK} t 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KK} 2$ |
| $36 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |
| $37 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |

Threatening $38 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K t}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B} ; 39 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q} ; 40 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch.

| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 38 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{t} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ |

White must have been glad to see the end of this powerful Knight.

| $39 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $40 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| $41 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 5$ |

So far Black has defended his cramped position with true Lasker pertinacity, but here he makes a serious mistake. It was essential to defend the second rank by $41 \ldots$ $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R 2}$. If then $42 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 43 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $44 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 4 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 3$ with a defensible game.

42 Q-Kt7 ch K-Kt3
When he made his 41st move Black probably overlooked that he could not now play $42 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ on account of $43 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{R}$ moves; $44 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ and wins.

$$
43 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 8
$$

Q—Kt5
This loses in a few moves. The only possible defence lay in $43 \ldots$ K-B2; $44 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$, but after $45 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2$ (best); $46 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$, there is not much hope for Black.

$$
44 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB1} \quad \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2
$$

If $44 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$; $45 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ is decisive.

| $45 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $46 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $47 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{t} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| $48 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ ch | Resigns |

A pretty finish.
Twelfth Match Game
White: Dr. E. Lasker. Black: J. R. Capablanca.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 4 | Castles | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |


| $6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $7 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $8 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ | Castles |
| $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |  |

Recommended by Dr. Tarrasch who continued with 10 P-QKt3 and 11 B-Kt2. Its chief merit is that it avoids the exchanges associated with the normal $9 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K}$ t, and was, no doubt, chosen by Lasker for that reason. At this stage of the match it was essential for him to force a win.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
9 \ldots & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1 \\
10 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 & -\mathrm{KB} 1
\end{array}
$$

After this Black's game becomes very difficult. The best line was played by Capablanca himself in a subsequent game against Dr. Euwe (London, 1922): $10 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $11 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, B-K3; $12 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ (if $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt3}$, Kt-Q2 followed by B-B3); $12 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt3}$, with easy equality.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KK} t 5 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3 \\
12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK} t 3
\end{array}
$$

Apparently very risky, but it is difficult to find a satisfactory line. $12 \ldots \mathrm{P}$-KKt4 is possible but involves a serious weakening of the King's defences.


## 13 Kt -Q5

This leads to a configuration probably unique in master chess which, apart from anything else, makes this game well worthy of preservation. A good alternative is 13 $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{K} t, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} t(13 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} t ; 14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ is inferior); $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ (obviously he loses a piece if he takes the KP ) ; $15 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ with a fine position. Sir G. A. Thomas suggests here $16 \ldots$ Q-Kt1, which can be answered by $17 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ (if $17 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{R}$; 18 Q Kt4 ch, K-R1; $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ with a winning attack); 18 Q $\times$ KRP, Q—K6ch; $19 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 20 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$, with a pawn ahead and the superior position.

| $13 \ldots$ | $B-K t 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | Kt-Kt5 |

$14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ would be met by $14 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt4}$; $15 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, $K t \times \mathbf{P}$.

| 14 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | $\mathrm{~K} t(\mathrm{Q} 5) \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 16 | $\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{t} \times \mathrm{QR}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{K} t$ |

$17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7$
Stronger seems $17 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$, threatening both $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ and Kt-B7. If Black replies $17 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt1}$; $18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 19 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$ with very strong pawns.
17 ...
$18 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R}$
Q-Q1
$\mathbf{K} t \times \mathbf{K} t$

White has two Rooks and three pawns against three minor pieces which, calculated in units, amounts to a considerable material advantage. The configuration of the forces, however, makes this type of calculation of little material value and White finds it impossible to maintain his Queen's side pawns.
19 R-Kt1
B-K3
$20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$

## J. R. CAPABLANCA

He must guard the square Q4. If $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$, for instance, $20 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$; $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 22 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6$; $23 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$, Kt-Q5 with a dominating position. White's Rooks are quite powerless against the swarming minor pieces.

| $20 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{RP}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

Not, of course, $21 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{3} \mathrm{ch} ; 22 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$; 23 R-K2.

| $22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ |

Capablanca thought for over an hour before playing this move. The position has become difficult for him, as White, having secured his position, is ready for an attack by P-KB4, and QR-Q1 followed possibly by R-K3, P-B5 and $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R} 3$, etc. The text move weakens his rearmost KRP but has the great merit of opening a new diagonal for his King's Bishop whence it can attack the KBP after it has played to B4.

$$
24 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4
$$

White has no other means of carrying on the attack.

| $24 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $25 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |

If $25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 6 ; 26 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 27 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ (he must prevent Kt-Kt5); $27 \ldots \mathrm{Q}$ - $\mathrm{K} t 4$ followed by Kt-B3 with a good game. 25 QR-Q1 is met by $25 \ldots$ Q-B3.

$$
25 \ldots \quad \text { Kt-B3 }
$$

Taking immediate advantage of the chance to exchange one of his doubled Rook's pawns for White's more valuable King's pawn. The loss of a pawn on the Queen's side is of minor importance.

$$
26 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}
$$

## KINGS OF CHESS

There is nothing better.

| $26 \ldots$ | $K t \times P$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 27 | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ ch |
| $28 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{QRP}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| $2-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |  |

## $29 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$

If $29 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, Kt-B4; $30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, but not $29 \ldots$ $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 30 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$; $32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ and wins.

| $29 \ldots$ | $B \times P$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $30 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| 31 QR-Q1 |  |

Draw agreed
There is, of course, plenty of play left in the game, but it is impossible to say that either side has the advantage, or even to suggest probable continuations. Capablanca's play in the later stages was extremely accurate.

## CHAPTER III

## DR. ALEXANDER ALEKHINE

PAUL MORPHY used to be described as "The pride and the sorrow of chess." Alekhine may, in the same way, be be called its pride and shame; its pride for his splendid performances and the sheer beauty of his best games, and its shame on account of the weakening of moral fibre which led him into collaboration with the Nazis and, finally, to a miserable death, under obscure circumstances, in the most remote corner of Europe.

Alekhine was born in Russia of an aristocratic family in 1892. He played a good deal of chess as a schoolboy, chiefly by correspondence, and achieved sufficient prominence in his own country to be admitted into the Carlsbad International Tournament of 1911. Here he tied for eighth and ninth places, a good performance for so young a player, and displayed a vigour and originality in attack which made so good a judge as Amos Burn predict a bright future for him. In 1912 he won a tournamènt at Stockholm and two years later tied with Niemzovitch for the All Russian Championship. By far his best performance of his early years was his 3rd to Lasker and Capablanca in the St. Petersburg Tournament, above such famous players as Rubinstein, Tarrasch, Niemzovitch and Bernstein, to say nothing of the two British veterans J. H. Blackburne and I. Gunsberg. In these early days his forte was combination. He was always the young man in a hurry. Not for him the search for long and profound strategical plans when it was often possible by sheer analytical ability to evolve a sequence of moves ending in a decisive smash-up of the position. In consequence of this style he fared badly

## KINGS OF CHESS

There is nothing better.

| $26 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $27 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$ ch | $\mathrm{K} \times \mathbf{Q}$ |
| $28 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{Q R P}$ | $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q 4}$ |

29 B-K2
If $29 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, $\mathrm{K} t-\mathrm{B} 4$; $30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, but not $29 \ldots$ $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 30 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} t, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$; $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 4$; $32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ and wins.

| $29 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $30 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $31 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |  |

Draw agreed
There is, of course, plenty of play left in the game, but it is impossible to say that either side has the advantage, or even to suggest probable continuations. Capablanca's play in the later stages was extremely accurate.

## CHAPTER III

## DR. ALEXANDER ALEKHINE

PAUL MORPHY used to be described as "The pride and the sorrow of chess." Alekhine may, in the same way, be be called its pride and shame; its pride for his splendid performances and the sheer beauty of his best games, and its shame on account of the weakening of moral fibre which led him into collaboration with the Nazis and, finally, to a miserable death, under obscure circumstances, in the most remote corner of Europe.

Alekhine was born in Russia of an aristocratic family in 1892. He played a good deal of chess as a schoolboy, chiefly by correspondence, and achieved sufficient prominence in his own country to be admitted into the Carlsbad International Tournament of 1911. Here he tied for eighth and ninth places, a good performance for so young a player, and displayed a vigour and originality in attack which made so good a judge as Amos Burn predict a bright future for him. In 1912 he won a tournament at Stockholm and two years later tied with Niemzovitch for the All Russian Championship. By far his best performance of his early years was his 3rd to Lasker and Capablanca in the St. Petersburg Tournament, above such famous players as Rubinstein, Tarrasch, Niemzovitch and Bernstein, to say nothing of the two British veterans J. H. Blackburne and I. Gunsberg. In these early days his forte was combination. He was always the young man in a hurry. Not for him the search for long and profound strategical plans when it was often possible by sheer analytical ability to evolve a sequence of moves ending in a decisive smash-up of the position. In consequence of this style he fared badly
against the great strategists Lasker and Capablanca, but was often able to bring off surprises against the other masters, who were taken off their guard by the originality and vigour of his attacks.

When war broke out Alekhine was playing in a Tournament at Mannheim, in Germany, and was placed in an internment camp. He managed to escape, reached his own country after a series of exciting adventures, and joined the army. On the conclusion of hostilities he left Russia, reached Paris and soon became a naturalized French citizen. He also entered himself as a student at the Sorbonne where he was awarded a doctorate. He now decided to make chess his profession and soon ran up a remarkable series of tournament successes the chief of which are set out later in this biography. He soon established his position as the leading tournament player in the world, but he always had to play second fiddle to Capablanca on the few occasions on which they met. His style had matured considerably since the pre-war years and he had greatly improved his endgames, but he was still primarily a combinative player and the pundits shook their heads over his chances of winning six games against the invincible Capablanca. No combinative ability, however brilliant, they said, could beat that perfect technique. Still, on the virtual retirement of Lasker he was the only possible challenger, and in 1927 the match was arranged. A great surprise was in store. Alekhine had completely changed his style. Unknown to anyone he had secretly worked out a new approach to chess, and he went into the field a strategist equal to Capablanca himself, with greater vitality and physical vigour and possibly greater analytical powers. It must have required tremendous strength of character to change so utterly a style which had paid him so many dividends and, above all, to keep it secret from friends and foes alike.

Alekhine proved a busy champion. Almost as soon as he
returned from the match he recommenced his tournament round with even greater success than before. His best games at this period were models of all that is best in modern chess.

In the 1930's I knew him well and was his close companion in many an international event both in England and abroad. He was excellent company and full of good stories about his fellow-masters, all completely unmalicious. An excellent trait in his character was the generous praise he was always willing to bestow on any fine performance by one of his rivals. For instance, he described the game played by the British Champion, Yates, against Vidmar at San Remo, as "the greatest game since the war"; high praise indeed from one who had himself created so many beautiful specimens of the chess art.

The quality which specially endeared him to me in those days was his genuine devotion to chess. "You call me Grand Master," he said to me one evening in Prague. "I am not Grand Master, I am not even master. Chess will always be master of me and of all of us." Another thing which drew us together was a common passion for cats. He brought his beautiful Siamese to the Warsaw Team Tournament, where it became a regular habitué of the congress rooms. At first it caused a good deal of consternation but soon everyone loved the pretty furry little thing, with its plaintive "mew mew" when it thought it was not receiving sufficient attention.

As an opponent over the board Alekhine was rather nerveracking. A restless soul, he could never keep still. When he had made his move he paced round the table like a caged tiger, looking at the position from every angle, and when it was his turn to play he sat glaring at the board as if he would see through it, twiddling all the while at a lock of hair which hung over his forehead. He also had an irritating habit of transferring to his pocket any box of matches
which might be left unguarded in the vicinity of the chess board. These, however, were very minor peccadilloes, and we all admired and loved him.

The most serious blot on his character in these days in the 'thirties was his evasive attitude in regard to Capablanca's repeated challenges for a return Championship match. The abortive negotiations between the two were conducted with such acrimony that eventually the two great players were not on speaking terms, a state of affairs which did a good deal of harm to the cause of chess, and unpleasantness to those who, like myself, were friends with both of them. For this Alekhine was not solely to be blamed, but there was no doubt that he was primarily responsible as he had promised Capablanca a return match as one of the conditions of the previous encounter.

Alekhine's two matches with Bogoljubov and his match and return match with Euwe are dealt with later in this book. Of his activities during the war I will say nothing. His lamentable association with the Nazis is unfortunately too well known, and is too painful to discuss here. He died at Lisbon in 1946.

Alekhine's principal tournament successes were: Stockholm 1912, Ist; St. Petersburg 1914, 3rd; Triberg, Budapest and Hague 1921, Ist; London 1922, 2nd to Capablanca; New York 1924, 3rd to Lasker and Capablanca; New York 1927, 2nd to Capablanca; San Remo 1930, 1st, with the extraordinary score of thirteen wins and two draws; Bled 1931, 1st; Berne 1932, 1st; London 1932, 1st; Zürich 1934, 1st; Dresden 1936, 1st; an amazing and unequalled record which is by no means complete as only absolutely first-class master tournaments are included. Apart from World Championship encounters, he did not favour set matehes, the only one I can record being a victory over Dr. Euwe by three games to two with five draws in 1928.

## The Alekhine v. Capablanca Match

This match, played at Buenos Aires in 1927, was by far the most sternly contested struggle in the history of the World Championship. The conditions were the familiar ones-the first to win six games to be the victor, but no less than thirty-four games had to be played before this result was reached. Alekhine caused general surprise by winning the first game with the Black pieces, but Capablanca soon equalized and then took the lead by winning the seventh. After this Alekhine played almost perfect chess for game after game, slight weaknesses began to appear in Capablanca's play, and after twenty-eight games the challenger led by four games to two. Capablanca roused the fading hopes of his spectators by winning the twentyninth, but Alekhine struck back in the thirty-second and finally clinched matters in the thirty-fourth a desperately drawn-out struggle extending over eighty moves. As was to be expected with two such protagonists, the general standard of play was very high, but the match is marred by a number of short draws, agreed upon just after the opening, when the players were disinclined to struggle. There is no disputing the right of chess players to agree to draws when they wish, but such games are travesties of chess and hardly fair to spectators, who may have travelled long distances and paid considerable sums of money to watch a match and then find that all there is to see is a dozen or so "book" moves.

## First Match Game

White: J. R. Capablanca. Black: Dr. A. Alekhine.
1 P—K4
P—K3
$2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
$3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$
Winaver's variation which has now achieved great popu-
larity owing to its consistent adoption by the present World Champion, M. Botvinnik. It gives Black more chances of counter-play than the conventional $3 \ldots$ Kt-KB3.

$$
4 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}
$$

After this White can expect no advantage from the opening. It is now generally agreed that $4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ is the only move to yield lasting pressure. The text, however, is quite consistent with Capablanca's general policy of keeping the game as simple as possible in the opening, and relying on his superb judgment in the later stages to produce the required results.

| 4 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 7 | Castles | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |  |

The natural move here is $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ to which Alekhine says that he would have replied $9 \ldots$ Castles QR, after which could follow $10 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ (if $10 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; 11 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ with advantage); $11 \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{B} \mathbf{c h}, \mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{K} t ; 12$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$, and both players have chances in a pawn advance against the opponent's castled position.

| $10 \ldots$ | Castles KR |
| :--- | :--- |
| $11 \mathbf{K} t-K 3$ | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{K} t$ |
| $12 \mathbf{B} \times \mathrm{K} t$ | KR-K1 |
| $13 \mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathbf{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |

Inviting the continuation $14 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch} ; 15 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B}$, $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{K t}$ after which White's King is exposed to an attack by Black's heavy pieces.

$$
14 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1
$$

Capablanca clearly under-estimates the strength of Black's next move. $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ was necessary here.

$$
14 \ldots \quad \text { Kt-Kt5 }
$$

BLACK

white

## 15 Q-Kt3

Better was 15 Q-Q2, Q-B4; $16 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ against which Alekhine gives $16 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ followed by $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 5$ and $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$, with positional advantage for Black.
15
$\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$
16 QR-B1

After this Black wins a pawn by a nice combination. The only chance lay in submitting to the doubled isolated pawns by $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$.

$$
16 \ldots \quad \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{BP}
$$

The proper sequence. Neither $16 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} t ; 17 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ nor $16 \ldots$ Kt $\times$ RP; $17 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$; $19 \mathrm{Q} \times$ QP leads to anything.

$$
17 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{K} t \quad \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{K} t
$$

The point of the combination. After $17 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; 18 $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5$ White recovers his pawn with an improved position.
$18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3$
Q-B4

| $19 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $20 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ |
| $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ |  |

It is absolutely necessary to stop the further advance of the KRP.

$$
21 \ldots \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 5
$$

Threatening $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R P}$ with mate to follow the capture of the Rook.

$$
22 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2
$$

An ingenious attempt to obtain counter-play by the temporary sacrifice of a second pawn. The purely defensive 22 Q-Q3 could be met by $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6$ followed by $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ and White is completely tied up and can only wait for the kill.

| 22 | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{QP}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |
| 24 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |  |

After this White seems bound to win back one of his lost pawns. If $24 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B} ; 25 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6 ; 26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6$, etc.

| 24 | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 26 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |
| 27 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{RP}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ |

Of course not $27 \ldots$ R-K3; 28 Q-K8 ch followed by mate.

| $28 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $29 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ ch | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| $30 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

A fine idea which involves the sacrifice of a second pawn in order to utilize the passed Queen's pawn, combined with an attack by Queen and Rook. If White exchanges Rooks, the Queen ending is hopeless for him, as the Black King is
sufficiently protected against checks and the QP advances to Queen.

$$
31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR} 8 \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 4
$$

So as to bring the Queen behind the Rook and force an entry to the seventh or eighth ranks when required.

| $32 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $34 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| $35 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |
| $\mathbf{3 6} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |  |

It matters little what White plays now. If $36 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ with the object of Q-R8; 36... R-B4; 37 Q-Q1, Q $\times$ P.

$$
36 \ldots \quad \text { R-K8 ch }
$$

Alekhine points out that he could have won a Rook here by $36 \ldots$ Q-K2; 37 R-QKt8, Q-B2; 38 Q-Kt3, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR} 8, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K}$ 2 threatening mate by $\mathrm{R}-$ K 8 ch . The line chosen is equally decisive.

| $37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $38 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 6$ |
| $39 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $40 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6$ |
| $42 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KR} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |

A neat finish. White has no answer to the threat of R -KB7.
$42 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 5$
R-KB7
Resigns
This game was played with great power by Alekhine and proved a forerunner of things to come. It destroyed once and for all the legend of Capablanca's invincibility which had sprung up since his match with Lasker and the New York Tournament of 1927. Capablanca's play showed signs of lack of practice and his famous position judgment
was less acute than usual, especially in the early middle game.

To Alekhine the result must have been stimulating to the highest degree as it was the first occasion on which he had ever beaten the great Cuban, and it was especially encouraging that he accomplished the feat with the Black pieces.

## Seventh Match Game

White: J. R. Capablanca. Black: Dr. A. Alekhine.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{QKt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{KK}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |

Alekhine had prepared the Cambridge Springs Defence for this match with a view to surprising Capablanca. It had never been a favourite with him in his earlier games.

| $7 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | B-Kt5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8 Q—B2 | Castles |

In later games Alekhine continued with $8 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ which yields Black the advantage of two Bishops but gives White a powerful position in the centre. In my view the text is quite satisfactory if correctly followed up.

$$
9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4
$$

A novelty which seems neither better nor worse than the customary 9 B -K2. It may lead to a simple transposition.

$$
9 \ldots \quad \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{B} 4
$$

This is not the best reply. There seems no objection to the customary liberating move: $9 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$; $10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$; $11 \mathrm{KKt} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$. Alekhine may possibly have been afraid of the continuation $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6$ but this can
apparently be met by $12 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$; $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6 \mathrm{ch}$; $15 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$; and if $16 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$, B-B4; 17 Q-Q2, R-Q2; 18 Q-B1, B-Q6 with a winning attack. White might do a little better with 16 Q-Q2 instead of $16 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ but after $16 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ Black could hardly lose the game.

$$
10 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 3 \quad \text { Q-R5 }
$$

This results in the loss of a pawn, but was probably intentional as $10 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$; $11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 3$; $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$, $\mathrm{B} \times$ Ktch; $13 \mathrm{P} \times$ B leaves Black with a poor game. As played he is able to hamper White's development for some time.

| $11 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $12 \mathrm{QP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |
| $13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt} \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $14 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} 5)$ |

$14 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ is met with $15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ after which Black has no compensation for the sacrificed pawn.

$$
15 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1
$$

Best. If $15 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 17 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Q}$, Kt-K5, Black will eventually recover his pawn.

| 15 | O | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |

Forced. If $16 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} ; 17 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ and $18 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$.
$17 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$
18 R-Q4 Q-B3
KR-K1

19 B-Q3
Very well played. Although White's King is confined to the centre of the board he is quite safe there, and the pieces soon take up attacking positions. The alternative 19 Q - Q2 intending P -B3 is too slow on account of $19 \ldots$ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ followed by $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ with good counter chances.

| $19 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KtP}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $20 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $21 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6$ |
| $22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |  |

A wise precaution. In some variations Black threatens $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch. For instance, $22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 23 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}$ (23 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ is better) ; $23 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1} ; 24 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$; $25 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch; $26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch; $27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Bl}, \mathrm{Q}-$ B 6 ch and wins.

| $22 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $23 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |

Black's best chance is a break through by P-R5.
24 R-Kt1

$24 \ldots \quad \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R P}$
It is very dangerous to allow White the open KR file, but it is difficult to find an alternative line. He cannot play $24 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ because of $25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 4 \mathrm{ch}$ and $26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, while if he makes the preliminary move 25 ... K-Kt1, White obtains a strong attack by $26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ threatening R-Q5 and R-KKt5.
25 R-R1
Q-B2
26 Q-Kt2

Threatening immediate destruction by $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R} 8$ ch and $\mathbf{Q}-$ R3 ch.

| $26 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |

If $27 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B} ; 28 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 5 \mathrm{ch} ; 29 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $30 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ (threatening $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P} \mathrm{ch}$ ), and wins.

28 R-K4
R-Q3
Black is lost now. For instance, $28 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5 \mathrm{ch} ; 29$ $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5 ; 30 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7$ and wins.
$29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \quad \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$
If $30 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt} 3$; $31 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6$, or if $30 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; 31 $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ wins a piece.

| $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{Q 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 31 | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$ |

Threatening mate by $\mathrm{Q}-\mathbf{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$, and $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch.

| 32 | Q-Kt5 ch |
| :---: | :---: |
| $33 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}$ |
| $34 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B5}$ | R-B3 |
| $35 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{KtP}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| $36 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR} 7$ | Resigns |

White can force the exchange of both Rooks. Alekhine lost the game through his inferior opening. Capablanca's remorseless style was seen at its best in the closing stages.

Twenty-first Match Game
White: J. R. Capablanca. Black: Dr. A. Alekhine.

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |  |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ | $\mathrm{QK}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |


| $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | Castles |
| $7 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |

Alekhine played this move in eight games of the match, winning one and drawing seven. It was not until the twenty-third game that Capablanca chose the right reply, $8 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, after which $7 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ is revealed as loss of time.
8 P—QR3
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$

An important move in this position. In some variations the possibility of playing $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt4}$ is useful for Black.

| 9 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |

The alternative is $11 \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{R 2}$ with the idea of an attack along the long diagonal with $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ and $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$. Black can, of course, defend himself, but on the whole the line offers White more chances than the tame text move.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
11 \ldots & \text { B-Kt2 } \\
12 \text { Castles } &
\end{array}
$$

If $12 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 4$ to hold back Black's QBP, Black gets good counter-play by $12 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$.

| 12 | P | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |  |  |

The attempt to win a pawn by $14 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B} ; 15$ $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{KtP}$ loses material after $15 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $16 \mathrm{KR} \times \mathrm{Q}$, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt6} ; 17 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$; $18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$. White has failed to secure the slightest advantage in the opening and, as often happens in such cases, gradually drifts into inferiority.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
14 \ldots & \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{B} 1 \\
15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4
\end{array}
$$

As will be seen later, this move seriously weakens the
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square at White's QB4. Better was $15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt3}$; $16 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 17 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$. The manner in which Alekhine takes advantage of his opponent's slight inexactitude is a model of position play.

| 15 | $\ldots$ | QKt-Q2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}$ |
| 17 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |

$17 \ldots$ Kt-B5 at once would not be good on account of 18 KR-Q1 followed by P-QR4. The excellent text move threatens to obtain a stranglehold on the vital square by $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{K} t$ and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$.

$$
18 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3 \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5
$$

Another fine positional move which makes way for the Queen either at QB1 or QR1. Again $18 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ would be premature on account of $19 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 20 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$, if $20 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$; $21 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$.
$19 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4 \quad \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$
BLACK

$20 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$
Alekhine considers this to have been White's decisive positional error and suggests instead $20 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$; $21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, with the continuation $21 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} ; 22 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$, Q-R1; $23 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7$.
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| 20 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Bl}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{RI}$ |  |

Threatening Kt $\times \mathbf{K t P}$ or $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{K P}$.
$22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$
$22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ would lead to the loss of a pawn by $22 \ldots$ $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 23 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1 ; 24 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P} ; 25 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, Q-QB1.

| 22 | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23 | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{K} t$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 25 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ |  |

This has been condemned by some annotators but it is hard to suggest an alternative method of coping with Black's threat of $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ followed by $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} t 7$, or $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q1}$ and Q-Q6.

25
B-B3
26 Kt -B3
Slightly better is $26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, although after $\mathbf{2 6} \ldots \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{K}$; $27 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, Black will almost certainly win the isolated QP.


BLACK


WHITE

A fine move which enables the KP to advance without obstructing the Bishop. White's reply is the best available. 27 R -K1
Against other Rook moves Alekhine gives the following fine variations-
(A) $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $28 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$, Kt-Kt3; $29 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$, $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $30 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6$; $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5$; 32 B-Q6, Kt-K5; $33 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 7, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $34 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$; $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6$ with a won ending.
(B) $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 6 ; 28 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R} ; 29 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt6} ; 30 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB1}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 31 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6$ and wins.
$27 \quad \ldots$
$28 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$
$29 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$
30
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 1$
31
$\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{Q} 4$

Other Knight moves are equally hopeless. Alekhine gives as possibilities $31 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 7$; $32 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$; 33 $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ or $31 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 6 ; 32 \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$; $33 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch} ; 34 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ with a comfortable win for Black in either case.

```
31 .. B}\times\mathrm{ Kt
32 R-Q1
```

This loses at once but $32 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ is also a clear win for Black.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
32 \ldots & \mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P} \\
\text { Resigns }
\end{array}
$$

In this game Alekhine outplayed Capablanca in the latter's own speciality, positional chess.

Twenty-ninth Match Game
White: J. R. Capablanca. Black: Dr. A. Alekhine.

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

3 Kt-QB3
Kt-KB3
$4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$
$5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$
$6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$
7 Kt -Q2
8 Q-B2

QKt-Q2
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$
Q-R4
$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$

Alekhine also played this in the eleventh game. It is safer than $8 \ldots$ Castles, which he played in the seventh game, but he has to submit to a cramped position for some time.

| 9 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt} 3$ |  |

In the eleventh game Capablanca continued with 12 B K2, but as he followed with B-KB3, it does not make a great deal of difference.
12 ...
Castles

A possibility here is $12 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ as White cannot reply $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ because of $13 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$.
$13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$
B-Q2
14 P -QKt4
To prevent $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$.

$$
14 \ldots
$$

P—QKt3
With a view to securing action on the $\mathbf{Q R}$ file by $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q R} 4$. The plan does not turn out well and only results in the exchange of one of his pair of Bishops, his only compensation for his cramped position. A better idea was $14 \ldots$ KR-Q1, followed by the Steinitzian $15 \ldots$ B-K1 and QR-B1, after which his position is secure at all points.

[^1]P—QR4

A surprising move for Alekhine to make. In his notes to the eleventh game, in which the positions are identical except that White's Bishop was on KB3 and his pawn on KKt2, Alekhine says that P-QR4 would be bad because of $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QKt} 5$ with advantage to White. He must have had second thoughts about it but such are not always best.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5 & \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} \\
17 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} &
\end{array}
$$

Capablanca rejects $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ in favour of a simpler line. After $17 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$; $18 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{QBP}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB1}$ or $18 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $19 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$, Black can probably defend himself.

$$
17 \ldots \quad \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}
$$

Or $17 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $18 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$; $19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $20 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{B} 1$ with much the better game for White.

$$
18 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{B} 1
$$

Now $18 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}$; $19 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ would be very bad for Black.

$$
19 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{B} \quad \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{K} \mathrm{t}
$$

$19 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ providing an extra defence to the QKtP appears slightly better.

| $20 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |

$22 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$
A very strong move which forces the gain of a pawn. If Black replies $22 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $23 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q1}$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$; $25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ threatening $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$.

| $22 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $23 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |
| $24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
| 25 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |
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BLACK


25
B-R6
If $25 \ldots$ P-QKt4; $26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt7}, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8$, Q-Q3; $28 \mathrm{Kt-B5}$, Kt-B3; $29 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6$, and if $29 \ldots$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$; $30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 8, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$; $31 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ followed by $\mathrm{Kt}-$ Q7, or if in this, $28 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$; $29 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $30 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 31 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 32 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, winning comfortably as the QP must also fall.

26 R-Kt1
B-B1
$26 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ would be a mistake on account of $27 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$, Kt-B6; $28 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathrm{K} t \times \mathbf{R} ; 29 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 2$, trapping the Knight.

| 27 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |

The first phase of the game is over and White has won the QKtP. With all the pawns on the same side of the board, however, it is very difficult to bring the advantage to maturity, and Capablanca's methods deserve close study.

| $28 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $29 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt7}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
| $30 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |


| $31 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $33 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| $34 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR1}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ |
| $35 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |  |

It is important, for the present, to conserve the Knight, which is superior to the Bishop in this type of game.

| 35 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 36 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 6$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $37 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |  |

The exchange of Rooks is forced. If $37 \ldots$ Q-Kt1; 38 Kt-K5, followed by Kt $\times$ KtP, with an overwhelming mass of pawns.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
38 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R} & \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{R} \\
39 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5 &
\end{array}
$$

Again threatening $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{K t P}$.

| $39 \ldots$ | B-Kt2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $40 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |  |
| 41 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |

Threatening $42 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt5} \mathrm{ch}$, and $43 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ with a mating attack.

| 41 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| 43 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| 44 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |  |

White's winning method is to secure a passed pawn on the Queen's file.

| 44 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 45 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $46 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| $47 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |  |

He has nothing better than the exchange of Queens. If $47 \ldots$ Q-Q3; 48 Q-B4 followed by Q-B6, or if $47 \ldots$

## KINGS OF CHESS

$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 48 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ and $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QKt5}$. The ensuing endgame is both difficult and interesting.

| $48 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{Q}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $49 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | K-B3 |
| $50 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | B-Kt5 |
| $51 \mathrm{Kt-Q4}$ | B-B4 |
| $52 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6$ | K-B4 |
| $53 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | K-B3 |
| $54 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ |  |

This is the only way to make any progress as his King is tied to the defence of his KBP. Against $54 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$, for instance, Black plays $54 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $55 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 54 \ldots \\
& 55 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}
\end{aligned} \quad \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} \text { ch }
$$

BLACK


WHITE

$$
55 \ldots \quad \text { K-Kt4 }
$$

The late J. H. Blake gave $55 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; $56 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 4$, K-Kt4; 57 Kt-K5, P-B4 ch; $58 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 4, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 5$ (not $58 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 59 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} t 2 ; 60 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ and wins) ; $59 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6$; $60 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ and draws as Black can give up his Bishop for the two White pawns. White, however, seems to win by $60 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ instead of $60 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$. If then $60 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 6$; $61 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ (if $61 \ldots$
$\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{P} ; 62 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 7, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 63 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ wins at once); $62 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{P} ; 63 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ and wins.

$$
56 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5 \quad \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5
$$

A remarkable position. Black cannot avoid the loss of the KBP. If $56 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 57 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch} ; 58 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ (best if $58 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $59 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 5$; 59 $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 6)$; $58 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $59 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 7, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$; $60 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6$ and wins. If $56 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $57 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ and $58 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$, or if $56 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6$; $57 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $58 \mathrm{P}-$ Q7, K-K2; $59 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{BP}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{P}$; $60 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ and wins.

| $57 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $58 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 8$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| $59 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| $60 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |

A nice finesse. $60 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ is no use because of $60 \ldots \mathrm{~K}$ Kt4; $61 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 5$, but if Black now plays $60 \ldots$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt4} \mathrm{ch}$; $61 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$, followed by K-K2 and P-B3 leaves Black helpless.

| 60 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 61 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| 62 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |

If $62 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $63 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ and wins.

| 63 | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{P}$ ch | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 64 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ |
| 65 | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| $66 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 8$ |  |
| $67 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |  |
| 68 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |
| $69 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |  |
| $70 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | Resigns |  |

Capablanca showed his best form in this game and the ending is in the națure of a classic of its kind.
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Thirty-second Match Game
White: Dr. A. Alekhine. Black: J. R. Capablanca.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| $4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ | $\mathrm{QKt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |  |
| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $6 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{KP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{KK}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |

A good place for the Knight which threatens to come to KB5 via KKt3. The move, however, is usually preceded by $8 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ in order to prevent the simplifying variation shown in the next note.

$$
8 \ldots \quad \text { Castles }
$$

A suggestion of Dr. Tartakover, $8 \ldots$ Kt-K5; $9 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$, $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathrm{K} t ;{ }_{10} \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B}$, makes things a good deal easier for Black. Also $8 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR3}$ compelling White to commit himself with the Bishop seems preferable to the text.

$$
9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3 \quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 1
$$

If $9 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$; $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$.

| $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ | $\mathrm{QK}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $11 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} t$ |
| $13 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ |
| $15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |

15 Castles QR looks tempting here as Black cannot safely take the Bishop, but Alekhine considered that there were dangers in the counter-attack $15 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$.

$$
15 \ldots \quad R-B 1
$$

This is a tactical error which enables White to obtain a
strong attack by advancing the KKtP. 15 ... P-QKt4 might still have been tried.

$$
16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK} t 4
$$

Seizing this opportunity. Black cannot reply $16 \ldots$ Kt $\times \mathbf{P}$ because of $17 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, followed by $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 5$, winning the exchange.

$$
16 \ldots \quad \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{~B} 3)-\mathrm{K} 5
$$

Although this loses a pawn it offers the only chance of a successful defence. The threat of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ is a deadly one.

| $17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18 \mathrm{~KB} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| $19 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ |
| $20 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KP}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathbf{R} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $21 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |  |

If $21 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt4} \mathrm{ch}$ forces the White King on to dangerous squares.

$$
21 \ldots
$$

$$
\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4
$$

An excellent continuation. After the forced exchange of Queens, Black is able to enter with his Rook on the seventh rank with good drawing chances. The following series of moves is played by both sides with consummate skill.

| $22 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $23 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ |

24 KR-QB1
Also excellent. If he tamely defends the pawn by 24 QRKt1, then KR-B1 followed by R-Q7, and White has more chance of losing than of winning.

$$
24 \ldots \quad \text { KR-B1 }
$$

Better than $24 \ldots \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$; $25 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} ; 26$ $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 3 ; 27 \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{QB1}$, and the possession of the QB file gives White considerable endgame advantage.

$$
25 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}
$$

$$
\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}
$$

26 R -QKt1
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2$
Threatening to march his King into the centre along the white diagonal. White has to exercise great care hereabouts.

$$
27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 3
$$

The best square for the King. It is important to keep a watch on the KRP which Black threatens to put under pressure after K-Kt3 and P-B3.

| 27 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

$28 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$ would be a mistake because of $29 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $30 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch, $\mathrm{K} \times \mathbf{P}$; $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1 \mathrm{ch}$.
$29 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$
$30 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$

Now that he has a moment's breathing space, White prepares a Queen's side advance which will relieve his Rook from its defensive role.

| 30 | K-B4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | R-K7 |

BLACK


WHITE
Now Black is developing threats against the KP and Alekhine decides to give up his extra pawn to get his Rook
into play. He points out that $32 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$ only draws after $32 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt} 4$; $33 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $34 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B} ; 35$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 36 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KR7} ; 37 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 1$, $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R} 6 \mathrm{ch} ; 38 \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{K t} 3, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch; $\mathbf{3 9} \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathrm{P}-\mathbf{R} 3$ with a drawn ending, a curious position in which Black has to be ready to play his King to B4 when White plays K-B3, and to Kt3 when the King arrives at R4.

$$
32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1
$$

Alekhine considers that a better method of giving up the pawn was $32 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ (if $32 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$; $33 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 8$ ); $33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR} 1, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{KtP}$; $34 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$, and if $34 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $35 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 5$ with "decisive positional advantage." It seems to me, however, that Black could put up a good fight by $34 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$ (instead of $34 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt2}$, and if $\mathbf{3 5 R} \times \mathrm{P}$, P-Kt4.

| 32 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{KtP}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 33 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| 34 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{QP}$ |

White's winning chances, of course, lie in the strength of his centre pawns, for which reason this appears to be Black's best move as $34 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $35 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 36 \mathrm{P}-$ Q6 ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 37 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ yields White two passed pawns.
$35 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$
B-B6
$36 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$

He cannot regain the pawn by $36 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch} ; 37 \mathrm{~K}-$ R3, R-KB7, because of 38 R-K5 ch, K-Q2 (if $38 \ldots$ $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ and $40 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 8) ; 39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$.

37 B-B7
$\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$
38 K—Kt4
R-Kt7 ch
39 K—R3
Not 39 K-B4, B-Q7 mate!
49 K-Kt4
$\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{KB} 7$
40 K-Kt4
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To gain time on the clock.

| 40 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 7$ |
| 42 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| 43 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| 44 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |  |

More time-saving tactics.

| 44 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $45 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |  |
| $46 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ |  |
| $47 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 6$ |  |  |

BLACK


47 ...
R-B5
It seems as if Capablanca must have overlooked White's clever tactical reply to this move. Correct, as Alekhine points out, was $47 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 6$ against which he says that he would "have tried to obtain the victory" by 48 R -K5 ch, K-Q3; 49 R -KKt5. The text move enables White to bring his King into play with decisive results.

$$
48 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2
$$

Very clever. Black cannot capture the KP because of 49 K-B3 followed by R-K5 ch winning the Bishop.

| 48 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 49 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 50 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ |  |

Again well played. White now obtains two irresistible passed pawns.

| $50 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $51 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{R} 5$ |
| $52 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $53 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| $54 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |  |

This apparently loses a pawn, but Alekhine has correctly calculated that his KBP will prove unstoppable.
54
B $\times \mathbf{P}$
$55 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$

Not $55 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 56 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 6, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} ; 57 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{R}$, $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{R} 6$ and Black wins.
55 ...
K-B3
$55 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ loses at once by $56 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 57 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 6$.

| $56 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $57 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 6$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| $58 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 6$ |
| $59 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 6$ |
| $60 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 44$ |
| $61 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 5$ |  |

Leading to a neat finish.

| $61 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $62 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 5$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| $63 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}$ | Resigns |

For if $63 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 7$; $64 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 8=\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 8=\mathrm{Q}$; $65 \mathrm{Q}-$ R8 ch.

A well-contested game, full of points of interest in all its phases.
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## The Matches with Bogoljubov

E. D. Bogoljuboy

Previous biographies in this book have dealt with the lives of deceased champions and their challengers. The subject of the present sketch and of all those to come are happily still with us, and details of their careers are necessarily incomplete.* Indeed, in the case of some of the young masters to be mentioned, their lives may be said to have just begun. No final judgment, therefore, can be passed either on their style as chess players or their general characteristics, and we must content ourselves with considering apparent trends.
E. D. Bogoljubov, Alekhine's first challenger, was born in Russia and was originally trained for the priesthood. Finding that he had no vocation for the religious life but a great gift for chess, he decided to follow the bent of his inclinations and embark on the perilous but entertaining career of a professional chess player. Like Alekhine, he was playing in the Mannheim Tournament when world war No. 1 broke out, and was interned at Triberg, where he spent the rest of the war years. Here he may be said to have completed his chess development. The internment camp at Triberg seems to have been a regular chess colony, a number of the Mannheim competitors were interned there and their captors put no obstacle in the way of their favourite pastime. Gifts of books and chess requisites were sent through the International Red Cross from chess players in allied countries and regular monthly tournaments were held in most of which Bogoljubov was successful. He emerged from captivity a master of the highest rank and soon showed his prowess by winning a strong tournament at Pistyan in which Alekhine himself was among the

[^2]competitors. Other successes, the most notable of which were Moscow 1925, and Bad Kissingen 1928, followed, and his tournament prowess gave him every right to a match for the World Championship. By this time he had become domiciled in Germany, taken out naturalization papers, and married a German girl whom, I believe, he first met while a prisoner during the war. Responsibility for the match was therefore assumed by the German players, who hoped that their new acquisition might restore the past glories of Lasker and Tarrasch. Bogoljubov's tournament record justified these hopes, but tournament and match play are two very different things and it soon became evident that he stood little chance.

Brilliant in combination and revelling in complicated positions, he was yet deficient in the finer points of strategy, and the unbounded optimism which brought him so many tournament successes from unpromising positions degenerated into mere rashness when opposed by Alekhine's deep positional sense. In the first match he made a respectable score but the champion was clearly his superior, and the second match should not have been played.

Personally, Bogoljubov was a likeable man, friendly and good humoured-who seemed thoroughly to enjoy life. In appearance he was the antithesis of the popular conception of a chess master, which gave rise to an unfortunate incident when he was giving a blindfold display in Switzerland. A local photographer, called in to photograph the assembly, deleted the most important figure on the grounds that "that fat fellow with the mug of beer looked out of place in a chess picture so I cut him out!" Considering the many vicissitudes he had gone through Bogoljubov had kept up his playing strength remarkably well. In the Staunton Centenary Tournament, organized by the British Chess Federation in 1952, he played as vigorously and optimistically as ever and produced some sparkling games,
notably one against the powerful Yugoslav, Trifunovic, in which a brilliant Rook sacrifice snatched victory from the jaws of defeat.

Bogoljubov's principal successes have been:' Pistyan 1922, 1st above Alekhine; Carlsbad 1923, 1st to 3rd with Alekhine and Maroczy; Moscow 1925, 1st above Capablanca; Berlin 1926, 1st; Bad Kissingen 1928, 1st again above Capablanca. In addition he was a consistent winner of smaller prizes, many times won the German Championship, and played with success on the top board in the International Team Tournaments. He was awarded the title of Grand Master by the International Chess Federation (F.I.D.E.).

## The Alekhine $\boldsymbol{v}$. Bogoljubov Matches

These matches were the first to be played on what is known as the perambulatory system, under which several towns combine together to bear the cost of the match, a number of games, determined in proportion to the amount subscribed, being played in each of them.

This system has the merit that it enables a large number of people to take a personal interest in the match and it eliminates the short agreed draws which marred the Alekhine $v$. Capablanca encounter. Its drawback is that the constant travelling and upsetting of routine involved is hard on the players, and was probably responsible for the occasional lifeless games which were played in both the matches under review.

The first match produced some interesting games, although it soon became evident that Alekhine had taken the measure of his opponent and that his victory was merely a matter of time. The second match, as I have said, should not have been played. Its only raison d'être seems to have been that it provided Alekhine with one more excuse for evading the challenge of Capablanca, while Bogoljubov was
glad of the loser's end of the purse. As a sporting event it was of no value whatsoever.

The scores of the matches were-
First match, 1929: Alekhine 11; Bogoljubov 5; Drawn 9. Second match, 1934: Alekhine 8; Bogoljubov 3; Drawn 15.

## The First Match

## Fifth Match Game

White: Dr. A. Alekhine. Black: E. D. Bogoljubov.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| $4 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |  |

At the time this match was played this move was all the rage. It has since been found that, correctly answered, it should yield White no advantage, and $6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ is now almost universally preferred.

$$
6 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3
$$

The best reply. $6 \ldots$ QKt-Q2, as played in the first game of the 1935 match, Alekhine $v$. Euwe ( $q . v$. ), is also quite playable but sets Black more problems.

7 B—Kt5
If $7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5} ; 8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 9 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$, Black has a strong counter-attack which will yield him either a draw by perpetual check or a large preponderance of pawns in return for his piece.

$$
7 \ldots \quad \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2
$$

Too defensive. The correct move is $7 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QK} t 5$, and if then $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ as in the game, $8 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3 ; 9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B} ; 10 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q K} t 4$, and Black retains the Gambit pawn.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3 \\
9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 2
\end{array}
$$

If now $9 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$; $10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{KP} \times \mathbf{P} ; 11 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}$, Castles ; $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ with a fierce attack. The move played is, for different reasons, almost equally bad. The Queen's Bishop remains shut out of the game for many moves and White is able to bring superior forces to bear on the Queen's wing. It appears that, after his 7th move, Black's game is theoretically lost.

| 10 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{QK} t-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} 4)$ | Castles |
| 12 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| 13 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |

Alekhine shows his fine judgment of position by welcoming exchanges which will give him opportunities on the Queen's wing before Black's out of work Bishop can come into the game. One is apt to forget, in admiration for Alekhine's genius for combinative attacks, that he was also, when the occasion demanded, a very fine positional player.

| 13 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{BP}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 15 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{R} 3$ |

Alekhine gives the variation $15 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ ch; $16 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$, $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{R P}$; $17 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{K} t, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q K} t 4$; $18 \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{B} 4)-\mathrm{K} t 6$, $\mathbf{R P} \times \mathrm{K} t ; 19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{K} t \mathbf{P}$ with advantage to White as Black's QKtP will eventually fall to the superior forces which his opponent can bring to bear.

$$
16 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}
$$

$\mathbf{K R} \times \mathbf{Q}$

## $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 2$

A subtle move and undoubtedly the best. Alekhine rejected the obvious $17 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QKt1}$ because of the possibility of $17 \ldots$ Kt-Q4; $18 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $20 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathbf{R 5}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathbf{R}$; $26 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} \times \mathrm{P}$, with many chances owing to the mobility of his Rooks. The text move threatens to win a pawn by Kt-R5.

| $17 \ldots$ | Kt-Kt1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 18 K-B2 | Kt-B3 |
| 19 KR-Q1 | Kt-Q5 |

Undoubtedly best. After the double exchange of Rooks by $19 \ldots \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$; $20 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{Q 1}$; $21 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{K} t \times \mathbf{R}$, Black is, to all intents and purposes, a piece to the bad.

$$
20 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{B} 1 \quad \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1
$$

Black plans to bring his unfortunate Bishop into the game by the moves Kt-K1, P-B3, P-K4, and B-Kt1. If he can accomplish this he will secure equality and it is therefore necessary for White to strike quickly.

| $21 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

Alekhine suggests $22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 5$ as a good alternative, and certainly the move does appear even more effective than the line chosen. If Black replies $22 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK}$ t3; 23 Kt $\mathrm{Kt7}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 24 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 25 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ with a big positional advantage as $25 \ldots \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ is met by $26 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R}$, Kt $\times$ R; 27 R -Q7. If Black plays $22 \ldots$ QR-Kt1; 23 Kt-B3, P—QKt3 (23 . . P-B3; 24 Kt-Kt5 transposes into the game but $23 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ is a possibility); 24 $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$; $25 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q1}$; $26 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q} 5)$ Q3; $27 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $28 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kts}$ again with a very favourable position.

$$
22 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3
$$

BLACK


WHITE

This purely defensive move enables Alekhine to win a pawn by a finely-calculated combination. Much better was $23 \ldots$ P-QKt3; 24 Kt-Kt7, R-Q2; 25 B-Kt5 (not $25 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ because of $25 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4) ; 25 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $26 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ (the possibility of this move marks the difference between this variation and that suggested in the preceding note); $27 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$; $28 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ best; $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt1}$; $30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ and Black is out of his worst difficulties.

$$
24 \mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{K} t 5
$$

This wins a pawn by force.

| 24 | $\cdots$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25 | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| 26 | $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P}$ |

The point of the combination.

$$
26 \ldots
$$

R-Kt1
$26 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ is worse, i.e. $27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Kt4})-\mathrm{Q} 3$; (if $27 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QKt7}$; $28 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 29 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B}$; 30 R-B7, R $\times$ KKtP; 31 Kt-Q6 ch, and $32 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R P}$ wins);
$28 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{R}$, $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8$ followed by $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8$ and $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R P}$ winning with the two passed pawns.

$$
27 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5
$$

Threatening to fork the King and Rook and therefore regaining the sacrificed piece. The ensuing endgame is, of course, won for White and Alekhine's method of reducing his opponent's forces to impotence is a model of elegant simplicity.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
27 \ldots & \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2 \\
28 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}
\end{array}
$$

Stronger than $28 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$; with fair hopes of a successful defence.

| $28 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ |

Other moves lose more material. If $\mathbf{3 0} \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$; 31 B-K6, or $30 \ldots$ R-Kt3; $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ and $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$.
$31 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$
B-B2
32 P-K5

Depriving Black of his last hope, $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$.

| $32 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $33 \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| $34 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| $35 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| $36 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| $37 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| $38 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |

If $38 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 5$, Black can cause a little trouble by $39 \ldots$ R-Kt2 followed by R-B2 ch. White plans to bring his Bishop to the diagonal KR1, QR8.

## KINGS OF CHESS

If $38 \ldots$ B-K1 White wins by $39 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt4}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ (if $39 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; $40 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ as in the game or $39 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2$; $40 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 1$; $41 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt7}$ ); $40 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathbf{R}$; 41 $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ with an easy minor piece ending. There are also other methods of winning, such as $39 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt3}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1}$; 40 R-R6.

| $39 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $40 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| $41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ |
| $42 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |

Not $42 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 3 ; 48 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch.
43 B-B6
K-K2
44 R-R3
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$

If $44 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 45 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KKt} 3$.
${ }_{45}$ B-K4
46 K-B6
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2$
$47 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3 \mathrm{ch}$
$48 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 7$

K-Q1
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2$
Resigns

A very fine positional game by Alekhine. It might have been played by Capablanca, the highest praise that can be given to this sort of game.

## Seventeenth Match Game

White: Dr. A. Alekhine. Black: E. D. Bogoljubov.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK} t 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |

This move, the object of which is to build up a strong chain of pawns on the white central squares, is not often seen nowadays, but gives White attacking chances unless Black plays with extreme exactitude.

$$
3 \ldots \quad \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4
$$

This seems to be playing White's game. A better line is $3 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; $5 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$, Castles; 6 B-K3, P-K4.

| 4 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{t} 2$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | Kt 3 B 3 |

Bogoljubov says that he rejected 7... Castles, on account of $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ after which his development is certainly a matter of difficulty. The move played is not convincing either, but already there seems no really satisfactory line.
8 P—Q5
Kt-K4
9 B-Q4
P—KB3

If $9 \ldots$ Castles; $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt5}$; $11 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B}$; $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $13 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$, and White is ideally placed to Castle Queen's Rook and storm Black's King's side position with pawns.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 2 \\
11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4 &
\end{array}
$$

Very strong. If Black prevents the further advance of this pawn by $11 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$; $12 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ ch, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; $14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ followed by $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ with a stranglehold on the weak square at K6.

| 11 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ e.p. | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| 13 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 14 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K}$ t3 |
| 15 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |  |

Threatening $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 6$ and $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ winning the $\mathbf{R P}$.

## KINGS OF CHESS

In order to answer $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6$ by 16 Castles QR.
$16 \mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{K} 2$
P - QB4
17 B-B2
Castles QR

Very risky, but in conjunction with his sacrifice on the 20th move, it probably offers more chances than anything else. If $17 \ldots$ Castles KR; 18 Kt - Q5, Q-Q3 (18 ... $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K t}$; $19 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B}$ threatening $\mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{Kt7}$ is even worse); 19 KKt-B3, $\quad \mathbf{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $20 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q1}$ (not $20 \ldots$ KR-K1 because of $21 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ winning a piece); 21 Castles with a dominating position.

| $18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |
| $20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |

Threatening mate in two moves.

$$
20 \ldots \quad \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Q} 2) \times \mathrm{P}
$$

A fine bold sacrifice, characteristic of Bogoljubov's fighting style. He obtains two pawns at once, with a good chance of a third, and White's attack is forced back. Purely defensive play would result in speedy disaster. If $20 \ldots$ Kt-B1; 21 Q-B6 ch, K-Kt1; $22 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$; $23 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{B} ; 24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 5$ and wins, or $20 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt1}$; $21 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 22$ Castles, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 23 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$, with an overwhelming attack; for instance, $23 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$; $24 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5 ; 25 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 26 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Kt1})$ B 3 ; $27 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, winning easily.

| 21 | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$ ch | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| 23 | Castles KR | $\mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |

The point of Black's combination. After $24 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{Q}$ Black is bound to win a third pawn and has very good chances.

BLACK


WHITE
24 P -QKt4
With this brilliant rejoinder Alekhine retains the attack. If Black replies $24 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $25 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt5}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{K} 7$ ) (if $25 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$; $26 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, and wins); $26 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{Q}-$ Q7 or Q6; $27 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, K-Kt1; $28 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$, and wins, as $28 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 29 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ leads to a forced mate.
$24 \ldots$ $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$
25 Q-B2

Threatening to win Black's Queen by 26 R-R4, Black finds the only possible reply.

| 25 | K | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| 27 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

At first sight $27 \ldots$ Q-B2 guarding the second rank appears better, but Alekhine points out the following fine rejoinder: $28 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q4}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ (or $28 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch; 29 $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K t}$; $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R}$; $\mathbf{3 1} \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{K} \mathrm{t}$, and Black cannot take the Knight while if $31 \ldots \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ is met by 32 Kt-Kt5, K-Kt1; 33 Q-Kt3 ch, P-B5; $34 \mathrm{Q} \times$ KtP); $29 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ dis. ch, Kt-B4; $30 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K t}$; $31 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K t P}$, and wins. With Black's Queen at K3, this variation is not possible on account of the check at K6.

28 Kt-Kt5
K-Kt1
But here Black slips. He should play $28 \ldots$ Kt $\times$ B when, as Alekhine shows, $29 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch would not be good because of $29 \ldots$ K-Kt1; $30 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{QBP}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch}$. After 29 $\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{K t}, \mathbf{K}-\mathbf{K t 1}$ White would still have the advantage, but the disappearance of the Bishop makes the win much more difficult.

$$
29 \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{~K} 2)-\mathrm{Q} 4 \quad \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5
$$

Taking the Knight would lose the exchange, i.e. $29 \ldots$ $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $30 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$; $31 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$, Q-K6 ch; $32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ and Black cannot play $32 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ because of 33 Q B7 ch with mate to follow.

| $30 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $31 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| $32 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |

The simplest way to win.
32
Q-K3

Either here or at the next move $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$ ch would prolong the agony.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
33 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 \\
34 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P} & \text { Resigns }
\end{array}
$$

An exciting game, full of combinational points and creditable to both players.

Eighteenth Match Game
White: E. D. Bogoljubov. Black: Dr. A. Alekhine.
$1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$
P -K3
$2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
$3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$
$4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5}$
$5 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$
$6 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$

P-Q4.
Kt-KB3
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
B-K2
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$
$6 \ldots \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$ is safer but Alekhine naturally preferred lines which enabled him to fish in troubled waters.

$$
7 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3 \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4
$$

In the book of the New York Tournament, 1924, Alekhine condemned this move and suggested as best $7 \ldots$ $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q K t 3}$ followed by B-Kt2. The drawback to the advance of the BP is that it allows White's KKt a powerful square at K5.

$$
8 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3 \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3
$$

Now $8 \ldots \mathrm{P}$ - QKt3 would be bad because of $9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$, B-Kt2 (if $9 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$ Q2; $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ ); $10 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5$, Castles; 11 Castles, with very strong attacking potentialities.

$$
9 \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{KK} t 3
$$

A good line. The King's Bishop has more possibilities at KKt2 than at Q3.

| 9 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$ |

Anticipating that White will Castle on the Queen's side, he prepares for a pawn storm. The move has been condemned by some annotators but it certainly seems to hold out more possibilities than 11 ... P-Kt3; $12 \mathrm{Kt-K} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; 13 Castles QR, Kt $\times \mathrm{Kt}$; $14 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K t}$ after which Black has a cramped and futureless game.

| 12 | Kt-K5 | B-Kt2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | Castles QR | Kt-Kt3 |
| 14 | Q-R5 | R-KB1 |

14 ... Castles KR would, of course, be much too dangerous in view of the exposed position of his King, but there seems no objection to $14 \ldots$ B-B3; $15 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ (not $15 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$, because of $16 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{QBP}$, threatening $\mathrm{R} \times$ P ch) ; $16 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5 ; 17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q4}$. Probably

Alekhine hoped to make something out of the pair of Bishops.
$15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$
$15 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{R P}$ would be dangerous. For example, $15 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{R P}$, B-B3; 16 Q-R5, P-Kt5; $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; 18 $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$; regaining the pawn with attacking chances.

| 15 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |  |
| $17 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |  |  |

Again well played. In this broken position White's Knights prove stronger than the opposing Bishops.
$17 \ldots \quad B P \times B$
18 K-Kt1
A necessary precaution. If $\mathbf{1 8} \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R P}, \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{B 1} ; 19 \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{Q} 2$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4 ; 20 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ and wins.
$18 \ldots \quad$ P-R4
Alekhine says that this was his fatal error. He should have played $18 \ldots$ B-KB3 so as to enable him to capture the Knight when necessary.

$$
19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4
$$

Bogoljubov now smashes up the position in a few powerful strokes. Black's next moves are forced.

| $19 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $21 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ |
| $22 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6$ |
| $23 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt3}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $24 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{RP}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | Castles |

Risky though this is, it offers the only chance of salvation.

If $25 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 26 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{B} 1$ with the deadly threat of P-B3.

| $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| $28 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |  |

BLACK

$29 \ldots \quad$ Q-R4
The exchange of the Queen for the two Rooks would be disastrous for Black, i.e. $29 \ldots \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R}$; $30 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$ ch, $K \times R$; $31 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} t$ (if $31 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $32 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $33 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$, winning the exchange or a piece); $32 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$, R-B1; 33 Q-Kt4 ch, K-R2; $34 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch (not 34 Kt $\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 35 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ ch and mates next move); $34 \ldots$ K-Kt2; 35 Q-Kt4 ch, K-R2 (if $35 \ldots$ K-R3; $36 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4)$; $36 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$, and wins.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
30 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{QB} 1 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1 \\
31 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 4 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3
\end{array}
$$

After $31 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$; $32 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R}$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} \mathbf{3}$; $33 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{QB}$, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 34 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1 ; 35 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 7$, Black is helpless. $32 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$
Not $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \mathbf{c h}$; $\mathbf{3 3} \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$, etc.

| 32 | M | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 33 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |

If $33 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 34 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{B} 6)-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$; $35 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ and wins.

| $34 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $35 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| $36 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| $37 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{B}$ ch |  |

Exchanging into a winning pawn ending.

| $\mathbf{3 7} \ldots$ | $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{K t}-\mathbf{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{K t 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 9} \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$ ch | $\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{Q}$ |
| $40 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch | $\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{Q} 2$ |
| $\mathbf{4 1} \mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{K t}$ |

Black could have resigned here.

| $42 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $43 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |
| $45 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| $46 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |  |

The simplest. $46 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$; $47 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt5}, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$; 48 K-R5 is also good enough as, after both sides Queen, White wins with two checks.

| 46 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{KtP}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 47 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
| 48 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| 49 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt3}$ |
| 50 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 51 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | Resigns |

The whole of this game was played logically and energetically by Bogoljubov who, for once in a way, showed the form which won two great international tournaments.

## Twenty-second Match Game

White: E. D. Bogoljubov. Black: Dr. A. Alekhine.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt} 3$ |

For the alternative $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$ - K 2 see the games Euwe $\boldsymbol{v}$. Keres (p. 230) and Smyslov v. Reshevsky (p. 226) in the World Championship Tournament.

```
7 B-KKt5
```

Better is $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$, with the possible continuation $7 \ldots$ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $8 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$. The text allows Black to bring his KKt to a favourable square at KB2.

| 7 | $\ldots$ | P-B3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | B-K3 | Kt-R3 |
| 9 | Castles | B-Kt2 |
| 10 | P-KR3 |  |

If 10 QKt-Q2, Kt-KKt5.

| $10 \ldots$ | Kt-B2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | QK -Q2 | Castles |
| 12 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{QP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |

$12 \ldots \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ is also good. Alekhine preferred the capture with the QP because of the possibilities of a later $\mathbf{P}-K B 4$.

| 13 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 14 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K}$ t 3 |

$15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$
There is a good deal to be said for $15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 3$, with the idea of Kt-B4, K3, and Q5.

| $15 \ldots$ | Q-K2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{QKt}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| $17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

## 18 P -B4

White has gained nothing out of the opening and his best course here was $18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$; $19 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q1}$ with a probable draw. After the text Black is able to develop a menacing attack against White's pawn centre.
18
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$
$19 \mathbf{B P} \times \mathbf{B}$

If $19 \mathrm{KP} \times \mathbf{B}, 19 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ is very unpleasant for White.
19
P-KB4
20 Kt -B4
$20 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ would give Black opportunities of attack on the KKt file later.

| $20 \ldots$ | $K t-K t 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |

21 QR-Q1, would be more to the point. There is no attack on the QB file and the Rook obstructs the flight square for his Bishop.

21
QR-Q1

The best. Alekhine points out that $21 \ldots$ KR-Q1 would not have been so good because of $22 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 22 \mathrm{~B}-$ Q4, $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$; $24 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$, and if $24 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5 ; 25 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$, $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B}$; 26 Kt -K3 with advantage to White. Also $21 \ldots$ $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ leads to nothing after $22 \mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ (22 $\ldots$ KR-Q1; $23 \mathrm{KKt} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$; $23 \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ is dangerous for Black); $23 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{~K} t(\mathrm{~K} t 2) \times \mathbf{P} ; 24 \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}}$; $25 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ and the doubled KP will eventually fall.

22 P -Q6
Liquidating the weak QP, but Black still retains the advantage because of his domination of the Queen's file.

| $22 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{K t}(\mathbf{K} t 2) \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $23 \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{K} t$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K t}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4} \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{Q} 2$ |

Threatening to win a piece by $25 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$. See note to his 21st move.

| $25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 5$ |
| $27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt4}$ |

With the powerful threat of $27 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ White is obliged to seek relief in an exchange of Queens.

| $28 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $29 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |
| $30 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 6$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 11$ |
| $32 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |

$32 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{KtP}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 33 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 8, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{KP}$ is also bad for White since $\mathbf{3 4} \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ is answered by $\mathbf{3 4} \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$; $\mathbf{3 5}$ $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$; $36 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ with a winning game. Alekhine also mentions $\mathbf{3 2} \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{B} 3, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{B 5} ; \mathbf{3 3} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}$; $34 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{KP}$; $35 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$; and if $36 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3,36 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ and wins.

| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{KP}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 33 | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 34 | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ |

BLACK


WHITE

A move which lifts the game out of the category of ordinary positional struggles. It is very rare to see a mating attack with such limited forces. White can, of course, avoid the mate by $36 \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{K} t$, but this is equivalent to resignation.

$$
36 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4
$$

Black is threatening mate in three, beginning $36 \ldots$ Kt-B8 ch. If $\mathbf{3 6} \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{1}$; $\mathbf{3 7} \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $\mathbf{3 8}$ Kt-Kt4, Kt-B6 ch; $39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$, etc.

```
36 .. R-K1
37 Kt-B3
```

Or 37 Kt—Kt4, R(K1)-K8; 38 K-R3, R—R8 ch; 39 $\mathbf{K t}-\mathbf{R} 2, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{R} 4$; $40 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{K t}-\mathbf{B} 8$ forcing mate.

| 37 | $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{t} \times \mathrm{K}$ ch |
| :---: | :---: |
| $38 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | R(K1)-K8 |
| $39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | P-R4 |
| Resigns |  |

A remarkable finish.

## The Second Match

Second Match Game
White: Dr. A. Alekhine. Black: E. D. Bogoljubov.

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $\mathbf{3} \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| $4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

It is not good to develop the Queen's Bishop at this point, i.e. $4 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 5 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $6 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt3}$, and Black's only method of avoiding loss of a pawn is $6 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$.

| $5 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{QKt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |


| $7 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |

The Meran Defence, one of the most interesting lines of play against the Queen's Gambit.

9 Castles
For the stronger $9 \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ see the eighth match game between Bronstein and Botvinnik.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
9 \ldots & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 \\
10 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4 &
\end{array}
$$

Best, as it forces Black to a further advance of the QKtP and so furnishes White with a good square for his Knight at QB4.

| 10 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ |
| 12 | QKt-Q2 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |

Good, here is $12 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; $13 \mathrm{Kt-B4}, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2$, leaving the square K2 vacant for the Queen. In the line chosen the Queen has to develop on the Queen's side where she is exposed to attack.

| $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | Castles |
| :--- | :--- |
| $14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | Q-B2 |
| $15 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 55$ |

This move looks very strong as the threat of $16 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ compels White to sacrifice a pawn. Nevertheless, the position of the Black pieces, notably the Queen, becomes uncomfortable, and it may well be that a simpler line such as $15 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ is preferable.

$$
16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4
$$

This sacrifice is compulsory as $\mathbf{1 6} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt3}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$; 17 $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ would be hopeless for White.
16
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
$17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$

## kings of chess

BLACK


WHITE

$$
17 \ldots \quad \text { KKt-K4 }
$$

This natural-looking move leads to trouble as it eventually allows the White Knight to settle at QKt6, with disastrous effects. The alternative is $\mathbf{1 7} \ldots$ KKt-B3 against which Alekhine gives the variation $18 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$, Kt-B4; $19 \mathrm{Kt-Kt6}, \mathrm{QR-Q1;} 20 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt1}$; $21 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ with ample compensation for the sacrificial pawn. Black could, of course, play differently on his 18th move, but nothing seems entirely satisfactory. For instance, $18 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$; 19 QR-B1, Q-Kt1; $20 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ (if $21 \ldots \mathrm{P}-$ Kt3; $22 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ with a strong attack); $21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 6, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 23 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, with the powerful threats of $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ and $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$.

| $18 \mathrm{KKt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| $20 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| $21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |

Shutting the Rook out of play. $21 \ldots \mathrm{QR}$-Q1 is slightly better, but after $22 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ (better than $22 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$; $23 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7$ followed by $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ ), the RP must fall and the passed pawn will be tremendously strong.

| $22 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Bl}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $23 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |

An attempt at counter-attack which is doomed to failure on account of the unfortunate position of the Queen's Rook. Bogoljubov afterwards suggested that 23 ... BB5, followed by $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ was better, but White can then win the $\mathrm{QK} \mathrm{t} \mathbf{P}$ by $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 1$ and remain with the superior position.
$24 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
$25 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$
Q-Kt3

The point of his 23rd move. If $25 \ldots$ B-B5; 26 QK6 ch, with a winning ending. The White Rooks will pick up at least two of the weak Black pawns.

$$
26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1
$$

Bogoljubov again decides correctly that, if he moves the Bishop, 27 Q-K6 ch is fatal for him in the long run, and therefore decides to sacrifice a piece for an attack which only fails because Alekhine has a brilliant counter combination.

| $27 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $28 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ |  |

This is the fly in the ointment. Obviously Black cannot take the Rook and his King is drawn into a mating net.
$\begin{array}{ll}29 \ldots & K-B 2 \\ 30 ~ Q-R 5 ~ c h ~\end{array}$
30 R-B7 ch, K—Kt1 (if $30 \ldots$ K-Kt3; $31 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch, and $32 \mathrm{Q} \times$ R ch, or $30 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $31 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ ); $31 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ ch, $\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{R 1}$; $32 \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R} ; 33 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$ also wins.
$30 \ldots$
$31 \mathrm{Q} \times$ RP ch
$32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}$

P-Kt3
K-B3
$\mathbf{K}-K t 4$

If $32 \ldots$ K-K3; $33 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ mate.
$33 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4 \mathrm{ch}$
K-B5

## KINGS OF CHESS

| $\mathbf{3 4} \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{K} \mathbf{t 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 5} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 6} \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{t 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 7} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch and mates in three moves |  |

I.e. $37 \ldots \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B} ; 38 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 5 ; 39 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt5} ; 40 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ mate.

An exciting game with a pretty finish, although, like many of the games of this match, it is rather a "lightweight" for a World Championship encounter.

## Seventeenth Match Game

White: E. D. Bogoljubov. Black: Dr. A. Alekhine.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $\mathbf{3} \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |  |
| $4 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |  |
| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |  |

Having failed to make any impression with sound openings, Bogoljubov decides to try his luck with an unsound one! After this move it is impossible for White to regain the Gambit pawn, which could have been achieved by 5 P QR4 followed by P-K3.

| $5 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q4}$ |
| $7 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $8 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |

This short-lived attack is easily repelled by Black.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \ldots \\
& 9 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}
\end{aligned} \quad \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2
$$

This ill-judged exchange, which cuts off the White Knights from the important central square K4, only helps Black. The only possible line was $9 \cdot \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q R 4} 4, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{R 3}$ (not $9 \ldots$ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $11 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{R} ; 12 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{KtP})$;
$10 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$, but even then White has no adequate compensation for his sacrificed pawn.
9
... $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K} \mathbf{t}$
10 P-QR3

And now the threat of B-Kt5 ch forces White to make a defensive move.
10 ...
Kt-B3
11 B-K3
Kt-Q1

A clever device which, as will be seen, frees the Queen from her present defensive role.

$$
12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2 \quad \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4
$$

The point of the last move. White cannot capture the QP because of $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{t} 2$ winning the Queen.

13 Q-Kt3
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$
$14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 3$
If $14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5$ forces the exchange of Queens.
$14 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$
$15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$
If 15 Castles, then, equally, $15 \ldots$ Q-B7.
$15 \ldots \quad$ Q-B7
16 Q-B2
BLACK


WHITE
165

## KINGS OF CHESS

$$
16 \ldots \quad B \times P
$$

A highly ingenious surprise combination which completely destroys White's Queen's wing. If White plays $17 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ (if $17 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ ); $17 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$; $18 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 5, \mathrm{Q}-$ Kt5 ch; 19 B-Q2, P-B6.
$16 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ would not be nearly so good, as after 17 Castles, White has distinct chances of counter-attack.

| 17 Castles | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 18 QR-K1 | $\mathrm{B}-\mathbf{B 4}$ |

Alekhine considers that $18 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 19 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ was a quicker way to win. Of course any reasonable line is good enough.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5 \\
20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 2
\end{array}
$$

In order to Castle QR. $20 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ could be met by $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$.
$21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$
22 Q-Kt3
Castles QR
P—Kt4

Black makes everything absolutely safe before embarking on the winning advance $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$.

| $23 \mathbf{P} \times$ P e.p. | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 24 B-Q1 | Q-B6 |
| $25 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 6$ | QR-K1 |
| $26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6$ | R-K2 |
| $27 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | KR-K1 |
| $28 \mathrm{Kt-B4}$ |  |

If $28 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$, Alekhine points out the nice sacrificial combination $28 \ldots \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{K} t ; 29 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2$; 30 $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q P}$; $31 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$ ch; $32 \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{KB} 2$, etc.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
28 \ldots & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 1 \\
29 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2
\end{array}
$$

If $29 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{KtP} ; 29 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; \mathbf{3 0} \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ or $\mathbf{3 0 \ldots}$

Kt-K3, winning easily. White could have resigned here and the remaining moves require no comment.

| 29 | Q-R6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| $31 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ | P-Kt4 |
| $32 \mathrm{Kt-K2}$ | R-K3 |
| $33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | Q-Q6 |
| $34 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | R-Kt3 |
| $35 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | R(Kt3)-K3 |
| 36 Q-B2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| $37 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | Q-B7 |
| 38 Q-K1 | Kt-B3 |
| $39 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{B} 1)-\mathrm{B} 2$ | Q-K5 |
| $40 \mathrm{Kt-Kt3}$ | Q $\times$ KtP |
| $41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| Resigns |  |

This game, which was lost by Bogoljubov through his unsound opening, is redeemed from mediocrity by Alekhine's excellent play, particularly on the 16th and 27 th moves.

## Twenty-third Match Game

White: E. D. Bogoljubov. Black: Dr. A. Alekhine.

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| $3 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $4 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$ ch |  |

This method of regaining the Gambit pawn instead of 4 P -K3 has enjoyed a certain amount of popularity in recent years. Its merit is that in some cases White will be able to develop his Queen's Bishop before shutting it in with the King's pawn.

$$
4 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3
$$

A drawback to White's fourth move is that Black can here
force an exchange of Queens by $4 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 5 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP}$, Q-B3. Although White obtains a slight advantage in development after $6 \mathbf{Q K t}-\mathbf{Q 2}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q} ; 7 \mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{Q}$, it is very hard to turn it to practical account.

| 5 | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK} \mathrm{t} 3$ |  |

Transforming the game into a sort of Catalan. A possibility was $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5}, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$; $8 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$; $9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{~B}-$ Kt5; 10 Castles QR.
7 ...
8 B-Kt2
QKt-Q2
B-B7

A curious move which threatens to win White's Queen by $9 \ldots$ Kt-Kt3. Black could hardly have expected White to overlook this and he probably played in this way because he did not like the variation $8 \ldots$ B-K2; $9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ followed by P-K4.

| 9 P -K3 | B-K2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 Castles | Castles |
| 11 P-QR3 | P-QR4 |
| 12 Q-K2 | B-Kt3 |
| $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | Q-Kt3 |
| $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | Q-R3 |
| 15 Q-K3 |  |

In this position White's chances lie in direct King's side attack so he naturally avoids the exchange of Queens.

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
15 \ldots & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 \\
16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5 &
\end{array}
$$

A strong threat. Black cannot reply $16 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ because of $17 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{QB} 4 ; 18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$, winning a pawn.
$16 \ldots$
$17 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$
$18 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$

Kt-Q4
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K} \mathrm{t}$
B-K5

## 19 B-B3

It is necessary to provide further protection to his centre. $19 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 1$ would not be good because of $19 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $20 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{QB} 4$, etc.

$$
19 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5
$$

Now Black's only chance lies in the exploitation of his Queen's side majority. From now to the end the game becomes very exciting.

| $20 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{B}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 4$ |
| 22 | $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |

Against $22 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$, White still retains a strong attack by 23 Q-B3, Q-QB3; $24 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3$, Kt-Kt3; $25 \mathrm{P}-$ KKt4, etc.

| $23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |

$24 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P} ; 25 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ leaves White with an irresistible mass of centre pawns.

| $25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

He must prevent $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{B 6}$ but now White's Knight finds a formidable advanced post at K6.

| $27 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $28 \mathrm{KP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $29 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 6$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |
| $30 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |

If $30 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B P}$, White can force home his attack by 31 $\mathbf{P}$-Kt5, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B} ; 32 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ (other moves seem no better, e.g. $32 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6 ; 33 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{P} ; 34 \mathrm{Q}-$ R5 ch, K-Kt1; $35 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$, or $32 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2 ; 33 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ ); 33 Q-Kt4 ch, K-B2; 34 Q-Kt7 ch, K-K1; 35 QR-K1, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{Q} ; 36 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$ and Black cannot escape.

## KINGS OF CHESS

Position after White's 30th move
BLACK


WHITE

31 B-B4
$\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P}$
This allows White's Bishop to enter the game with fatal effect. Rather better was 31 ... P-Kt6; $32 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt7} ; 33 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 1$; $34 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$, threatening R-K6. White still has the advantage, but Black may be able to defend himself.

| $32 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $33 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |
| $34 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |

BLACK


## $35 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$

Here White misses his way. He could win at once by $35 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 6, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3 ; 36 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} ; 37 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} \times \mathrm{R}$ would also win), $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 38 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 39 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$, etc.

Although the line played also wins, Black has a lot of fight left.

| $35 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $36 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} t 3 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ dis. ch |
| 38 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $39 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ ch |
|  | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6$ |

Not $39 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $40 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathbf{R}$; $41 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ and wins.

$$
40 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{~B} 3)-\mathrm{B} 1 \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6
$$

If $40 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch; $41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 6 ; 42 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $43 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ and wins.

| 41 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |

This is obviously forced.

| 43 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 44 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |

An ingenious try. By the sacrifice of a second Rook Black nearly succeeds in Queening his QP. Anything else is clearly hopeless.

| $45 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $46 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ |
| $47 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| $48 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 8 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| $49 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |

If $49 \ldots \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$; $50 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; $51 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{R} ; 52 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ and wins.
$50 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 1$
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$
51 R-B4 ch
K-Q6

| 52 | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt} 6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 53 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |  |

Simpler is $53 \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{K t 3} \mathbf{c h}, \mathbf{K}-\mathrm{K} 7$; $54 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{R}$; $5 \mathbf{5}$ R-Ktl ch.

| 53 | $\ldots$ | B-K8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 54 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QKt1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 5$ |
| $55 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ ch | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 7$ |  |
| $56 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 6$ |  |
| $57 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 7$ |  |
| $58 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ | Resigns |  |

An interesting and instructive game with many combinative points. In spite of one or two errors towards the end, Bogoljubov deserves great credit for the power with which he drove home his King's side attack. Alekhine should have resigned sooner and the last few moves are merely frivolous.

## CHAPTER IV

## DR. MAX EUWE

DR. MAX EUWE has the distinction of being the first World Champion to be classified as an amateur. As a boy he showed an aptitude for mathematics which, often, though by no means always, coincides with chess skill, and after he had been awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in 1923, he divided his life between the teaching of science and the practice of chess, a division of labour which, of course, lessened his opportunities for practice at the game. It is questionable, however, whether he would have gone farther had he devoted all his time to chess. As it is, he appears the perfect player, profound in strategy, gifted in combination and possessed of a wide knowledge of theory. His only weakness is a tendency towards comparatively simple blunders, almost inexplicable in so gifted a master.

In the realms of chess theory he stands out on his own. Like Tarrasch, he never retains his theoretical discoveries for his own use. All that he knows he gives to the public, and chess players all over the world owe him a debt of gratitude for his profound yet simply expressed analytical studies.

Dr. Euwe was born in 1901 in Amsterdam where a street is now named after him. He learned chess at the age of five, and at ten played in his first tournament, winning all his games. From then onwards he went steadily forward. In 1921 he won the Championship of his country, a title which he has held ever since, and soon began to make his mark in international events. Owing to his scholastic
duties he has not been able to compete in so many big international tournaments as his professional rivals, but in those in which he has taken part he has invariably held a prominent place. Among his best results in such events may be mentioned his 3rd to Bogoljubov and Capablanca at Bad Kissingen in 1928 and his 2nd to Alekhine at Zürich in 1934. He has also gone in a great deal for personal matches which he was able to arrange during college vacations. He always selected the best opponents, as will be seen from the appended records, and though he lost the majority he always put up a stern fight. His victory over Alekhine in 1935 was not so surprising as it seems for, in the previous fourteen individual encounters between them, the score was 7-7. In this match he certainly showed chess genius of the highest order. That he did not hold the Championship longer was due to his chivalry in immediately agreeing to a return match, an attitude very different from that displayed by Alekhine in regard to Capablanca.

In this second match he showed stern resistance to an Alekhine who was at the very top of his form, and made his opponent fight every inch of the way. Euwe is extremely popular in this country, to which he is a frequent visitor.

Before the war he was a regular competitor in the annual Christmas Congresses organized by the Hastings Chess Club. These proved the scene of two of his brightest successes when he won 1st prize ahead of Capablanca in 1930 and again in 1934 when he tied with Sir George Thomas and Flohr above Capablanca, Botvinnik and Lilienthal. He could, however, never cope with the late Miss Menchik to whom he lost so many games that he was awarded the unenviable title of "President of the Menchik Club," i.e. famous masters who had been defeated by the woman Champion of the World.

During the war Euwe was out of chess. Living in Germanoccupied territory, he steadfastly refused all offers to take
part in German-organized tournaments. On the conclusion of hostilities he was soon in harness again. The World Championship was vacant through the death of Alekhine and Euwe determined to do his best to regain his lost crown, giving up his tutorial duties and devoting all his time to the game. His first efforts were full of promise. At the great tournament at Groningen, in which all possible Championship contenders took part, he finished second, only half a point behind Botvinnik, a long way ahead of the rest of the field. Then, unfortunately, there came a relapse. His tendency to make blunders, with the lack of confidence which it inevitably engenders, increased to an alarming extent, and caused him to put up very poor scores in some comparatively minor events. These results in themselves would not have mattered, all great players have their off days, but their effect on Euwe's trust in his own abilities proved disastrous. In the World Championship Tournament, played in 1948 at The Hague and Moscow, he could only win one game. Others, in which he played superbly up to a point, were frittered away by sheer nervousness.

Golombek, in his excellent book on the World Championship Tournament, suggests that the trouble is the psychological result of the strain of his life under German occupation, and I am inclined to think that he is right. Such events can leave a delayed mark on a sensitive temperament which may take time to show itself. It is very good to see that lately Euwe seems to be regaining some of his old confidence, and there is every hope that this brilliant and cultured master may play a prominent part in the Championship struggles of the future.

As already mentioned, Euwe's appearances in big international tourneys have been few and far between, and his victories in minor events are too numerous to be set out here. The following may be mentioned: Goteborg 1920,

2nd and 3rd; Vienna 1921, 2nd; Bad Kissingen 1928, 3rd; Berne 1932, equal 2nd and 3rd; Zürich 1934, 2nd; Zandvoort 1936, 2nd; Bournemouth 1939, 1st; London, Zaandam, and Maastridt, all 1946, 1st; Groningen 1946, 2nd. In addition he has twice won the Hastings Christmas Congress as well as dividing 1st to 3rd places on the famous occasion when both Botvinnik and Capablanca were outside the prize list. Euwe's match record is longer than that of any other master. The following are the most important results-

| 1924: v. E. Colle: | won 5, lost 3, drew 0 . |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1927: v. Dr. A. Alekhine: | won 2 , lost 3, drew 5 . |
| 1928: v. E. Colle: | won |
| 1928: v. E. Bogoljubov: | won 3 , lost 5, drew |
| 1931: v. J. R. Capablanc | n 0, lost 2, drew 8. |
| 1933: v. R. Spielmann: | won 2, lost 0, drew 2. |
| 1933: v. S. Flohr : | won 3 , lost 3, drew 10. |
| 1940: v. P. Keres: | won 5, lost 6, drew 3 |

## The First Match: Euwe v. Alekhine

Like the Alekhine v. Bogoljubov matches, the two contests with Euwe were also played on the perambulatory system, but as Holland is a comparatively small country blessed with first-class arterial roads, there was little hardship to the players. The first match is also memorable as being the first occasion on which the players were given the assistance of "seconds" with whom they were permitted to analyse adjourned games. There is a good deal to be said for this policy in Championship clashes. Chess human nature being what it is, the analysis of adjourned games will always happen and it is probably best to give it a certain amount of recognition. I should, however, be sorry
to see the principle extended to the ordinary run of tournaments as it offers unfair opportunities to those whose purses are long enough to afford paid assistance. The result of the match caused surprise among the general chess public, but those who had intimate acquaintance with the challenger's real strength always thought that he had a fighting chance.

It is possible that Alekhine had not fully trained for the encounter and that he was rather too appreciative of Dutch hospitality, but nothing can detract from the all-round excellence of Euwe's play.

Final score: Euwe 9; Alekhine 8; Drawn 13.

## First Match Game

White: Dr. A. Alekhine. Black: Dr. M. Euwe.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| $4 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |
| $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |
| $6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |  |  |

At the time this match was played this was the most popular move. Now 6 P -K3 is justly regarded as giving White better opportunities.

$$
6 \ldots \quad \text { QKt-Q2 }
$$

Another move which has gone out of fashion. A better line is $6 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QKt5}$; $8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ (if 8 P K4, the sacrifice $8 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{KP}$; $9 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ is at least good enough for a draw); $8 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR3}$, or even $8 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$, gives Black a game with good counterchances.

$$
7 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~B} 4)
$$

Q-B2

The point of Black's system of defence. He is able to force the advance of $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$.

| 8 | $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{KKt} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{KK}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| $11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{t} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |  |

In a game Capablanca $v$. Vidmar, the continuation $11 \ldots$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; 12 Castles, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$; $13 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ yielded Black practical equality. The merit of this line is that it disposes permanently of the pin on the Knight at K4. Neglect of this precaution brings Euwe into trouble.

| $12 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 13 Castles | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |

$13 \ldots Q-\mathbf{R 4}$, in order to get rid of the pin, seems slightly better. If then $14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt5}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 15 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$.

14 Q-B2
R-Q1

Now 14, ... Q-R4 is met by $15 \mathrm{Kt-Kt5}, \mathrm{P-B3;} 16$ $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$, with a splendid game.

| $15 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | Castles |
| :--- | :--- |
| $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch |

This exchange does not help Black, but already his position is very difficult. If $16 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4 ; 17 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$; 18 $\mathbf{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{Q K t} \mathbf{P}$ wins a pawn, and if $16 \ldots$ Q-Kt3; $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q4}$, followed by $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ gives White a splendid game.

$$
17 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R} 4
$$

Ingenious, as now $18 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K t}$, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K t}$; $19 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{Q K} t \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ would relieve Black of his troubles. The position of the Queen, however, is precarious and Alekhine soon finds a fine combination to drive it back into the pin.

$$
18 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \quad \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1
$$

BLACK
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## 19 P-QKt4

This is the combination. The pawn cannot be captured, e.g. $19 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 20 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 21 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6$ (if $21 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ and wins); $22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1, \mathrm{~B}-$ Kt7 (if $22 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$; $23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5 ; 24 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch}$, and wins); $23 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$, and wins. The Black Queen is therefore driven back to B2 and with his next move White forces an entry for his pieces at Q5.

| $19 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ |
| $21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

The move which should have been made long ago is too late now. Nothing else serves either. If $21 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 22$ $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ (if $22 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 23 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 4$ and wins); 23 Q-K6 ch, K-R1; 24 B $\times \mathrm{Kt}$ wins at least a pawn, and if $21 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q1}$; $23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ leaves the Black position hopeless.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3 \\
23 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5 &
\end{array}
$$

Even stronger than $23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5$. White now secures the domination of the all-important central squares.

## KINGS OF CHESS

| $23 \ldots$ | $B \times B$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |

Rather a forlorn hope, but there is nothing to be done. If $24 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$; $25 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5$, or $25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5$ at once wins comfortably.

$$
25 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5
$$

Now that the Queen has departed White proceeds to a decisive King's side attack.

| 25 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| 27 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| 28 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

If $28 \ldots$ P—KKt3; $29 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1 ; 30 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$, etc. $29 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch} \quad \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1$
$30 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P} \quad$ Resigns
If $30 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1 ; 31 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ (threatening the smothered mate by $32 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$; $38 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7$ mate); $\mathbf{3 1} \ldots$ $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 32 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7$ forces mate.

A fine game by Alekhine who took remorseless advantage of his opponent's small inaccuracies in the opening.

## Second Match Game

White: Dr. M. Euwe. Black: Dr. A. Alekhine.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |  |

In 1935 this was considered the best move. Now it is regarded as premature, either $4 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, 4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$, or $4 \mathrm{Kt}-$ B3 being preferred.

| $4 \ldots$ | $P \times P$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $5 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

The most energetic reply if properly followed up. White loses a great deal of time with the Queen.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
6 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 5 \mathrm{ch} & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3 \\
7 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3 & \text { R-QKt1 }
\end{array}
$$

Too defensive. Black should continue $7 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$ - Q4; 8 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ (if $8 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{Kt5}$; $9 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt5}$, Kt-B7 ch; $10 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ with advantage); $8 \ldots \mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{Kt5}$; 9 Q-R4, B-Q2; $10 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$; $11 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ with a good game.

$$
8 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5
$$

Euwe takes immediate advantage of his opponent's last move. He now forces the advantage of two Bishops and his mobile centre becomes very strong.

| 8 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| 13 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |

If the Knight returns to Q5, White can play advantageously $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 2$; $15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ or K2; 16 Castles, and the position of the Knight at Q5 is uncomfortable.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2 \\
15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3 &
\end{array}
$$

Very strong. White now threatens $16 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ followed by $17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$, and if Black plays $15 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$, B-Kt2; 17 Castles, and the White central advance is irresistible.

$$
15 \ldots \quad \text { Kt-Kt5 }
$$

An ingenious tactical resource. White, it is true, wins a pawn, but Black rids himself of the more dangerous of the two Bishops and obtains counter-chances.
$16 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$
$\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R 1}$
$17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$
The only way to keep the pawn. If $17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, followed by Kt-K4 is satisfactory for Black, and if $\mathbf{1 7}$ B-K3, $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$; $18 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 3 ; 19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $20 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch}$, etc.

| $17 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2$ |

Obviously not $18 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 19$ Q- Q4.

| $19 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | B-B3 |
| $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | B-R 4 |
| $22 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K}+3$ | B-Kt |
| $23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | Castles |
| $24 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | QR-R1 |
| $25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR} 2$ |  |

Threatening the unusual attack $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{R} 2$.

| $25 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QK} t 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

This enables White to obtain a passed pawn but it is difficult to find any other line, containing any promise at all. If he confines himself to waiting moves White will Castle and attack the Queen's side at his leisure.

| $28 \quad \mathbf{Q P} \times \mathbf{P}$ | QKtP $\times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 29 Castles | QR-Q1 |
| 30 | K-Kt2 |

A necessary preliminary to the advance of the Queen's side pawns on account of the possibilities of check at B4.

$$
30 \ldots \quad \text { R-Q5 }
$$

Some annotators have suggested $30 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ with an awkward attack on White's KP. White, however, could
reply 31 P -KKt5, sacrificing a pawn which is of little use to him, and after $31 \ldots \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$; $32 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$.

| 31 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ | $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | $\mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ |
| 33 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |

This anti-positional move, rightly condemned by all the annotators, is clear proof that Alekhine was quite out of form in this match. Had he captured with the RP none of the ensuing attacks would have been available and White's road to victory would have been a very hard one.

$$
34 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 6
$$

Q-QKt2

BLACK


35 K-R3
An extremely ingenious method of defending the KP. If Black captures it there follows $35 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{KP} ; 36 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ (if $\mathbf{3 6 \ldots} \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $37 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{t 3}$ ch followed by B -B3-first instance of the weakness of his 33rd move); 37 Q-B7 ch, K-R1; 38 Q-B7, R(Q5)-Q1; $39 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ (in order to play $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ without being mated at KR8); $39 \ldots$ Q-Kt2 (best; if $39 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$; $40 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ forces mate); $40 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $41 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$; $42 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}=$ Q ch, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 43 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt2} ; 44 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ and wins.

```
35 ...
R-Q3
36 Kt-Q5
```

A clever defence to the QKtP made possible by Black's unfortunate 33 rd move. If now $\mathbf{3 6} \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$; $\mathbf{3 7} \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ wins easily.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
36 \ldots & \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2 \\
37 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 2 &
\end{array}
$$

A temporary sacrifice of the passed pawn which leads to a winning attack. The obvious move $37 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QR1}$ is answered by $37 \ldots$ Kt $\times$ Kt; $38 \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R 7}$, Kt $\times$ KtP; $39 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$ ch, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$; and it is doubtful whether White can win the ending.

| $37 \ldots$ | $K t \times K t$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $38 \quad \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{KtP}$ |

There is nothing better. If $\mathbf{3 8} \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KtP} ; 39 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, followed by $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ wins at once and if $38 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{QP}$; $39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 3$; $40 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $41 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt7}$ is decisive.

$$
39 \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{B} 6 \quad \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}
$$

There is nothing better. If $39 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 6 ; 40 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ threatening $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$.
$40 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}$
41 B-B4
Q-K2
K-R3
If $41 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 42 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt5}, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $43 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $44 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$ ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 3$; $45 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7$ and wins.

42 Q-R1
Threatening the deadly $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ dis. ch. $42 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7$ would not be good on account of $42 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB1}$.

$$
42 \ldots \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 7
$$

If $42 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1} ; 43 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$; $44 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $45 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 7$ and wins.

43 R-B7
Q-K1

Or $43 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 44 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5$, threatening mate by 45 $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, and if then $44 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 45 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Q}$ and the passed pawn will cost a piece.

$$
44 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7 \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 7
$$

If $44 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ to cut off the White Queen. $45 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 1$ wins a Rook.
$45 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 77$ Resigns
For if $45 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} ; 46 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{R} ; 47 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 8=\mathrm{Q}$ dis. ch.

A splendid game by Euwe.
Twenty-fifith Match Game
White: Dr. A. Alekhine. Black: Dr. M. Euwe.
$1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
$2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$
$3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$
$4 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$
5 B-Kt5
$6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$
$7 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$
Kt-B3
P-K3
QKt-Q2
Q-R4

This is not a good way of meeting the Cambridge Springs Defence as Black gets an extra piece to bear on the pinned Knight at QB3. $7 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ is the correct move.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7 \ldots \\
& 8 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2
\end{aligned} \quad \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}
$$

Alekhine had previously won with this move because his opponent failed to find the correct reply. Rather better is $8 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ although even then Black obtains a fine game by $8 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ (if $9 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ and R5, or $9 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathbf{K} t \times K t ; 10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} t, \mathbf{B}-\mathrm{K} 3)$.

$$
8 \ldots \quad \text { Kt-Kt3 }
$$

Stronger than the more obvious $8 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5} ; 9 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$,

QKt-B3; $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, threatening $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ with a good game.

$$
9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3
$$

It now appears impossible to avoid loss of a pawn. If $9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$; $10 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} ; 11 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{RP}$, although after $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$, Kt-B3; $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ White's position is rather more promising than he obtained in the game.

| 9 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| 11 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ |  |

Or 11 Castles, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{B P} ; 12 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $13 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{B} 1$, Kt-Q4; 14 QR-Kt1. Black's position is cramped but he has a solid pawn to the good and, in the absence of the Queens, has not much to fear.

| $11 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{B P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 Castles |  |

Not $12 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, B—Kt5.
12
B-Kt5
$13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$

This second sacrifice is the only way to make anything out of the position. Black has three distinct threats, viz. $13 \ldots$ $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5,13 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{RP}$, and $13 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{RP}$.

| 13 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ |
| 15 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| 16 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |  |

And this also is almost forced. If Black is allowed to Castle into safety, White's game is hopeless.

| $16 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{P}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| 18 | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ |
| 19 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

Best. He has plenty of material to win with already, and further pawn-snatching would be sheer greediness.

BLACK

$20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 6 \mathrm{ch}$
At last Alekhine finds an attacking combination but Euwe has a counter-mine prepared which decides the issue. Anything else would be equally, but less elegantly, fatal.

| $20 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{KtP}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ |

Sounding the death knell of White's hopes. If $22 \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, then, obviously, $22 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ ch and $23 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$.

| 22 | $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{K} t$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 23 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}$ |$\quad \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$,

Black, of course, has now an easily won game and the remaining moves are only of interest from the able manner in which Euwe completes his development and exploits his material advantage with the utmost economy of time.

| 24 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 26 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ |
| 27 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |

## KINGS OF CHESS

$29 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
B-K3
$30 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1$
Castles

Now that all Black's pieces are in play White could have resigned.
$31 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 5 \mathrm{ch}$

32 K—B3
If $32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ wins the exchange.

| $32 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $33 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $34 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt} 4$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5} \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| $36 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |  |

If $36 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, the simplest is $36 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} ; \mathbf{3 7} \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$, $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K} t ; \mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$.

| 36 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 5$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 37 QR-Q1 | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R P}$ |
| 38 K-K4 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | R-K1 |
| $40 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ | R-Q1 |
| $41 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $42 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $43 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch |
| $44 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $45 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ |
| Resigns |  |

Euwe gave his opponent no chance to recover from his unsound opening tactics.

## Twenty-sixth Match Game

White: Dr. M. Euwe. Black: Dr. A. Alekhine.
$1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
P -K3
2 P -QB4
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$
3 P-KKt3
B-Kt5 ch

## DR. MAX EUWE

This move, followed by the retreat of the Bishop, was fashionable at one time as it was considered that White's Queen's Bishop was badly placed on Q2. Now opinion on this point has changed and $3 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$; $4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ is thought to be preferable.

| 4 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $5 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ | Kt-KB3 |  |
| $6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | Castles |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | Kt-K5 |

Sounder is $7 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ followed by $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ with a stonewall build up. The line chosen is apt to involve Black in difficulties on the long white diagonal KR1-QR8.

8 Castles
In ultra-close games Knights are usually more effective than Bishops, so White does not mind the possibility of $8 \ldots \mathrm{~K} t \times$ B.

| $8 \cdots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $9 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| 10 Kt 5 K 5 | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |

Quite sound. If White replies $11 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch} ; 12$ K-Kt2, Kt $\times$ P; 13 Q-Q3, QKt-B3; $14 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{K t}$; $15 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B}$, with two good pawns for the exchange.

| 11 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $12 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| $15 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |

A precaution against the possibility of Black playing P-B5.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
15 \ldots & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 \\
16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 3
\end{array}
$$

Necessary to prevent $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ followed by $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5$.

## KINGS OF CHESS

| $16 \ldots$ | K-R1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |
| $18 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |

Otherwise the White Knight will enter at Q5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 19 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 2 \\
& 20 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3
\end{aligned} \quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2
$$



$$
20 \ldots \quad \text { B-B3 }
$$

This allows White to make a promising sacrifice of a piece for three pawns which, as two of the pawns are passed, is sufficient to give him a strategically won game. No doubt Alekhine saw this continuation, but considered that he had good chances in the tactical complications which ensue. A safer line was $20 \ldots$ Kt-B3 and possibly $21 \ldots$ Kt-Kt1 and then B-B3.

| $21 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $22 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{QP}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ |
| $23 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $24 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{KK} t 4$ |

This is the move on which Alekhine relied when he permitted Euwe's combination. He obtains good attacking chances on the open KKt file.

| $25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |
| $27 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| $28 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KKt1}$ |
| $29 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |

If $29 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{K} 7$, recovering the piece with an improved position, but the text is not the best either. 30 Q-R3 would make all safe on the King's wing while threatening an irresistible advance of the pawns.

$$
29 \ldots
$$

Q-Kt3
30 R-KKt1
This neat counter is the best, for if $30 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5(30 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$, Q-Kt5, etc.) ; $30 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$; $31 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q1}$ (31 RKKt1 now is met by $31 \ldots \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R}$; $32 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$ ); $31 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ and if $32 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 3, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
30 \ldots & \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R} \\
31 & \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B} &
\end{array}
$$


$31 \ldots \quad$ Q-B3
Better is $31 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$ when White has nothing left except $32 \mathbf{P} \times$ Kt (if $32 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ ); $32 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} \mathbf{c h}$; $33 \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Q} 7)$ with a probable draw. The text
allows White to introduce new complications but should not in itself lose.

$$
32 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5
$$

Finely played. Black cannot now play $32 \ldots$ P-KR3 because of $33 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2$; $34 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$; $35 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{t} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$; $36 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2 ; 37 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$, Q-Kt2 ch; $38 \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{Q} 5, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{c h} ; 39 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q}$ and the mass of White pawns is irresistible. A quaint position.
32
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2$
$33 \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$

He should have played $33 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP} ; 34 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ (threatening $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R} \mathrm{ch}$ ); $34 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5 ; 35 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ (there is nothing better. If $\mathbf{3 5} \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P} ; \mathbf{3 6} \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K t} ; 37 \quad \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}, \quad \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{K} 5$ ch with perpetual check); $35 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $36 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 6, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ and Black should draw the ending.

| $34 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $35 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 6$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}$ |
| $36 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |

Black must have been very loath to unite White's pawns, but there is no alternative as he is literally without moves.

$$
37 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4
$$

If he gives up the exchange his chances in the Rook ending are very small.

$$
38 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1 \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3
$$

This loses quickly. Black's only chance lies in preventing the White Knight from moving by $38 \ldots$ K-Kt1, but White can still win by $39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ (Black can only mark time. If he allows the Knight to move the pawns cannot be stopped, and pawn moves on the Queen's side are of no account); $40 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt3}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$; $41 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4, \mathrm{R}-$ K2; $42 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$; $43 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 44 \mathrm{R}-$ Kt 3 ch , followed by R-Kt5.
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$$
39 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \quad \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{B} 7
$$

Obviously $39 \ldots \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ loses a Rook.

| 40 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 K | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 6$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| 42 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 7$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |
| 43 | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{Q} 8$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| 44 | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{K} t 7$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 45 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |
| 46 | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{Q} 6$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{KP}$ |
| 47 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ | Resigns |

An interesting and well-fought game, full of rich combinative points.

## The Second Match: Euwe v. Alekhine

I was present at this match and can testify to the grim determination with which Alekhine entered into it. He neither drank nor smoked and had brought himself to the highest pitch of physical fitness. As a result he was, for the last time in his life, in his very best form and his play was, if anything, even better than in his match with Capablanca.

Euwe struggled gallantly and held his own in the early part, but lost ground in the middle and his final rally came too late.

The final score was: Alekhine 10; Euwe 4; Drawn 11.
The match, like the first, was scheduled to consist of thirty games, but when the result was decided, the remaining five ranked as exhibition games. Of these Euwe won two and Alekhine one.

## Second Match Game

White: Dr. A. Alekhine. Black: Dr. M. Euwe.

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB4} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |

## kings of chess

| $3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| $6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |  |

This match saw the end of this once popular move. It now occurs very rarely in master practice.

| $6 \ldots$ | $P-K 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | B-Kt5 |

For 7 P—B3 see first game of 1935 match (p. 177).

| $7 \ldots$ | $B-K t 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $8 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} 5)$ |  |

If this is White's best the move $6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ is rightly abandoned. If $8 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$, Black can continue either by $8 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3 ; 9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$; $10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}$; 11 $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{KP}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{KP}$; $12 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$, Castles, as in a consultation game played by Alekhine in 1929, or could try $8 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $9 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$; with about equal chances in either case.

$$
8 \ldots \quad \text { Q-Q4 }
$$

This move leads to very interesting play and, for the moment at least, transfers the initiative to Black. The threat is $9 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ and if White tries $9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{Q}-$ $\mathrm{R} 4 ; 10 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, with a very good game.

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
9 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} & \mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} \\
10 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 &
\end{array}
$$

This uncomfortable-looking move is forced, for if $10 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 7$, winning material.

$$
10 \ldots \quad \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}
$$

Alekhine thinks that $10 \ldots$ Q-Kt6 was superior. Certainly after $11 \mathrm{~B} \times$ P, R-KKt1; $12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6$, Kt-Q2 the threat of an attack by the Knight via B3 and K5 or Q4 or via Kt3 and R5 is very hard to meet, but White might play $11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R4}$, Kt-Q2; $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$, with
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comparative security. Still there is no doubt that 10 ... Q-Kt6 retains the initiative whereas the move in the text leads to equality at the best.

| $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $12 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| $13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | Castles $\mathbf{Q R}$ |
| 15 | Castles KR |
| $16 \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| $17 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| 1 | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathrm{K} t$ |

There is no apparent necessity for this exchange which opens the QKt file and, incidentally, deprives Black of a valuable Bishop. $17 \ldots$ Kt-Q6, or $17 \ldots$ KR-KKt1 seem good enough to secure equality.

| $18 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $19 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ |
| 20 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |

A precautionary measure against attacks on the $K K t$ file.

| $20 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7$ |

BLACK

$22 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$
Alekhine calls this "the most subtle move of the game,"
and it certainly involves profound calculation. The theme of his play is that if he can drive the Black Knight from his post at K4 his Bishop will prove superior to the Knight, particularly if an endgame ensues. At the moment, however, $\mathbf{2 2} \mathbf{P}-K B 4$ can be effectively met by $22 \ldots \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$; $23 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$, and Black wins as $24 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{B}$; $25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6$ or K 7 is met by $25 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch.

$$
22 \ldots \quad Q-Q 7
$$

Now $22 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ fails against $23 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} t, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}(23 \ldots$ $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}$ is worse); $24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 25 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$ ch, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$; $26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7$, and $22 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ is met by $23 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6$. The exchange of Queens therefore seems almost forced.

| $23 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| $25 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |

White's advantage in this ending consists in the facts: (A) That he has a pawn majority on the wing where the Black King is not, and ( $\boldsymbol{B}$ ) that his Bishop is a more effective piece than the Knight on the open board. At the present moment, however, Black's Rooks are formidably placed and White's initial efforts are directed towards forcing the exchange of one of them.

| $25 \ldots$ | KR-Q1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 6$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q} 1)-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |

$26 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 7$ will not do on account of $27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3 ; 28 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{QBP}$.

|  | $7 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Kt1})-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ |

The first part of Alekhine's task is accomplished. The remainder of the game is a splendid example of accurate and incisive endgame play.

| $29 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $30 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |

$31 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$
Kt-Q4
Alekhine points out that against $31 \ldots$ P-B4, he would have played $32 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 5$ followed by $33 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}$, after which the passed KBP will be tremendously strong. $32 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ at once would not have been so good because of $32 \ldots$ $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch; $33 \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{K t} 3 \mathrm{ch}$, followed by $\mathbf{K t - B 4}$ with counter-chances.

$$
32 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3 \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3
$$

If he gives up this pawn White's KRP will decide the issue.

| $33 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $34 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |

If $34 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{Q B P} ; \mathbf{3 5} \mathbf{K}-\mathrm{R} 5, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$; $36 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$, there is no stopping the KRP.

| $35 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $36 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $37 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $38 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ |
| $39 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 6$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |
| $40 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $41 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | Resigns |

The two pawns are irresistible. This game is a fine example of Alekhine's play in the second phase of his career. After a slightly inferior opening his strategy is a fine example of that deceptive simplicity which looks so easy but is, in fact, so hard to achieve.

## Seventh Match Game

White: Dr. M. Euwe. Black: Dr. A. Alekhine.
$1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$
2 P-QB4
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
$3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$
4 P-K3
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$
Kt -KB3

Alekhine usually played here $4 \ldots$ B-Kt5; $5 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$, P-K3; 6 Q-Kt3, B $\times$ Kt. The text move leads to the normal variation of the opening.

| 5 | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ | P-K3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | Castles | P-B4 |
| 7 | Q-K2 | Kt-B3 |
| 8 | Kt—B3 |  |

Better than $8 \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$, if only because of the reply $8 \ldots$ P-QKt4; 9 B-Kt3, P-B5; 10 B-B2, Kt-QKt5, exchanging White's most dangerous attacking Bishop.

$$
8 \ldots
$$

P-QKt4
If $\mathbf{8} \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 9 \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{Q 1}$ recovers the pawn with the better game for White.

$$
9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 3 \quad \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2
$$

$9 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2$ is more usual, but White still retains the advantage by $10 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$; $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$.

$$
10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}
$$

If $10 \ldots$ Castles; $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt5}$; $14 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$ gives White a dominating position.

$$
11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4
$$

Euwe points out that this is superior to $11 \mathbf{R - Q 1 , Q - B 2}$; $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ because of $12 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KKt} 5$, with a grip on K4.

$$
11 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5
$$

11 ... Kt-Q2 is safer but Black's position remains constrained.

| $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |

If $13 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{P} ; 14 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ (if $14 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 15$ B -K3 wins a piece); $15 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 16 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ with a winning position.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
14 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 3 \\
15 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP} & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5
\end{array}
$$

The only move. $15 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$; $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$, Q-Kt2; 17 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 18 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ is hopeless for Black.
$16 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K} t$
17 B-R4 ch
K -K2

If $17 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B} ; 19 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$; $20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$, with a speedy win.
18 B-K3
$\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{Q}$

Euwe considers this to be Black's decisive error and points out that the position would still be held by $18 \ldots$ R-Q1; 19 QR-Q1, P-K4; $20 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} ; 21 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{R}(\operatorname{not} 21 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R} ; 22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6) ; 22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1}$, although Black is still saddled with weak scattered pawns.

$$
19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 4
$$

Other Bishop moves are worse. If $19 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$; 20 B-B6, R-QKt1; 21 B-B7 wins the exchange, or if $19 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 21 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1 \mathrm{ch}$, K-B2; 22 B-Q6 ch followed by 23 QR-Kt1 and wins. 20 QR-Q1

BLACK


## KINGS OF CHESS

There is no adequate defence now. White threatens 21 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ ch with mate to follow, and if $20 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3 ; 21$ $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathbf{R}$; $22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 23 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{QR}-$ Kt1; $24 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ and wins; or $20 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 21 \mathrm{~B}-$ B 5 ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $22 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{KtP}$; $23 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$, winning a piece.

| $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- |
| $22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |$\quad \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{KtP}$

Euwe rightly calls this "A move of many threats." There is, in fact, no way by which Black can avert disastrous material loss. The most plausible line $22 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ is met by $23 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} t 2 ; 24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QKt} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 25 \mathrm{~B}-$ B6 and wins.

| $22 \ldots$ | B-Kt2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 23 R-KKt3 |  |

Threatening $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ mate. If Black plays $23 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ and wins. The best move at this stage would be 23 ... Resigns. Alekhine prefers to carry on a hopeless struggle with a piece to the bad for another eighteen moves.

| $23 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KK} \mathrm{t} 1$ |
| $25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KKt} 3$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| $26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| $27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| $28 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| $29 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ |
| $30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| $33 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $34 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| $36 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |


| 37 | $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{K} 7$ |
| 40 | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $4 \mathbf{1} \mathbf{K}-\mathbf{B} 5$ | $\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{K} t 5$ |
|  | $\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{B P}$ |
|  | Resigns |

A remarkable game in which one or two slightly inferior moves in the opening brought about a surprising disaster. The manner in which Euwe took advantage of his opportunities is highly instructive.

## Eighth Match Game

White: Dr. A. Alekhine. Black: Dr. M. Euwe.

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |
| $4 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |  |

Alekhine strongly favoured this move in preference to the more complicated 4 P -K3 or 4 P -QR3. To-day it is considered that Black should equalize without much difficulty.
$4 \ldots$
$5 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$
$\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
$5 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
The simplest continuation. $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ ch; $6 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$, Kt-K5 leads to complicated variations in which Black seems to hold his own.

$$
5 \ldots \quad \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}
$$

This is generally held to be stronger than $5 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$, to which White can play $6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ with a position akin to the Exchange variation of the normal Queen's Gambit.

| $6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt} \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $8 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{QKt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |

It was better to Castle. All Black's subsequent troubles are due to his neglect of this precaution.

9 P -B3
A good method of maintaining the initiative. The possibility of $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ is a continual menace to Black.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
9 & \ldots & \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} \\
10 & \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3} \\
11 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2
\end{array}
$$

$11 \ldots$ Castles, and if $12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ or $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4,12 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ was still possible.

$$
12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4 \quad \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3
$$

Black seems to underestimate his danger. $12 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ was necessary, although after $13 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$, White's pair of Bishops and strong centre give him the superior position.

$$
13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2
$$

Now Castling is prevented and Black's King is very unsafe in the centre.

| $13 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $14 . \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ | $\mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| $15 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $17 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |  |

White's King is perfectly safe on this square.

$$
17 \ldots \quad \text { Kt—R5 }
$$

The commencement of a plan to drive away White's Queen's Bishop with pawns, which turns out badly in consequence of the superiority of White's centre. It seems doubtful whether there is any satisfactory move left. Alekhine suggests as best, $17 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5 ; 18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{B} ; 19 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{QB} 1, \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R} ; 20 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 3$; but White obtains a powerful attack by $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$, and if $21 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $22 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$.

$$
18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 3
$$

Logical but bad. The last hope lay in $18 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ to provide an escape for his King.

$$
19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 6
$$

Nipping in the bud Black's idea of $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q R 4}$. From now to the end Alekhine plays with tremendous energy.

$$
19 \ldots \quad \text { R-QKt1 }
$$

Hoping to trap the Bishop by P-QKt4. $19 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ would also lose after $20 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{QB} 1, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} ; 21 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$, P-QKt4; 22 B-Kt7, threatening both $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ and Q-R2.

$$
20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4
$$

BLACK


Opening up the centre with decisive effect. If Black tries to make a flight square for his King by $20 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$, there follows $21 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$; $22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ (if $22 \ldots$ $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2 ; 23 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4) ; 23 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ ch, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 24 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5 \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; $25 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q1}$; $26 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, and wins. $20 \ldots$

P-QKt4
Going on with his original plan of winning the Bishop. It is true that after $21 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B} ; 22 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$, he might be able to withstand the attack.
$21 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$
But this intermediate move settled matters.

$$
21 \ldots \quad \text { R-K } t 3
$$

Or $21 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 22 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ (or $22 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$; 23 Q-K5 ch, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 24 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ and wins); $23 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1 \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch; $25 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 26$ $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, and wins.

| $22 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $23 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

24 QR-B1
Threatening immediate destruction by $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}$.
$24 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$
$25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$

Even more decisive than $25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8$ ch

| $25 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $26 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{RP}$ | Resigns |

Mate cannot be averted for more than a few moves. A finely-conducted attack by Alekhine, who must have visualized the combination to the end when he played his 19th move of $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 6$.

## Twenty-fifth and Deciding Game

White: Dr. M. Euwe. Black: Dr. A. Alekhine.

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |
| $4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | Castles |
| $5 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |

This move, the trade-mark of Rubinstein, is rather out of place in this particular position. More usual is $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$, to make Black commit himself with his Bishop. $5 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ or 5 B-Q3 are also, of course, perfectly good.
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| $5 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| $7 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |  |

Quite logical. White intends to play Kt-Kt3, B-Q3, and Kt-B5.

| 7 | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | $\mathbf{K t}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathbf{B} 4$ |
| 9 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |  |

But this exchange is quite wrong and gives Black opportunities for counter play in the centre which completely destroys White's strategic plan. White should continue his development by $9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$.

| $9 \ldots$ |
| :--- |
| $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 4$ |$\quad \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}$

Apparently overlooking his opponent's reply. $10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ was still correct.

$$
10 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5
$$

A surprising stroke which gives Black the better game in all variations. If White replies $11 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathbf{R 4} 4, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; 12 $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}$ (if $12 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch wins the Queen ); $12 \ldots$ $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} \mathrm{ch}$; $13 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5} \mathrm{ch}$; $14 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{ch}$ and wins; or 11 QKt-K4, Kt $\times \mathrm{Kt}$; $12 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$; $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$, and White has a broken pawn position.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
11 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} t \\
12 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2 &
\end{array}
$$

$12 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$; $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Kt} \times$ QBP; $15 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$, is comparatively better, but it is a melancholy line for White at this early stage. After the text move Black's QBP proves terribly strong while White's opposite number is negligible.
$12 \ldots$ QKt1 13 Q-R4

## KINGS OF CHESS

He cannot win the pawn by $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$, because of $13 \ldots$ Kt-Q4 threatening Kt-Kt5. $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ is met by $13 \ldots$ $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$, with the same threat, and if $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP}$, and White cannot play $14 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ because of $14 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$. 13 ...

B-Q2
With the powerful threat of $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 5$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7$ dis. ch.
BLACK


## 14 R-Kt3

This eventually results in the loss of the exchange, but it appears to be White's best course. Against 14 B-B4 there follows $14 \ldots$ B-R5; 15 B-Kt3, B-Kt4, threatening B-Q6; and if 14 R-Kt4, Alekhine gives $14 \ldots$ Kt-R3; $15 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ (not $16 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$, Kt-Q4); $16 \ldots$ KR-K1, with a depressing position for White. As a result of the sacrifice White's game develops unexpected attacking resources.

14 ... B-R5
$15 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$
$16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$
$17 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$

Or 17 Castles, $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R}$; $18 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$, and Black should win comfortably.

| 17 | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | Castles | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $19 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ |  |
| $20 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |  |
| 21 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ |
| 22 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |  |

After $22 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{Q}$ White must lose one of his Queen's side pawns with a hopeless ending.
22 ...
Q-Kt4
23 Q-B3

The QBP cannot be saved. White's only hope rests in an attack with Queen and Knight against the Black King.

| 23 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 8$ |

A well-thought-out counter. If now $25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt3}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$; 26 Q-Kt5, K-RI; $27 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$, and White's attack is over.

| 25 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$ |  |

White, of course, can only play for traps, and this is a most ingenious one. If Black plays the apparently powerful $26 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$; $27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ (threatening B-K3), and if $27 \ldots$ Kt-Q6; 28 Q-Kt5, Q-K4 ch; 29 B-B4, Kt $\times$ B; $30 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}$, winning the Queen.

| $26 \ldots$ | R-K1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | R-K1 | Q-B6 |
| 28 | R-Q1 |  |

And now he threatens $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, but Alekhine has a neat reply which produces a much-to-be-desired simplification.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 28 \ldots \\
& 29 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}
\end{aligned}
$$

Forced.

$$
\begin{gathered}
29 \ldots \\
\text { Alekhine points out that } 29 \ldots \mathbf{B} \text { ch } \\
\text { Q-Kt7 would lose because of the neat reply } \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5 .
\end{gathered}
$$

30 K-R2
Q-B2
31 R-Q6
R-B4

Threatening $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K}$.
32 P—KKt3
A last ingenious trap. $32 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ is met by $33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 6$, after which Black is forced to give up his Queen and remains with a doubtful ending.

R-KB1
Best. Black still has to exercise a little care against such possibilities as $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P}$ or (in the case of $32 \ldots \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$, or $32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB1}$ ), Q-Kt5.
$33 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$
Now he has to guard against the threat of $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
33 \ldots & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 \\
34 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 3 &
\end{array}
$$

To enable the Queen to move without leaving the Rook in a pin. If $34 \mathrm{P}-\mathbf{R 5}$, to prevent Black's next, $34 \ldots \mathrm{P}$ Kt3; $35 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 36 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$, with the double threat of $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Q1}$ and $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{KR1}$.

$$
34 \ldots \quad \text { P-KR4 }
$$

Beginning a decisive counter-onslaught.

| $\mathbf{3 5} \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch |
| :--- | :--- |
| $36 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $37 \mathrm{P}-\mathbf{R} 5$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K} t$ |

Alekhine describes this Knight as "The most hated Knight of the match," and it has certainly played a noble part in the struggle. Now Black obtains a mating attack.

$$
38 \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{R}
$$

$$
\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P} \mathrm{ch}
$$

$39 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$
Q-R5 ch
$40 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$
If $40 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3 \mathrm{ch}$; $41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 6, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$, and mates in two moves.
$40 \ldots \quad \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}$
41 K-K4
If $41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1 \mathrm{ch} ; 42 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ wins the Queen, or if $41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$, threatening $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ mate.

41 ...
$42 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 5$
$43 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
Resigns
A very interesting game which forms a fitting climax to a well-played match. Euwe displayed marvellous ingenuity in a theoretically lost position, and compelled Alekhine also to give of his best.

## CHAPTER V

## MIKHAIL BOTVINNIK

THE present Champion of the World, Mikhail Botvinnik, was born in 1911. He learned chess at the age of twelve when, as he says himself, 'My brain was fresh, it could take in an unlimited amount of the information, the elementary knowledge which is necessary to the perfection of a player's technique and to a master's creative activity at the board." On this task he took four years. In 1927 he was awarded the title of Master of the Soviet Union and, at the age of twenty, he won the title of All-Russian Champion. Since then he has gone from strength to strength, winning the Soviet Championship on five of the seven occasions on which he has competed, gaining the title of Absolute Champion of the Soviet Union in a sexangular contest, invading the international field with outstanding success, and finally gaining the greatest crown of all, the World Championship.

Botvinnik possesses in a high degree all the qualities which go to make a great chess player: a combination of talent, character, physical health, and the ability to concentrate on preparation. Probably no one prepares for a contest so thoroughly as he does, both on the side of analysis and that of physical fitness. Some of the rules he lays down for himself preparatory to a tournament bear more resemblance to training for a boxing than a chess contest. The thoroughness of his preparation is shown by the fact that finding that he, as a non-smoker, was troubled by the tobacco fumes which impregnate every chess room, he played a match with Ragosin, a continuous smoker, so that he could accustom himself to the atmosphere. Another
rare gift which he possesses is that of rigid self-criticism. No matter how finely he seems to have played, or how successful he has been, he tears his own games to pieces, searching out the slightest weaknesses and concentrating on ways and means to eradicate them. Consequently he has made himself equally at home in each department of the game: opening, positional strategy, combinative tactics, and endplay, so that it is impossible to say that he is stronger in one brand of play than another. His best games have the smoothness of an epic poem, rolling on grandly to their appointed end. His determination is enormous. One always feels that success to him is more than a personal triumph; it is something that adds to the glory of his beloved country and consequently, to the natural desire to win, is added a burning patriotic fervour.

In spite of this tremendous will to win he never allows himself to be betrayed into irritability or discourtesy towards his opponents. In fact, he is, if anything, almost over-courteous.

In tournaments it often happens that a player makes his move when his opponent is absent from the board. In such cases there are a few players-happily very few-who press the clock gently and remain with head buried in hands hoping thereby to gain time on the clock. Most relax and look round the room, or themselves rise from the board and have a look at the game next door. At Nottingham, the only tournament in which I have played with him, Botvinnik went much farther than this. He would personally search the tournament room, find the wanderer and escort him back to his place, apparently fearful lest he might be thought to take the slightest unfair advantage. In this tournament I was reporting the games for the Manchester Guardian and, consequently, was often at a considerable distance from my board, taking a bird's-eye view of other games, but he never failed to bring me back in
spite of the fact that he needed a win to gain first prize outright, and had a very difficult position. With all these qualities combined with great charm of manner, Botvinnik is an ideal World Champion. He might well be described as the perfect chess ambassador. His record to date reads-

1929, won Leningrad Championship.
1931, Championship of U.S.S.R., 1st-a success repeated in 1933, 1939, 1944, and 1945.

1940, won Sexangular Tournament for title of Absolute U.S.S.R. Champion.

In international tournaments, of which he has taken part in very few, his best performances are: Leningrad 1933, 1st; Moscow 1935, equal 1st with Flohr above Capablanca and Lasker; Moscow 1936, 2nd to Capablanca; Nottingham 1936, equal 1st with Capablanca; A.V.R.O. Tournament (Holland) 1938, 3rd following Keres and Fine; Groningen 1946, 1st; Tchigorin Memorial Tournament, Moscow 1947, 1st.

Since his World Championship match with Bronstein he has given performances which, for him, are poor ones in the Soviet Championship and the Budapest International Tournament (both won by Keres), but these results like those achieved by Bronstein in the same events were probably due to fatigue, following the most strenuous championship match of modern times. He will certainly come back to his old form.

Apart from his fame as a chess player Botvinnik has won distinction as an engineer and was decorated during the war for the invention of an improved trench mortar.

## The World Championship Tournament

The conclusion of the last war saw a revolution in the organization of the World Championship. For a long time
chess players had been dissatisfied with a system which allowed the reigning champion, to all intents and purposes, to choose his own opponent. It was finally agreed that the whole matter should be handed over to the International Chess Federation (F.I.D.E.).

The first task of this body was to find a successor to fill the throne vacated by the death of Alekhine, and it was decided that this should be done by means of a tournament of six selected players who should each play four games with all the others. The selected players, undoubtedly the strongest on the most recent form, were M. Botvinnik, P. Keres, and V. Smyslov (U.S.S.R.), R. Fine and S. Reshevsky (U.S.A.), and Dr. Euwe (Holland). Fine was, unfortunately, unable to take part and the number was reduced to five. It was decided that these should play five instead of four games against each other.

The first half of the tournament was played at The Hague, the second in Moscow. I have decided that the best way of presenting a picture of this great event is to give two of the best games played by the winner, Botvinnik, and one specimen from each of the others. For the annotations to these games I am much indebted to the profound and conscientious analysis by H. Golombek in his book, World Championship Chess 1948. Short biographies of Keres, Reshevsky, and Smyslov-who have not previously been mentioned in these pages-are appended.

As for the tournament itself Botvinnik went ahead almost from the start and before the first half was completed it was evident that, barring accidents, he was a certain winner. He actually won with three rounds to spare. He lost only two games-to Reshevsky and Keres; the latter after the Championship was already won. His play throughout was superb in all departments and marked him as at least the equal of any of the great champions who have preceded him.

There was a keen fight for second place which eventually went to Smyslov, a great achievement for the youngest and least experienced of the competitors. Reshevsky, one feels, ought to have done better. He was the only player who seriously troubled Botvinnik, winning one game and obtaining a won position in another. His one weakness is that he seems quite unable to keep on terms with his clock. Keres played well against everyone except Botvinnik who seemed to exercise a sort of hypnotic influence over him. Euwe, as already stated, was but a shadow of his true self.

The final score table was-

|  | Botvinnik | Smyslov | Reshevsky | K.eres | Euwe | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Botvinnik |  | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 1 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2}$ | $1{ }_{1}^{1} 0011$ | $1 \begin{array}{lllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 0\end{array}$ | $1 \frac{1}{4} 18 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ | 14 |
| Smyslov. | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{8} 0014 \frac{1}{4}$ | 1-1 | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ | 00 | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \end{array}$ | 11. |
| Reshevsky |  |  |  | $1 \frac{1}{1} 01 \frac{7}{2}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & \frac{1}{1} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ | $10 \frac{1}{10}$ |
| Keres E. | $\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}$ | 1 1 $\frac{1}{4}$ 0 $\frac{1}{3}$ <br> 0 0 1 0  | 0     <br> 0 $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ 0 $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\overline{0 \frac{1}{2} 000}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2} 1$ | 10를 |

## V. Smyslov

Smyslov, the youngest of the competitors, was born in Moscow in 1921. Like most of the Soviet masters he has played chess practically all his life and tied for the Moscow Championship in 1938. Since then he has always been in the very top flight of Soviet masters, though somehow or other the very highest honours have never come his way. In the Soviet Championship in 1940 he was 3rd and again took that place in the Absolute Championship one year later. In 1944 he improved on this by coming 2nd to Botvinnik and actually tied for the title with Bronstein in 1949, the tie match never being played off. In international tournaments he was placed 3rd at Groningen in 1946, 3rd in the World Championship Candidates Tournament at Budapest in 1950, 2nd at Venice 1950, and again 3rd at Budapest this year. He has been remarkably successful when representing his country in international
matches, winning both his games against Reshevsky in the Radio Match with U.S.A. and scoring $3 \frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ in the two matches U.S.S.R. v. Great Britain. In style he is less aggressive than the majority of Soviet masters, appearing to model himself on Capablanca rather than on Tchigorin or Alekhine. Probably his greatest strength is in the endgame which he handles to perfection. Personally, he is a modest and unaffected young man and is very popular with all who have met him. Like many chess masters his hobby is music and he is a pianist of no mean order.

## S. Reshevsky

Reshevsky, America's greatest player, is a worthy successor to Morphy, Pillsbury, and Marshall, though in many ways he is very different from these great masters. They were all native-born Americans but Reshevsky saw the light near Lodz, in Poland, and he did not become an American citizen until he reached the age of maturity. He learned the moves at the age of five and rapidly became the most famous of all chess infant prodigies. At the age of eight he was taken on a chess tour through Europe where he took on all comers in simultaneous and blindfold exhibitions.

I remember one exhibition at the Gambit Chess Rooms, in London, where the sailor-suited boy, whose head hardly reached the top of the table, smashed up opponent after opponent with remorseless accuracy and lightning speed.

When he reached America his education was taken in hand by a Jewish Committee, who saw that he received a normal training and thus saved him from the usual fate of infant prodigies. Until his education was completed he took little part in chess and we first hear of him in a tournament at Pasadena where he finished 3rd to Alekhine and Kashdan ahead of a number of leading American
players. Two years later he won a very strong tournament at Syracuse.

He revisited England in 1935 and came 1st in a small but very strong tournament at Margate, where he beat Capablanca. His appearances outside the American continent have, unfortunately, been few and far between, but whenever he has played he has done exceedingly well. He finished equal 3rd and 4th behind Botvinnik and Capablanca at Nottingham in 1936; tied with Flohr and Petrov at Kemeri in 1937 above Alekhine, Keres and Fine; and shared 3rd and 4th prizes with Capablanca at Semmering. In 1939 he was 2nd at Leningrad-Moscow. He also won a strong Hastings Tournament ahead of Keres. Since the war he has finished 2nd to Najdorf at Amsterdam and tied for the Capablanca Memorial Tournament at Havana in 1952.*

In American tournaments he has met with outstanding success. Since 1936 he won the National Championship with unfailing regularity until last year, when he was unexpectedly defeated by Larry Evans-a young man with a bright future ahead of him. All his life Reshevsky's great rival has been his compatriot $R$. Fine, and the two have fought many stirring battles. In American contests Reshevsky has usually come out on top, but Fine's international record is slightly superior.

Reshevsky's style is a curious one. He seems to rely little on theory and revels in curiously backward positions which always contain hidden resources. Provided he can complicate he is happy. He is a profound analyst, so profound in fact that he loses all consciousness of time and usually finds himself with about fifteen moves to make in two minutes. He is a brilliant lightning player, probably the best in the world, but occasionally, as in his first game

[^3]with Botvinnik in the series under review, he fails to accomplish his task. This is the principal weakness of his game. If he could manage to co-ordinate his thoughts with the necessities of the time control he might easily be champion of the world.

## Paul Keres

I first met Paul Keres at the Warsaw International Team Tournament in 1935, when he was still a schoolboy, with the face of a cherub and the chess-playing mind of a demon. In this tournament he played first board for Esthonia and, young as he was, proved that he was the equal of the greatest masters. From then onwards his rise was meteoric and his tournament suecesses too numerous to mention in full.

Especially noteworthy achievements were his tie for 1st place with Alekhine at Bad Nauheim in 1936 and his victory at Vienna, in a strong double-round tournament, with prescribed openings. His greatest success came in 1937 in a double-round tournament played at SemmeringBaden, where he won 1st prize above Fine, Capablanca, and Reshevsky. This great victory at once put him in line for a match for the World Championship but, with a modesty which has never left him, he declared himself too inexperienced to consider such a contest. An even greater triumph than Semmering was to come. In the A.V.R.O. Tournament (double-round), played at Amsterdam in 1938, the competitors were Alekhine, Capablanca, Euwe, Botvinnik, Fine, Reshevsky, Flohr, and Keres, the three living World Champions and all the possible contenders for the title. The result was a tie between Keres and Fine, Keres being awarded 1st prize on the Sonnenborn-Berger system of valuing points. But for the outbreak of war the world title seemed within his grasp.

With the absorption of Esthonia into the U.S.S.R. Keres
became a Soviet citizen and competed in his first championship in 1940 where he finished 3rd behind Bondarevsky and Lilienthal. In the Absolute Championship the following year he was 2nd to Botvinnik. About this time Keres' style completely changed. All his greatest successes had been won by brilliant combinative play in complicated positions; now he began to rely principally on technique and showed an unwonted readiness to simplify into endgames. His results began to suffer. Although he won the Soviet Championship in 1947 his games were sadly laborious and in the Tchigorin Memorial Tournament the same year, he could only finish 6th.

In the Championship Candidates' Tournament at Budapest, in 1950, he was also disappointing after a good start and ended in 4th place behind Bronstein, Boleslavsky, and Symslov. Many of us thought that he was just another master. Then came a startling change. He has won the last two Soviet Championships in brilliant style, and repeated this success in the very strong International Tournament at Budapest this year when Botvinnik was only 4th. His play in all these events has been most impressive. He seems to have suddenly recovered all his old brilliance without impairing his technique and if he can keep up this form, it seems very likely that he will be seated opposite Botvinnik in the next Championship match.

He is still well under forty, a slim athletic young man who will lose nothing on the score of physical fitness. He is a lawn-tennis player of some repute and recently reached the final of quite an important tournament.

## World Championship Tournament

White: M. Botvinnik. Black: Dr. M. Euwe.

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |


| 3 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{QK}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |

The Meran Defence, not often seen nowadays, although it is one of the most interesting methods of defending the Queen's Gambit.

| $7 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |
| $9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |  |

Stronger than 9 Castles, after which $9 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ gives Black an excellent game.

| $9 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |

A line which has been recently tried, $10 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt5}$, is effectively met by 11 B-KB4, P $\times \mathbf{P}$; $12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$.

$$
11 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}
$$

Better is $\mathbf{1 1} \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ as played in the eighth match game between Bronstein and Botvinnik (see p. 252).

$$
12 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt} \quad \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3
$$

Comparatively best. $12 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ is obviously impossible on account of $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$, and $12 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 13 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ is very bad for Black.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
13 & \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} \\
14 & \text { Castles }
\end{array} \quad \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}
$$

If $14 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$, Black can Castle, and if then $15 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{P}$ $\mathrm{B} 4 ; 16 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$, and White must give up the Queen for two Rooks, after which the Black centre pawns are strong enough to win.

$$
14 \ldots \quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4
$$

Here 14 ... Castles is not so good as White has completed his development and secures a splendid game by 15 B -

KB4, B-Kt2; 16 R-K1, followed by Kt-K5. Black's trouble in this variation is that his King's position is insecure whether he Castles or keeps in the centre.

## $15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB} 4$

Botvinnik's handling of this part of the game is a superb example of position judgment. His object is to keep a firm grip on the square K5, thus paralysing the Black centre.

$$
15 \ldots \quad \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}
$$

$15 \ldots$ Castles would be met by the sacrifice $16 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch which had proved successful in two previous games, Kottnauer v. Kotov, Groningen 1946, and Kottnauer v. Pachmann, Moscow 1947. After $16 \ldots \mathrm{~K} \times$ B; $17 \mathrm{Kt}-$ Kt5 ch, K-Kt3 (if $17 \ldots$ K-Kt1; 18 Q-R5 wins quickly); 18 Q-Kt4, P-B4; 19 Q-Kt3, Black can never escape with his King to a place of safety.

$$
16 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1
$$

Keeping up the pressure on K5.

$$
16 \ldots \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1
$$

This turns out badly. Rather better was $16 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$; $17 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ (not $17 \ldots$ Castles; $18 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ ); $18 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$, Castles, although White still has many attacking chances.

$$
17 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB1} \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 4
$$

Hoping to find relief in exchanges when White plays B-K5.

| 18 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $19 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| $20 \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $21 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{K} t$ | $\mathbf{K} t \times \mathbf{B}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{B} 3$ |

In this position Dr. Euwe says that he considered the following moves: $21 \ldots$ R-Kt1; $22 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R P}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch; $23 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$, and Black has no defence against $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch. 21 ... Castles; 22 Kt—Q7. 21 ... K-K2; 22 Q-R3 ch,
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$; 23 Q-KKt3, R-KB1; $24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt5} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$;
$25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ and wins; and $21 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1}$; 22 P $\mathrm{QK} t 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 23 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{RP}$, etc.

Position after White's 21st move
BLACK


WHITE

## 22 Q-KKt3

A brilliant move which is not only perfectly sound but is probably the only method of winning the game. If the Knight moves, Black would at last have the chance to Castle with comparative safety.
22 $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K} \mathrm{t}$

There is nothing better.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
23 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 7 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1} \\
24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7 & \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}
\end{array}
$$

The only way to prolong the game. If $24 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; 25 $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6 ; 26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 27 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ forces mate. After the Queen sacrifice the ending contains certain technical difficulties for White owing to the strength of Black's QP.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
25 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4 \\
26 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KP} & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6
\end{array}
$$

## KINGS OF CHESS

| $27 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $28 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |

If he gives up the QP there is no fight left in his game.
29 P-B3
$29 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 30 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; \quad 31 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch, $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ would also win.

| $29 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $30 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| $31 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |

If $32 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6 ; 38 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 7 ; 34 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}$, followed by $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ ch and wins.

| $33 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 4} \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 2$ |
| $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $36 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ | Resigns |

A splendid game by Botvinnik whose logical middlegame play and brilliant final attack are equally admirable.

White: V. Smyslov. Black: M. Botvinnik.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{t} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KK} 5$ |  |

The Richter Attack, the object of which is to prevent the King's fianchetto development by Black.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
6 \ldots & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3 \\
7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2 &
\end{array}
$$

This and the next move are rather inconsequential. The only method of making anything of the Richter is $7 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$,
followed by Castles QR, with a game full of peril for both sides.

| $7 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 Castles | Castles |
| 9 KKt-Kt5 |  |

With the object of breaking up Black's pawn position on the King's wing but the plan does not turn out well. As White has already Castled on the King's side the dislocation of the pawns and the opening of the KKt file prove rather favourable to Black than otherwise. $9 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, followed by QR-Q1, was better.

| 9 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |  |
| $11 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |  |
| $12 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R1}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KK} \mathrm{t} 1$ |  |
| $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |  |
| $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ |  |
| $15 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ |  |  |

Black was threatening $15 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ and $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$, but it is generally agreed that a better way of meeting this is $15 \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{B} 3)-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4 ; 16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$. The text strengthens Black's hold on the central squares.

$$
15 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} t
$$

In the Sicilian this is almost invariably better than recapturing with the Bishop.
$16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
17 P—B5

A normal attacking move in the Sicilian, but here it has the effect of increasing the range of Black's King's Bishop. 17 Q-Q3, Q-Kt3; 18 P-Kt3 keeps the position more solid.

| $17 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{QBP}$ |



Much better than $20 \ldots$ B-Kt4; 21 Q-B3. Both Black Bishops now begin to make their influence felt.

21 P—Kt3
The alternative is $21 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R P}$. Against this Black can, of course, play $21 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}$, but even stronger seems $21 \ldots$ R-QKt1; $22 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ (if $22 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt3}, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt4}$, followed by $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ is tremendously strong); $22 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 4$ (not $22 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ because of $23 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ ); $23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt4}$; $24 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{RP}$ with a threat of $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ and $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5$ or Q-Kt6.

21 ...
22 Q-B2

B-Kt4
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$

Opening another diagonal of which Black will be able to make far more use than White.
$23 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$
$24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$
QR-K1
R-K6

BLACK


25 B-Kt2
The only other plausible continuation is $25 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$. Then would follow $25 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $26 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ (if $26 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$,
$\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch; $27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ and wins, or $26 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5$, $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ and wins, or $26 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$; $27 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 28 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P} ; 29 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{KB} 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch} ; 30$ $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch, and wins); $26 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 27 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$, $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} 6) \times \mathrm{P}$; $28 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} t 6)-\mathrm{K} t 5 ; 29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1, \mathrm{R}-$ R5 ch; $30 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 1, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$; $31 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 4 \mathrm{ch}$; $32 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch} ; 33 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ mate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 25 \ldots \\
& 26 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 1
\end{aligned} \quad \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2
$$

If Knight goes elsewhere, $26 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ and wins, or if $26 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1 ; 27 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{ch} ; 28 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$, followed by $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ wins comfortably.

| $26 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |

Threatening $28 \ldots$ B-Kt5 and $29 \ldots$ R-K8. As Golombek remarks: "The power of the Bishops is almost awe-inspiring."

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
28 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1 \\
29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1 &
\end{array}
$$

Smyslov again and again finds the only possible defence. The endgame after $29 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$; $30 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{Q}$ is hopeless for White, who must lose his QKtP, after which Black's QBP will cost a piece.

| $29 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $30 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 3$ |  |

Here $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ is slightly better but the game cannot be saved.

| $30 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{K} 8$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 1} \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathrm{QBP}$ |

$31 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 32 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ would dissipate his advantage.
32 B-B1 $\quad \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$

$$
33 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}
$$

Flohr points out that $33 \ldots$ Q-K8 wins even more quickly.

| $34 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $35 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |
| $36 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6$ |
| $37 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| $38 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| $39 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ |
| $40 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| Resigns |  |

One of the many occasions in which Botvinnik has demonstrated the tremendous power of the pair of Bishops. Smyslov put up a stout resistance but for a long time was fighting a forlorn hope.

White: V. Smyslov. Black: S. Reshevsky.

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | Kt 4 QB 3 |
| $3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |
| $4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |

The Steinitz Defence Deferred which was fairly popular in this tournament. It is a sound method of defence but Black has to put up with a cramped game for some time.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 \\
6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4 & \mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{K} 2
\end{array}
$$

Steinitz's own move which aims at bringing the Knight to the defence of the KP and so avoiding, for as long as possible, the exchange of this pawn for White's QP. An alternative line is $6 \ldots \mathrm{P}$-KKt3; 7 Castles, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$, and if $8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 9 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 3$, as played in the twenty-second match game between Alekhine and Bogoljubov, 1929 (see p. 157).

## 7 B-Kt3

This was also played in the game between Dr. Euwe and Keres (p. 230). It threatens Kt-K.t5 and so compels Black's next.

| 7 | $\ldots$ | P-KR3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | QKt—Q2 | Kt-Kt3 |
| 9 | Kt-B4 |  |

White's plan is to bring this Knight to a dominant post at Q5.

| $9 \ldots$ | B-K2 |
| :---: | :--- |
| 10 Castles | Castles |
| $11 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

$11 \ldots$ Kt-B5 is met by 12 Kt -Q5, after which $12 \ldots$ $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ would cost Black a pawn.

$$
12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1
$$

Better is $12 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$, as played by Keres.

$$
13 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}
$$

Finely played. Obviously Black cannot recapture with the pawn because of $14 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B} \mathrm{ch}$, and $13 \ldots$ QKt $\times \mathrm{P}$ is met by ${ }_{14} \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ with a fine attacking position.

| $13 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $14 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| $15 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

Reshevsky is unable to tolerate any longer the objectionable Knight at Q5 and decides, very understandably, to exchange it. The alternative was $15 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$; $16 \mathrm{~B}-$ B2, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
16 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1 & \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt} \\
17 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} &
\end{array}
$$

Best. If $17 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5 ; 18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 4, \mathrm{QK}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 19$
$\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 5$, with a good counter-attack in return for the sacrificed pawn.

| $17 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |

If $18 \ldots \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1 ; 19 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} ; 20 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ (not 20 $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch}) ; 20 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 21 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ ch, etc.

| $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $20 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |

$20 \ldots$ QR-Q1 seems rather better.
21. P-Kt3

Intending $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$, opening lines against the Black King. Black's reply leaves him with a weak pawn but the exchange of even one Rook offers some relief to his cramped position.

| 21 | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| 23 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathbf{R} 1$ |
| 24 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K}+6$ |  |

An excellent move which prevents the Rook from supporting the QP.

$$
24 \ldots \quad \text { Kt-Kt1 }
$$

A typical Reshevsky idea. The object is to drive out the White pieces and safeguard the pawn by the manœuvre Kt-Q2, Kt-B3, and Kt-K1. Unfortunately the temporary shutting in of the Rook enables Smyslov to win a pawn by a pretty combination. There is no good move. If $24 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1} ; 25 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, Kt-Kt1; $27 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q1}$, and if $27 \ldots$ Kt-Q2; $28 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7$. If $24 \ldots$ R-QB1; $25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, Kt-Kt1; 26 Q-Q1, R-B3; 27 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 7$, Kt-Q2; $28 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 5$, or if $24 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$; 25 $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 26 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 27 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7$, winning a pawn in all cases.
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BLACK

$25 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$
26 Q-R4
A clever move which wins a pawn by force. After the forced exchange of Queens the QP is indefensible.
$26 \ldots$
$27 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{ch}$
$28 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Q}$
$29 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7$
$30 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$
$\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$
$\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$
$\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$

After $30 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$; $31 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$, the second KP is indefensible. With the text Black hopes to smash up White's Queen's side.

| 31 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 6$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{K} t \mathbf{P}$ |
| 33 | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |

Not $33 \ldots \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{P}$, because of $34 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ followed by $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{K} 7$.

34 R-K6
$\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{B}$
$35 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K t}$
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$
$36 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K} \mathrm{P}$
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$
$37 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 4$
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R P}$
38 K-Kt2
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$
39 P-R5
$40 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7$
P-R5
K-Kt1

The game was adjourned here, but Black could have resigned.

| $41 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $42 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $43 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8$ |
| $44 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 7$ |
| $45 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ |
| $46 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 8$ |
| $47 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch |
| $48 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| $49 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| $50 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | Resigns |

A very good game by Smyslov who took merciless advantage of one or two slight positional errors and then played the ending with meticulous skill.

White: Dr. M. Euwe. Black: P. Keres

| $1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | P-K4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | Kt-QB3 |
| $3 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |
| $4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | P-Q3 |
| $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | B-Q2 |
| $6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | KKt-K2 |
| $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt3}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| 8 QKt-Q2 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| $9 \mathrm{Kt-B4}$ | B-K2 |
| 10 Castles | Castles |
| $11 \mathrm{Kt-K3}$ | B-B3 |
| $12 \mathrm{Kt-Q5}$ |  |

So far identical with Smyslov v. Reshevsky (see p. 226 for notes on the opening). Keres' next move is superior to Reshevsky's $12 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$.

| $12 \ldots$ | $P \times P$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Better than $13 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$; $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$, $\mathrm{K} t-\mathrm{R} 5$, with advantage to Black.

$$
13 \ldots \quad \text { R-K1 }
$$

$14 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch
This gains the theoretical advantage of the pair of Bishops, but it greatly frees Black's rather congested position, and it is at least questionable whether White would not have done better to retain the Knight, at any rate in the meantime.

| 14 | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{K} t$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{B} 5$ |

Threatening $16 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 17 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} t, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{QP}$ ch; 18 $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, White's reply is almost forced for $16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ can be met by $16 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QR} 4 ; 17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2$, Kt-B5.

| $16 \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| $18 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 43$ |
| $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| $20 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |  |



White has still a very good position but is faced with the dilemma of whether to proceed with the attack on the King's wing or operate on the Queen's side. Golombek inclines to the latter view and suggests $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ to be followed by Q-B3 and KR-K1, while Bronstein, with whom I concur, thinks that the text move, if properly followed up, gives good chances. Incidentally, the latter points out that the violent attack $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ is neatly refuted by $20 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P} ; 21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 7$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 20 \ldots \\
& 21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2
$$

Unfortunately Euwe tries a bit of both the available strategic plans and meets with the usual fate of those who cannot make up their mind. The only logical move was $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$, and if $21 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $22 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{KtP}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B}$; $23 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ ch followed by $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$, after which the broken position of Black's King's wing gives White many chances.

21 ...
B-R5
Eliminating the pair of Bishops.
$22 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$
23 Q-B3
$\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 3$

There was probably more chance in the sacrifice $24 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 25 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt}$ (better than 26 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP} ; 27 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 28 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1, \mathrm{R}-$ $\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 29 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{RP}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 2) ; 26 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 27 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{RP}$, $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt2} ; 28 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ ch and White's three passed pawns give him fair prospects in the endgame.

The move played, of course, threatens immediate destruction by $25 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, but Black has an easy defence.

$$
24 \ldots \quad \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2
$$

Now Black's King's side is safe and he can commence operations against White's Queen's wing.
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25 P -QKt3
Q-Q2
$25 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{RP}$ loses the Queen by $26 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6 ; 27$
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$.

$$
26 \text { Q-B3 }
$$

Black was threatening to win a pawn by P-QB4. $26 \ldots$

P-QKt4
A fine move which gives Black opportunities for strong action on the Queen's wing. If White, for instance, plays $26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, then $26 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ and White's QRP is subject to attack while Black has, in addition, the opportunity of obtaining a passed pawn by $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB4}$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$.

$$
27 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3 \quad \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}
$$

BLACK


$$
28 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}
$$

In making this move Euwe obviously overlooked his opponent's brilliant reply which seems to give Black a winning advantage in all variations. It is not often that the tables are turned so completely by a single move.

| $28 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $29 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| $30 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{R P}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $31 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |

## KINGS OF CHESS

With the deadly threat of Kt-R5. If White replies 32 $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 6 ; 33 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$, Kt-R5; 34 K-R1, $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P} ; 35 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{B}$, gives Black a winning game. The move chosen by White gives Keres the opportunity for some truly artistic play.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
32 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 4 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6 \\
33 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1 &
\end{array}
$$

If $33 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 7$ wins the Bishop, or if $33 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2$, $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P} ; 34 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P} \mathbf{c h}, \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 35 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}$, and wins.
$33 \ldots \quad$ Kt-R5
Another forcible stroke. If he plays the preliminary $33 \ldots$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$, White might make some sort of defence by 34 $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3$, but now this move is met by $34 \ldots \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P} \mathbf{c h}$.

| $34 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $35 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 7$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

Yet another splendid move, the effect of which is to enable him to reach the seventh rank with his Rook.

| $36 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 6$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $37 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |

The last of a series of Rook moves of great beauty. 37 ... $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ could be answered by $38 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$.
38 Q-Q2
$\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}$

Winning a piece and the game. White could have resigned here.

$$
39 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3 \quad \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 6
$$

Black was desperately short of time here and overlooked the immediate win by $39 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$; $40 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \mathbf{c h}$. The remainder is in the nature of anti-climax.
$40 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$
$\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP}$
$41 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$
$\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$ ch
$42 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$
$\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5 \mathrm{ch}$
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| 43 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 44 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QB} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| 45 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| 46 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 4 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| 47 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 11$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |

Black is gradually preparing a mating attack with his Queen and Knight.

| 48 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{B} 6$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 49 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| $50 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |  |  |

Or 51 Q-B2, Kt-K7 ch; $52 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$, Kt-Kt6 ch, followed by $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{B} 5$ with play similar to the game.

| $50 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $51 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{K} 7$ |
| $52 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |
| $53 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}--\mathrm{K} t 6$ |
| $54 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| $55 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
|  | Resigns |

White here exceeded the time limit, but, against the only possible move, 56 Q-B4 (which Euwe was in the act of playing when the umpire drew his attention to the fact that he was over time), Black forces mate by $56 \ldots$ Kt-K6 ch; $57 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$.

A fine game by Keres who defended himself cleverly when things were difficult and pressed his counter-offensive with rare brilliancy. It is not seriously marred by the error on the 39 th move.

White: M. Botvinnik. Black: S. Reshevsky.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |
| $4 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |

## KINGS OF CHESS

In an earlier game in the same tournament Reshevsky played $4 \ldots$ P-Q4, and after 5 P-QR3, B-K2, secured a fairly even game. The line chosen in this game is one of the most complicated on the chess board.

| $5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ ch |
| :--- | :--- |
| $6 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | Castles |
| $8 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} \mathbf{t} 3$ |
| $9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |

The strategy of the two opponents is already clearly defined. White aims at a quick King's side attack by advancing his massive centre while Black's object is to hold up this attack, and ultimately obtain an advantage through the weakness of White's doubled pawns on the Queen's side. The text move is an important part of Black's plan. He must be ready to play $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ whenever White threatens to advance $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{KB5}$. It is not quite original, as Capablanca tried the same plan in a game against $\mathbf{P}$. Johner at Carlsbad in 1929.

$$
10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3
$$

In a subsequent game, Lilienthal $v$. Najdorf, Saltzjöbaden 1948, White played 10 Castles, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{KP}$; $12 \mathrm{P} \times$ KP, B—Kt2; $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $14 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ e.p., and obtained the better game through the power of his two Bishops. In this line, if Black played $10 \ldots$ B-R3 instead of $10 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, White could try an interesting pawn sacrifice by $11 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt3}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $12 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{P}$; $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$, with excellent attacking chances.

| 10 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | Castles | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{t} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |

Another very fine move which threatens to attack the

QBP for the third time by Q-R5 and (much more important) enables him to play $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$.

$$
14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4
$$

The normal attacking move in this variation, but against the defensive plan which Black has prepared, it proves ineffective. Better, as Golombek points out, is $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$, after which the pawn winning line $14 \ldots \mathrm{QP} \times \mathrm{P} ; 15$ $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{KP}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5$ is too dangerous on account of $16 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ (if $16 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt3}$; $17 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 6$, with a winning attack); $17 \mathbf{P} \times$ Pe.p., $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P} ; 18 \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R} 4, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}$; 19 Kt-K4. However Black defends himself White's Bishops will exercise more influence than in the game.
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WHITE
14 ...
P-B4
The key to the defence. Reshevsky shows that $14 \ldots$ Q-R5 would result in favour of White, i.e. $14 \ldots$ Q-R5; $15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ (if $15 \ldots \mathrm{KP} \times \mathrm{P}$; $16 \mathrm{KP} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 17$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ ) ; $16 \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{B}$ (if $16 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 17 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QK} t 5$, Q-Kt6; $18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $19 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, and Black's Queen is entangled, or $16 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{KP} ; 17 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} \mathbf{c h}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{R}$; $18 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} t 1$; $19 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$, Kt-B3; $20 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$; $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ and wins); $17 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, with much the better game.

## $15 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 1$

This proves to be loss of time. Better was $15 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ or $15 \mathrm{KP} \times \mathrm{P} .15 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ is met by $15 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$, and if then $16 \mathrm{QP} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ wins the QBP in safety.

| $15 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $16 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB2}$ |

It is still unsafe to play for the immediate attack on the QBP. If $16 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R5} ; 17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 18 \mathrm{QP} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$ (if $18 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 7, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$; $20 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$; 21 Q-B3, with a strong attack); $19 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ (if $19 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 20 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ ); $20 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$, and the Black King is terribly exposed.

$$
17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5
$$

$17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ holds out more possibilities although Black is quite safe after $17 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt2}$.


Hoping for the reply $18 \ldots \mathrm{BP} \times \mathbf{P}$; $19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $20 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} \times \mathrm{P}$; $21 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5$; or if, in this $19 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$; $20 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{KKt} \times \mathrm{P} ; 21 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$, etc.

| $18 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{QP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $19 \mathrm{QP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ |

Now Black is perfectly safe on the King's wing and has only to counter White's pressure on the Queen's file and attack the weak pawns. A win, however, is still far off.

| $20 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB} 2$ |  |

Intending $22 \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{R 4}$, which Black promptly prevents.
21 ...
22 B-Kt3
$23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 3$
Kt-R4
Q-K1.
Q-R5
24 Q-R2

A sad necessity, but he cannot afford to give up pawns on the Queen's side.

| $24 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{B}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K} t$ | $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{R} 4$ |

To hold back the KKtP which, even now, might provide White with an attack.

| $26 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ |
| $28 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| $29 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |  |

Black was threatening $29 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 7$, followed by $30 \ldots$ Kt-K5 ch.

| $29 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $30 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
| $31 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |  |

White has a choice of unattractive moves and can only wait for his opponent's plans to develop.

| $31 \ldots$ | R-B2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $32 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |  |

A good try. If Black answers $32 \ldots \mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{P}$; $33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KR1}$, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB1;} \mathrm{34} \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 6$, or $32 \ldots \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$; $33 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{P}$; $34 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{tch}$; $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$, and White is completely out of his troubles.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
32 \ldots & \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{~B} 2)-\mathrm{Q} 2 \\
33 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{BP} & \mathrm{KtP} \times \mathrm{P} \\
34 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{~K} 1)-\mathrm{Q} 1 &
\end{array}
$$

After this White is lost, being soon reduced to complete immobility. The only chance lay in $31 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q} 2)$ - Q1, and if then $31 \ldots$ Kt-Kt6, as suggested by Golombek, 32 R KR1, K-B2; $33 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2$ (not $33 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} ; 34 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$, Kt-B8 ch) ; $33 \ldots \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ (what else?); $34 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$; $35 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{K} t-\mathrm{R} 4$; $36 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$; $37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ appears to draw. Black, of course, could play $31 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$,
instead of 31 ... Kt-Kt6, but after 32 P-Kt3, Black's win, if possible, will be very difficult.

BLACK


WHITE
34
P—R5
The final stroke. White is in complete "zugzwang." If either Rook moves, $35 \mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P}$ is decisive.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{I} & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 6 \\
36 \mathrm{Kt} \text { Q5 ch } &
\end{array}
$$

This desperate sacrifice is as good as anything else. If $36 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2, \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{R}$; $37 \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KK} \mathrm{t} 1$ wins simply.

| $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{K} t$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{K} \mathrm{t}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |

Simplest. If the Rook moves $39 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ still leaves White with remote chances.

| $39 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $40 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $41 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |
| $\quad$ Resigns |  |

A very fine effort by Reshevsky, of great importance to the theory of the openings. Reshevsky is the great master of cramped yet essentially sound systems of defence.

White: Dr. M. Euwe. Black: V. Smyslov.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK} 3$ |
| $3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |  |
| $4 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |  |

Invariably played in this tournament. It is, of course, sound and good, but $4 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{P} ; 5 \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ is, at the moment, considered stronger. See Second Match game, Bronstein v. Botvinnik (p. 248).

| $4 \ldots$ | $\mathbf{B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $5 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| $6 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | Castles |
| $7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$ |

Smyslov's speciality. The object is to retire the King's Knight to Q2, followed by bringing the piece to QKt3, where it exercises pressure on the white squares on the Queen's side. It seems rather artificial but made quite good results in the tournament under review. The alternative is $7 \ldots$ P-B3.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3 & \mathrm{KK} t-\mathrm{Q} 2 \\
9 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3 &
\end{array}
$$

Although this attack is successful in the present instance it is doubtful whether it is as good as $9 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$, Kt-Kt3; 10 Q-Q3, P-QB3; $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 12 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$, with a fine position in the centre (Botvinnik $v$. Smyslov), or 9 B-K2, Kt-Kt3; 10 Q-Q3, B $\times \mathrm{Kt}$; $11 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$; 12 P-KR4, followed by Castles QR as played by Kotov against Smyslov, Parnu 1947.

| $9 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ |

He must prevent the further advance of the RP. $10 \ldots$ $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{K} t$; $11 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P}$, loses a piece after $12 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$; $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$, KKt-Q2; $14 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$.

## kings of chess

$$
11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5 \quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 3
$$

Better is $11 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $12 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$, followed by Q-Kt5 as played by Smyslov in a later game against the same opponent.

| $12 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |
| $14 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $15 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5$ |

After this curious sally Black has a bad game. Better was $15 \ldots$ Q-Q3, aiming at Q-Kt5.

16 Kt -K4
A very strong reply which cuts the Black Queen off from the Queen's side and threatens to win her by 17 B -Kt5.

| 16 | $\ldots$ | QR-K1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q1}$ |

An inglorious retreat. $17 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ is met by $18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$, Q-K4 (if $18 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3 ; 19 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 20 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ ); 19 Castles KR, $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{K t P} ; 20 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathbf{Q} ; 21 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Kt1}$, with advantage to White for $21 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathbf{P}$ is answered by $22 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R}$; $23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2 ; 24 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R} \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 25 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, etc.

$$
18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6 \quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1
$$

$18 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$-Kt5 would have been rather better though White still has a big advantage in space.

| $19 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20 Castles | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |

And here $20 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ guarding the QKtP was preferable.
21 QR-B1 Q-K4
$21 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$ or $21 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ are met by $22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 5$, winning at least the QKtP.
$22 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$

## MTKHAIL BOTVINNIK

Finely played. Black cannot reply $22 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ because of the brilliancy $23 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 24 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ ch, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 1$; 25 B-R6 and wins.

| 22 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 23 | Kt -Kt5 5 |$\quad \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$

White now wins the exchange by force.

| 23 | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{R}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| 25 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ |

$25 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ would avoid further loss but the game is hopeless.
$26 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{K} 2) \quad \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 3$


27 Q-K3
Good enough to win but it is a pity that Dr. Euwe missed the brilliant continuation $27 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 28 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}$, B-Q1 (if $28 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 29 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{R}$; $30 \mathrm{Kt}-$ K6 ch, or $28 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$; $29 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 6 \mathrm{ch}) ; 29 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B} \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $30 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 6, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$; $31 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$; 32 $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} ; 33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$, and Black must resign.

| $27 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $28 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ |


| $29 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $30 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| $31 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |

If $31 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1} ; 32 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 2, \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{P} ; 33 \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$; 34 B-Q5 ch.

| 32 R -Q5 | B $\times$ P ch |
| :---: | :---: |
| $33 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ | Kt-R3 |
| $34 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ | B-B5 |
| $35 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7$ | Kt-Kt5 |
| $36 \mathrm{R} \times$ QRP | K-Kt2 |
| $37 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}$ t5 | B-Q7 |
| $38 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B7} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | K-B3 |
| $39 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$ | B-K8 |
| $40 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt6} \mathrm{ch}$ | K-Kt4 |
| $41 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ ch | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |

If $41 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 3 ; 42 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5)-\mathrm{Kt} 7, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KR1} ; 43 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 5$, and Black will have to give up a piece for the RP.
$42 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ ch Resigns
Euwe found his true form in this game and took energetic advantage of his opponent's slight positional errors.

## The Match with Bronstein

D. Bronstein

David Bronstein, Botvinnik's first challenger under the F.I.D.E. system of qualifying tournaments, certainly earned his right the hard way. First of all he had to qualify for the Inter-zonal Tournament, which he did by securing 3rd place in the U.S.S.R. Championship. Then in the tournament itself, which included all the best players of the world with the exception of those who had taken part in the World Championship Tournament, he won 1st prize without losing a game. Next he tied with Boleslavsky in the Candidates' Tournament, and finally secured the coveted honour by winning against the latter
by three games to two with nine draws in a magnificentlyfought match.

Bronstein is only twenty-eight, the youngest of all the present-day Grand Masters. He received his chess education at the House of Young Pioneers in Kiev where he came under the tuition of the famous master, Konstantinopolsky, later to be his second in the Championship match. His record is naturally a short one but is none the less very impressive. In his first appearance in the Soviet Championship he finished in the lower half of the table, but showed his prowess by beating Botvinnik in their individual game. In 1946 he won the Moscow Championship ahead of such famous players as Bondarevsky, Kotov, Lilienthal, and Smyslov, and followed this up by taking 3rd prize in the All-Union Championship. In the next two Championships he did even better, finishing equal 1st with Kotov and Smyslov respectively. Owing to the pressure of the international programme these ties were never played off.

Apart from the two Championship Qualifying Tournaments he has never taken part in congresses outside the Soviet Union, but he visited London with the Soviet touring team and earned golden opinions by his genial manners and his keen sense of humour. No one laughed more than he when he was excluded from the match room by an official who mistook him for an intrusive spectator.

In his approach to the game Bronstein exemplifies the experimental attitude of the new Soviet school of chess masters. This is particularly evident in his treatment of the openings, where he is the complete chess iconoclast. He has revived the long obsolete King's Gambit with great success and is constantly experimenting with other forgotten and discredited lines. One of his greatest assets is his enormous courage. He is never afraid to take prodigious risks when the situation demands such a course.

His desperate tie match with Boleslavsky was a case in
point. It had been arranged that it should consist of twelve games but when these had been completed the scores were equal. F.I.D.E. then ruled that the match should continue until a win was scored. The thirteenth game was drawn but then Bronstein, with that flash of psychological genius which is so reminiscent of Lasker, played a move in the French Defence which has been condemned by all the analysts. Boleslavsky, taken by surprise, failed to find the right reply and Bronstein emerged triumphant.

His style in general is hard to define as it does not yet appear to be fully developed. His motto seems to be to complicate at all costs, and in such tactical complexities he has probably no living equal. Even in the most hopelesslooking positions he is always apt to turn the tables by a surprise blow which transforms an apparently dead position into one full of life and fire. In the present match even so great a strategist as Botvinnik occasionally found himself taken by surprise when he seemed to be on the brink of an easy victory. This dynamic quality of Bronstein's chess, useful though it is, does contain its own weakness. Once in a way he fails to realize that the conditions of a position render tactical combinations an impossibility and in such cases he loses games by seeking for counter-attacks when dour defensive play would probably secure a draw.

As a strategist he is, not unnaturally, still inferior to Botvinnik and although he is a very fine player of endgames, the more rigorous critics insist that there is still room for improvement in that department of his game. The Botvinnik match, which we can be sure he will review in the spirit of self-criticism so much encouraged in the Soviet Union, should be of inestimable benefit to him, and there can be little doubt that he is destined, at no distant date, to win the crown which, at his first attempt, so narrowly eluded him.

## The Match

This encounter was unique in the history of World Championship matches in many ways. It was the first to be played under the new system by which the International Federation selects the challenger and, apart from the short match between Lasker and Schlechter, it is the only one to end in a tie. The games, too, were of a character never before seen in a world championship. Usually the openings used are confined to a few of the most orthodox variations; here the first six games all opened differently and some of the variations were completely new. These led to a type of game of a very different character from the careful positional struggles customarily seen in world championships. From start to finish both players scorned simplification and seemed determined to avoid draws if they could possibly help it. In such circumstances, of course, there were inevitably mistakes but, taken all in all, the games are superb examples of all that is best in modern chess.

From start to finish it was a ding-dong struggle with never more than a point between the two antagonists. With two games to go Bronstein led by five to four, but in the twenty-third game Botvinnik made a supreme effort and outplayed his young opponent in the ending. In the last game Bronstein staked all on a sacrificial attack in the opening but failed to drive it home against the champion's deadly accurate defence, and with a pawn down and no attack had to agree to a draw.

The match was played at Moscow in the spring of 1951, in the presence of a large crowd of international celebrities as well as thousands of local enthusiasts.

The final score was: Botvinnik 5; Bronstein 5; Drawn 14. The notes are based on those in The World Chess Championship, 1951, by W. Winter and R. G. Wade.

## Second Match Game

White: D. Bronstein. Black: M. Botvinnik.
$1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
2 P-QB4
$3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$
4) $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$
$5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$
$6 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$
7 B-QB4
8 Kt -K2
9 Castles

Kt-KB3
P—KKt3
P—Q4
$\mathbf{K} t \times \mathbf{P}$
$\mathbf{K} \mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{K} \mathbf{t}$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$
B-Kt2
Castles
Kt-Q2

Boleslavsky, in his match against Bronstein in 1950, played here $9 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, Kt-B3; $11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} t 5$; $12 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$; $13 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$; intending to occupy QB5, but after $14 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ White obtained a tremendous attack in return for the sacrifice of the exchange. The move played is not altogether satisfactory either.

$$
10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KK} \mathrm{t} 5
$$

Well played. The irritating threat to his KP almost compels Black to weaken his King's side by P-KR3.

| $10 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $12 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| $13 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |
| $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt} 4$ |

White is planning to take advantage of his opponent's King's side weakness by $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{K} 5, \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{K R 4}$, and $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{R 5}$.

$$
15 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4
$$

This move thwarts the intended attack but allows White a powerful post for his Knight at Q5. Rather better seems
$15 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 2$, and if $16 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$, Kt-Kt3; or if $16 \mathrm{P}-$ KR4, P—K4; 17 Kt-Q5, B $\times$ Kt.

| $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $17 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $18 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| $19 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |

After $19 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 20 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$, White has far too many threats for effective defence. Black is therefore forced to allow his opponent a strong passed pawn.

$$
20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5
$$

Stronger is $20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 6$. The Rook has no good square and if $20 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $21 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ (or $21 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KKt1}$; $22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ with an overwhelming position); $22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$; $23 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$, followed by $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt6}$, winning the exchange.

| $20 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $22 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| $23 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ |
| $24 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ | $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| $25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QK} \mathrm{t} 1$ |
| $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q} 2)-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| $27 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5$ |

In this pawn lies Black's only chance of successful resistance.

| $28 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 6$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $29 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $30 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QB} 1$ |  |

If $30 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KP}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt2} ; 31 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 32 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$ (or $32 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt6}$, with counter chances); $32 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 6$; $33 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 7, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q1}$, and Black has a lot of fight left.

$$
30 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 6
$$

| $31 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 4$ |
| $33 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R4}$ |  |

$33 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{B} 1)-\mathrm{B} 3$ at once would not do because of $33 \ldots$ B-B1 winning the QBP, but the text creates a weakness which, later on, allows Black to save himself by an ingenious combination. The best move was $\mathbf{3 3} \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{R} 3$, followed by $K-\mathbf{R} 2$ and $\mathbf{R}(\mathrm{B} 1)-\mathrm{B} 3$ as in the game, after which Black's passed pawn seems doomed to destruction.

| 33 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Kt} 1)-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $34 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |  |
| 35 | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{B} 1)-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 36 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ |

BLACK


A brilliant move, obviously prepared some moves in advance, which changes the aspect of the game. If White wishes to play for a win he must accept the sacrifice as $\mathbf{3 7} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}$ is met by $\mathbf{3 7} \ldots \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$; $38 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; 39 $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{R} 5 ; 40 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch and draws by perpetual check. The weakness of White's 33rd move is now clearly seen.

$$
37 \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{R} \quad \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{K} t
$$

38 R-Q6
$\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{K P}$
39 B-B2

Not $39 \mathbf{R} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R P}$ ch, and mates in two.

| $39 \ldots$ | Q-B5 ch |
| :--- | :--- |
| $40 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt3}$ | Q-B4 |
| $41 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |

The correct move. If $41 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7$; $42 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$; $43 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5 ; 44 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4 ; 45 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} t 6, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$; $46 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 7$; $47 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 7$ and wins, or if $4 \mathrm{I} \ldots$ $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5 ; 42 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 43 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6 ; 44 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt7} ; 45 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{QKtP}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{R} ; 46 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ and wins.

42 P-B6
P-Kt4
$42 \ldots$ P-K5 would be a mistake on account of $43 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7$, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{R}$; $44 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ and wins.
$43 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7$
Not $43 \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$; and the combination in the last note is not possible because of Black's check at KR4.
$43 \ldots \quad$ R-QB5
The saving clause. $43 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ would again be wrong on account of $44 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 7, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{R} ; 45 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B7}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 46 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5$, etc.

| $44 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $45 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{KB} 2$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $46 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{P}$ |

An attempt to win by $46 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ fails against 47 $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B}$ ch; $48 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R} ; 49 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ ch, etc.
$47 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$
$\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$
$48 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{R}$
$\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{R}$
49 Q-B5 ch

Drawn
A game extremely rich in combinative points.

## KINGS OF CHESS

Eighth Match Game
White: D. Bronstein. Black: M. Botvinnik.
$1 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
$2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$
3 Kt-QB3
4 Kt-B3
$5 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$
$6 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 3$
Kt-B3
P—K3
QKt-Q2
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$

The Meran Defence, once all the rage, has now gone out of fashion for no apparent reason. It is one of the most interesting and difficult methods of play against the Queen's pawn and gives plenty of chances to both players.

| $7 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{BP}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $8 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |
| $9 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| $10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 11 $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} \times \mathrm{KP}$ |

This, the oldest form of the variation, is also the best. $11 . . . \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $12 \mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 3$ has been tried but turned out unsatisfactorily in two games won by Kottnauer (White) against Kotov and Pachmann, and also in the World Championship game, Botvinnik v. Euwe (see p. 218).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
12 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt} & \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} t \\
13 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3 &
\end{array}
$$

This is now considered White's strongest continuation. After $13 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 ; 14 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch (if $14 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B}$, Q$\mathrm{R} 4 \mathrm{ch} ; 15 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}) ; 14 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B} ; 15 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$, Black's strong centre is fully equivalent to White's Queen's wing which takes a long time to get into motion.

| $13 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ ch |
| :--- | :--- |
| $14 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QKt1}$ |

BLACK


WHITE
$15 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6$
$15 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ best; $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ (if $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6$, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 17 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$, Castles, with a strong attack); $16 \ldots$ $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 17 \mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ is recommended by the theorists but only leads to equality.

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
15 \ldots & \text { B-Kt2 } \\
16 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB} 4 &
\end{array}
$$

Inferior is $16 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt3} ; 17 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R}$ dis. $\mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch; 18 Q-Q3, Q-K4 ch.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
16 \ldots & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3 \\
17 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Q} \text { ch } \\
18 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Kt}
\end{array}
$$

Not $18 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ because of $19 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6$.

$$
19 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P} \text { ch } \quad \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 2
$$

A typical position in this defence which always leads to interesting and critical play. The exchange of Queens has increased the effectiveness of White's passed pawns but Black's strong centre is still able to hold the balance.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
20 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2 & \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{QK} t 1 \\
21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4 & \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4
\end{array}
$$

Threatening to win a pawn by $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 2$.

## KINGS OF CHESS

22 P-QKt3
$\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$
23 KR-QB1
P-K4
24. K-K2

Best. If ${ }_{24} \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6$, threatening $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ mate.

| 24 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $25 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 6$ | KR-B1 |
| 26 QR-B1 | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $27 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | R-Kt3 |
| $28 \mathrm{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| $29 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | $\mathbf{K t - Q 4}$ |
| $30 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6$ |  |

A rather more complicated line is $30 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}$. If Black then plays $30 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$; $31 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 6$ will win a piece, but he can draw by $\mathbf{3 0} \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$; $31 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{K t}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$; 32 P-R6, B-Kt1.

| 30 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |
| 32 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 6$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 33 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 7$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathbf{R} 3$ |
| 34 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathbf{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |

A well-timed advance which ensures the draw.

| 35 | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3 6} \times \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |  |

 $37 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B5}$; $38 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 7, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ (not $\mathbf{3 8} \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B5}$; $39 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ and wins); $39 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch (if $39 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6$; $40 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ and wins); $40 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{P}$; $41 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3, \mathrm{~K}-$ Kt4 with a clear draw.

| 36 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 37 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 8$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| 38 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 7$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ |

$40 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$
$\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$

41 P -Kt3
Drawn
A very fine game in which it is almost impossible to suggest any improvement on the lines of play actually chosen by the two contenders.

## Eighteenth Match Game

White: D. Bronstein. Black: M. Botvinnik.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB3}$ |
| $3 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |
| $4 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B3}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |  |
| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ |

5 ... QKt-Q2; 6 B-Q3, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ leads to the Meran Defence as played in the eighth game. The text is safe enough but rather cramping.

6 B-Q3
$6 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ is also good. After $6 \ldots$ QKt-Q2; $7 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}$, P-QR4; 8 P-Kt5, P-K4, Black has liberated his position in the centre but White has many chances on the Queen's wing. The text would lead into a Queen's Gambit Accepted if Black played $6 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$.

| 6 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 3$ | $\mathrm{QKt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| 8 Castles | B-Kt2 |  |
| 9 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | B-K2 |

$9 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ would not be good because of $10 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, Kt-Kt5; $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 6, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$.
$10 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$
$11 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$
$12 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$

P-QR4
Castles
P-Kt3

## KINGS OF CHESS

| $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $14 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $15 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |  |

Hoping for an effective advance of P-K4. Black was threatening to reduce White's chances of success to a minimum by $15 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} ; 16 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{RI}$.

| $15 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB1}$ |

$17 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$
Not $17 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ because of $17 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $18 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, Kt-Kt5.

| $17 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |

$18 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $19 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, Kt-Q2; $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$; $21 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{P}$; $22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 4$, or if $21 \ldots \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$; 22 P K4, seems rather in favour of White.

$$
19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3
$$

Intending $20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$, an idea which Black nips in the bud.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
19 \ldots & \text { KKt-Q2 } \\
20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4 &
\end{array}
$$

If $20 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $21 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $22 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$, Black has an excellent game. White therefore decides to abandon the idea of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ and close up the centre.

| $20 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |

Black, in his turn, is trying to open the centre by $\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$. If he plays the move at once the Soviet analysts give the following fine continuation: $21 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$; $22 \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $23 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5$; and if $23 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5 ; 24 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ (if $24 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 25 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt3} \mathrm{ch}$ with a mating attack); $25 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt} ; 26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3 \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} \mathbf{1} ; 27$ $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$ with a splendid position.

$$
22 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3 \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4
$$

Closing the centre in his turn. $22 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4 ; 23 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ is very good for White. Play now switches to the Queen's wing.

| $23 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$ | $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} 2)-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| $25 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |
| $26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 1$ |  |



26
Q-B1
This enables White to make a fine sacrifice of a piece for two pawns which gives him many winning chances. Had Black played $26 \ldots$ Q-Kt1, the sacrifice would not have been so good, i.e. $26 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt1}$; $27 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{KtP}$, $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $28 \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 29 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{KtP}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{QR} 3$, and White's pawns are blockaded.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
27 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{KtP} & \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{Kt} \\
28 \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{Kt} & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KR} 3
\end{array}
$$

$28 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{B} ; 29 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Kt} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ is not possible now because of $30 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{K t 1}$; $31 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt5}$. The text move is much better than $28 \ldots \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$ as will be seen from the next note.

$$
29 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB} 1 \quad \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}
$$

## KINGS OF CHESS

| $30 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{K} t \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $31 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ | $\mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$ |
| $32 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{R}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |
| $33 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |

If $33 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 34 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5$, $\mathrm{K} t-\mathrm{Kt1}$, Black will always be able to maintain a blockade of the Queen's side pawns, or give up a piece for two pawns, with a clear draw in either case. White's only winning chance lies in 35 Kt $\mathrm{B} 8, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$; $36 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 7$, which is met by $36 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt4}$, and if 37 P-B6, B-Q1. With White's Bishop on QKt2 and Black's on KKt2 this variation would win for White, a striking example of the far-sightedness of Black's 28th move.

| $33 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{KB1}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt5}$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} \mathrm{t}$ |

It was absolutely necessary to rid himself of the dominant Knight, even at the cost of allowing White another passed pawn.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
35 \mathrm{KP} \times \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \\
36 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 2 &
\end{array}
$$

Here $36 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ is better. After $36 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KtP}$; $37 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$, $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P} ; 38 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5$, it is very difficult to find a saving line for Black.

| $36 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |

Intending to advance his King's side, but the move creates a weakness which allows White to obtain fresh winning chances by a brilliant stroke. The best move seems to be $37 \ldots$ Kt-B3, followed by Kt-K5.

$$
38 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4
$$

Very finely played. If Black replies $38 \ldots$ BP $\times \mathbf{P}$; 39 $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P}$, threatening $\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{B} 2$ ch leaves his King badly exposed, and after $38 \ldots \mathrm{QP} \times \mathrm{P} ; 39 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, threatens the deadly
$\mathbf{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$. Possible continuations then are $39 \ldots$ B-Q4; $40 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $4 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ and wins, or $39 \ldots$ Kt-B3; $40 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$; $41 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 7, \mathrm{~K} t \times \mathrm{P}$ (if $41 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathbf{R} 4$ or $41 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 1$; $42 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$ wins easily); $42 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 7 \mathrm{ch}$, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 1 ; 43 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ and wins. $38 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$
The only reply. If White now plays $39 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}$; $40 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$, holds the position together.

| $39 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 5$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $40 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{t} 2$ |

If $40 \ldots \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$; $41 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$ (if $41 \ldots \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$; 42 $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; $43 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$, followed by $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ and wins); $42 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$; $43 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 7$ and wins.

41 Q-Q3
A possibility suggested by some analysts is $41 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 6$, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{P} ; 42 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{BP} ; 43 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 44 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 4 \mathrm{ch}$, followed by $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{B P}$ with possibilities of winning either the KP or KRP. This may be stronger than the text move but a win for White is by no means clear.

| 41 | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t \mathrm{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| 43 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |  |

BLACK

white

Simplest and best. White's last move represents an ingenious attempt to win by sacrificing a second piece in order to shatter Black's pawn position. The idea is $43 \ldots$ $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B} ; 44 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 45 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KP}$, etc., but Black seems able to draw by playing after $44 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, 44 \ldots \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 6$; $45 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Ktl} ; 46 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Pch}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt2} ; 47 \mathrm{Q}-$ R6 ch, K-Kt1; 48 Q-R4, K-Kt2, and if 49 Q-Kt4, B-B1. Nevertheless, the position is dangerous and Black is wise to choose a simpler path.

| $44 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $45 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |
| $46 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 6 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |
| $47 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |  |

If $47 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt6} \mathrm{ch} ; 48 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{ch} ; 49 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$, $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 1 ; 50 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ and White cannot win.
47 ...
K-R1

If $47 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 3 ; 48 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$; $49 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}$ ch, White will be able to win one of the Black pieces and at the same time evade the perpetual check.
48 Q-B6 ch
$\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2$
$49 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$

A last unavailing effort to win. $49 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{KtP}$ is met by $49 \ldots$ Q-Kt6 ch as in previous note.

| $49 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $50 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |
| $51 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ |
| $52 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |
| $53 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ |
| $54 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |
| $55 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |
| $56 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{R} 1$ |
| $57 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ |  |

White submits to the inevitable. If $57 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{R} 2 \mathrm{ch}$ will draw easily.

\[

\]

This is the finest game of the match and one of the most exciting ever seen in a world championship contest.

## Twenty-first Match Game

White: M. Botvinnik. Black: D. Bronstein.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |

White gains no advantage from $4 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 5 \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q} \mathrm{ch}$.

| 4 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{QKt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt3}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt3}$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2} 2$ |
| 7 | Castles | Castles |
| 8 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KR} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |

Formerly Bronstein was fond of $9 \ldots \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $10 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{P}$, Kt-Kt3; $11 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt3}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$, but the complications after $12 \mathrm{KP} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 5$ tend in favour of White. There is much to be said for the text move which maintains the centre and prepares for $\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{K B 4}$ should White move his Queen off the diagonal Q1-KR5.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
10 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3 & \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 2 \\
11 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 2 &
\end{array}
$$

If $11 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$ would be good. The text threatens $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt4}$ and $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathbf{R} 6 \mathrm{ch}$, but Black has a simple reply and the Knight at $\mathbf{R} 2$ remains out of play for some time. $11 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 1$ was probably best.

## KINGS OF CHESS

$11 \ldots \quad K-R 1$
12 R-K1
$12 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ can be answered by $12 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KB} 4$.
$12 \ldots \quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$
Preparing to advance on the Queen's wing.

$$
13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3
$$

This move is the cause of much subsequent trouble. It is only explainable on the assumption that when he made it, White intended to continue with $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}$. As he afterwards abandons this idea the only result is to create a weakness on QKt3 of which Black takes admirable advantage later on. Quite good would have been $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR4}$, holding up Black's Queen's side advance for the time. Konstantinopolsky suggests $13 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} \mathrm{t} 3$.

| $13 \ldots$ | QR-Kt1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $14 . \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{KB} 1$ | $\mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $15 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 4$ |
| $16 \mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $17 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 3$ |

BLACK


WHITE
18 B-R6
This does not turn out well. White has no chances of
attack on the King's wing and, in the present position, his Bishop is more active than his opponent's. The natural line is $18 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 19 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QKt4}$, $\mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ (if $19 \ldots$ KKt-Q2; $20 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ ) ; $20 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$.

| $18 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $19 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| $20 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |  |

If $20 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$; $21 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} t 5$, then $21 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 66$ is quite satisfactory.

| $20 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 6$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{KKt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |
| $22 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |

Black has now secured a clear advantage on the Queen's wing. He dominates the squares QB5 and QKt6 and can force the advance of P-Kt5, bringing his battery of Rooks into action as soon as he is ready. White, in the meantime, can only await events.

| $23 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $24 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 6$ |
| $25 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | Kt 55 |
| $26 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |

Not $26 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Q} 2)$-Kt3 because of $27 \mathrm{Kt-Q5}$. Black's manœuvres with his Knights are very clever.

| 27 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ |  |

$28 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 29 \mathbf{Q}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ would lose a pawn after $29 \ldots$ $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q} ; 30 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{Q}, \mathbf{K t}(\mathbf{K t} \mathbf{3})-\mathrm{B} 5$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
28 \ldots & \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Kt} 3)-\mathrm{B} 5 \\
29 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Q} 2)-\mathrm{K} 2 & \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 5
\end{array}
$$

The decisive advance. Black now completes the conquest of the Queen's side.

$$
30 \mathbf{R P} \times \mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P}
$$

```
31 P-R4
Kt-Kt3
32 Kt-QR2
```

This results in the speedy loss of the QKtP, but there seems to be no good continuation.

| 32 | B $\times$ K t |
| :---: | :---: |
| $33 \mathrm{Q} \times$ B | $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Kt3})-\mathrm{B} 5$ |
| $34 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$ | KR-QKt1 |
| $35 \mathrm{RP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathbf{R P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| 36 Q-Kt1 |  |

If he protects the QKtP further by $\mathbf{3 6} \mathbf{R}-\mathrm{Kt1}$, then $36 \ldots$ Q-Kt2, threatening R-R1 and Kt-Kt6.

| 36 | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 37 | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 38 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| 39 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 5$ |
| $40 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 5$ |  |
| 41 | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 5$ |  |

The exchange of Queens seems to offer the best chance, although a very slight one. If $41 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{B} 5)-\mathrm{Kt5}$, followed by Kt-Kt6, Kt-Q5, and an advance of the QBP will soon prove decisive.

| 41 | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | $\mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Kt} 6$ |
| 43 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 5$ |
| 44 | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Kt} 7$ |

Thwarting any hopes of counter-attack by $45 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{K} 1)-\mathrm{R} 1$ or $45 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ followed by $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KR} 2$.
$45 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$
$\mathbf{K} \mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{R}$

46 K-R2
$46 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 1$ leads to a lost ending after $46 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8 \mathrm{ch}$; $47 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{ch}$; $48 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R}$.

$$
46 \ldots
$$

Kt-Q6

MIKHAIL BOTVINNIK
$47 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 3$
R-B6
48 B-B1
R-B7 ch
49 K-R3

If $49 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt1}$, there is no answer to $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 8$.

| $49 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $50 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 8$ |
| 51 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |

Good enough, but Konstantinopolsky points out that 52 R-QR8 threatening R-R1 and R-R1 ch is even more decisive.

| $53 \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $54 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

BLACK

$55 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$
Of course not $55 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7$ on account of $55 \ldots \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$ ch; $56 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 6$ mate.

| $55 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $56 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} t 5$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ |

$56 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}$-K3 would win a piece, but the game is over now.
57 Kt-B7 ch
K -K2

58 K—Kt5

## KINGS OF CHESS

If 58 Kt -Kt5 no doubt Bronstein would have played $58 \ldots$ Kt-K3.

| $58 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{K} t$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $59 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
| $60 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 7 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |
| $61 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $62 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{KB} 8$ |
| $63 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{~K} t \times \mathbf{B}$ |
| $64 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{K} t$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 1$ |
|  | Resigns |

A very fine example of a Queen's side attack with some neat technical points in the concluding stages.

## Twenty-third Match Game

White: M. Botvinnik. Black: D. Bronstein.

| 1 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{KB} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QB} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK} \mathrm{t} 3$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK} \mathrm{t} 3$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

In the nineteenth game Bronstein played $3 \ldots$ B-Kt2; $4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q4}$, which leads to sharper play than the line in the text.

| $4 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $5 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| $6 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt2}$ |
| $7 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$ |

This is apparently best. After $7 \ldots$ Castles; 8 Kt-B4, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$, Black's game is very cramped.

| 8 | $\mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt} 2$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 3$ | Castles |
| 11 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |
| 12 | Castles | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ |


| $13 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $14 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{QB} 3$ | $\mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ |

A good move which threatens to force the exchange of one of White's Bishops by B-KB1 and Kt5, as it would be bad for Black to advance $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 3$ on account of the weakening of the white squares QKt3 and QB4.

$$
15 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4
$$

To meet $15 \ldots \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$, by $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$.


Kt-B3
16 Q-Kt3
Best. Black is again threatening to force off one of the Bishops by $16 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$, and $16 \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ is met by $16 \ldots$ Kt-K5; 17 B-K1, P-K4, with a good game for Black.

| $16 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $17 \mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ | $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |  |
| 18 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 1$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ |
| 19 | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |

If $19 \ldots \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 7$; $20 \mathrm{Kt-Kt4}$, and Black cannot take the QKtP because of $21 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$; $22 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} 7$; 23 KR-B1, and the Rook is trapped.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
20 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3 & \mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{Q} 3 \\
21 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 2 & \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 3 \\
22 \mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{B} 1 & \mathrm{~K}(\mathrm{R} 4)-\mathrm{B} 5 \\
23 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{K} 1 & \mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{R} 4
\end{array}
$$

If $23 \ldots$ Kt-B4, White is not obliged to move 24 P-B4, which would leave a fine post for Black's Knight at K5, but could continue $24 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KKt4}$, $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{B} 4) \times \mathrm{KP}$; $25 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 3, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{KK} t \mathrm{P}$ (there is nothing better in view of the threat of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QK} t 3) ; 26 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{R} ; 27 \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{B}$, with two Bishops against Rook and two pawns.

Position after White's 23rd move


| $24 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $25 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{KK} t 4$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| $26 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{K} t 4$ |
| $27 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} 2$ | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ |

Threatening to drive the Rook off the QB file by B-R6.

| $28 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{QR} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $29 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 3$ |
| $30 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 1$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

A necessary preliminary to $\mathbf{3 0} \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4$ which could now be answered by $31 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K} \mathbf{1} ; 32 \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$; $33 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R}$; $34 \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 6$; $35 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 1, \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$; $36 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{P}$ ch; $37 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4 ; 38 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 8$, recovering the pawn with a splendid position.

$$
31 \mathrm{KR}-\mathrm{Q} 1
$$

This results in the loss of a pawn, but the Black Knights are awkwardly placed and White always seems to hold a slight advantage. $31 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ would be inferior to the text as after $\mathbf{3 1} \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 4 ; 32 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{R} ; \mathbf{3 3} \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{R}$, R-B1 ch; 34 K-Kt2, Kt-Kt5, Black clearly stands better.

| 31 | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{K t}-\mathbf{R} 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| 33 | $\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{B} 1$ | $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ |
| 34 | $\mathbf{K t} \times \mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{R} 6$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{K}-\mathrm{Q} 1$ | $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{K} t$ |

He could delay the capture by $35 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$, to which White's best answer seems $\mathbf{3 6} \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$, probably transposing into the game.

| $36 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{K} t \times \mathrm{P}$ ch |
| :--- | :--- |
| 37 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| 38 | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |
| 39 | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K} 4$ |

This opens up the position and increases the power of White's Bishop. Better was $39 \ldots$ Kt-B3, and if possible the other Knight to Kt1 and Q2.

| 40 | $\mathrm{KtP} \times \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{KtP} \times \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 3$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{K} t 3$ |
| 42 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 6$ |  |

Stronger was $42 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt1}$, threatening $\mathbf{P} \times \mathrm{QP}$, and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{R} 2$, to which Black has no satisfactory reply. If $42 \ldots \mathrm{BP} \times \mathbf{P}$; $4 \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $44 \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{P} \mathrm{ch}, \mathbf{K}-\mathbf{K t 2}$, there is a beautiful variation pointed out by both Dr. Euwe and Flohr: 45 $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{KtP}, \mathrm{Kt} \times \mathrm{B} ; 46 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$, and Black must lose a Knight as well as his QKtP. Other lines are no better. If $42 \ldots$ Kt-B3; $43 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P} ; 44 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 2, \mathrm{~K} t-\mathrm{K} 2 ; 45 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 4$.

| 42 | $\cdots$ | Kt-B3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 43 | $\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Kt1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 3$ |

Here Black should have played $43 \ldots$ Kt-R2; 44 $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{Q P}, \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 45 \mathbf{B}-\mathbf{R} 2, \mathbf{P}-\mathrm{K} t 4$, with good chances of saving the game.

44 B-Kt3
With this fine move White restores the winning position. If Black replies $44 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{R} 3)-\mathrm{Kt5}$; $45 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ (a nice
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finesse); $45 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 3$; $46 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 6, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 3$; $47 \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{QP}$, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P} ; 48 \mathrm{~B}-\mathbf{R} 2$ and wins.

| $44 \ldots$ | $\mathrm{BP} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $45 \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathbf{R} 3$ |
| $46 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathbf{R} 4$ |
| $47 \mathrm{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$ |
| $48 \mathrm{P}-\mathbf{R} 4$ | $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathbf{R} 3)-\mathrm{Kt1}$ |
| $49 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 5 \mathrm{ch}$ | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{B} 2$ |
| $50 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{R} 2$ |

If $50 \ldots$ Kt-K2, White can win a pawn by $51 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{B}$; $52 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} t 6$, but even stronger may be $51 \mathrm{~B}-\mathbf{R} 3$, followed by B-Kt2.
$51 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4$
52 B-Q3
53 B-K2
54 B-Q3 ch
$55 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 2$
$56 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$
$\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{t} 1)-\mathrm{B} 3$
Kt-B1
K-Kt3
K-B3
K-Kt3
$\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{B} 3)-\mathrm{K} 2$
If $56 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{B} 1)-\mathrm{K} 2$; $57 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 7$ wins a pawn. $57 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 55 \quad$ Resigns

BLACK


WHITE
Black is in "zugzwang" and must lose the Queen's pawn,
but he seems not to have realized that he could still put up a stout resistance by playing his Knights on QB3 and Q3.

White can still win but the play is quite difficult. The principal variation after $57 \ldots \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 3 ; 58 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{QP}$, $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{Q} 3$; $59 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 3$, is $59 \ldots \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$; $60 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{B} 4(\operatorname{not} 60$ $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{Kt}, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B} ; 61 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{ch}$ and draws); $60 \ldots$ $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $61 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{K} t, \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$; $62 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 5$, Kt-K2; $63 \mathrm{P}-$ R6, Kt-Q4 ch (if $63 \ldots$ Kt-B1; $64 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt4}$, K-B4; $65 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Q} 2$ wins easily) ; $64 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Kt} 3, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $65 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R} 7$, Kt-Kt3; $66 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$, best, $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}$; $67 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 3$, Kt-R1; 68 K-Kt4, K-K5 (or $68 \ldots$ K-K3; $69 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathbf{P}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 2$; $70 \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 5, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 1$; $71 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}$, and wins as the Black Knight can be prevented from capturing White's KRP); $69 \mathrm{~B}-$ Q2, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5$; $70 \mathrm{~K} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q} 4 ; 71 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{R} 5, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 6 ; 72$ $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Kt} 4$ and wins.

On the 59th move, if Black plays $59 \ldots \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B} 4$; 60 P—Q5, Kt-B4; $61 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{P}$, or Smyslov's suggestion, 60 $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B} 1, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Kt4}$; $61 \mathrm{~B} \times \mathrm{Kt}$, both win easily; or if $59 \ldots$ $\mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{B} 4$; $60 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Q} 5, \mathrm{Kt}-\mathrm{K} 4$; $61 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{K} 4$ leaves Black helpless.

Bronstein's resignation caused general surprise but the win for White, although lengthy, is quite clear.

The game, particularly the ending, is a fine example of Botvinnik's deep strategic skill.


[^0]:    "Caissa Lovers"

[^1]:    15 Castles

[^2]:    * Since the above was written news has arrived of the death of Boguljubov at Triberg, on June 18th, 1952. He was sixty-five.

[^3]:    * Later in the same year he won a match against M. NAJDORF, who had tied with him at Havana, by 11 points to 7.

